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#### Abstract

In this paper, the element free Galerkin (EFG) method and the local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) method are compared for solving the electromagnetic problems. The EFG method and MLPG method are introduced at first. Both of the EFG and the MLPG methods are formulated in detail with Poisson's equation. Based on basic electromagnetic problems, the numerical results from the EFG method and MLPG method are given in this paper. The numerical results show that the EFG method and MLPG method both work well for the solution of electromagnetic problems. The EFG method, based on global weak form, needs background meshes for integration, and it needs more nodes to get an accurate result but it requires less cost in computational time. The MLPG method as a true meshless method doesn't needs any meshes in the implementation and can obtain an accurate result using fewer nodes than EFG. However, because the MLPG Method needs more integration nodes and has asymmetric matrices, it needs more CPU time than the EFG method with the condition that the same number of nodes is used in the problem domain.


Index Terms - electromagnetic problems, meshless method, the element free Galerkin method, the local Petrov-Galerkin method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

With the development of the computational technologies, the modeling and simulation of engineering problems can be solved by the numerical methods. For decades, people have been using the finite-element method (FEM), boundaryelement method (BEM) and finite-difference method (FDM) to solve the partial differential equation of the engineering systems [1]. Among those methods, the finite-element method is mostly widely used to solve the more-challenging problems as they require increasing demands on flexibility, effectiveness and accuracy for challenging problems with complex geometry [2]. However, the FEM requires the solution domain to be meshed, and the accuracy of the FEM depends on the quality of the mesh [1], and the mesh generation is more time consuming and a more expensive task than the solution of the finite element equations [3]. A lot of efforts have made to improve the design mesh, but it is still a challenge for some engineering analyses such as
the dimensionally very small air gaps and the remaining electromagnetic structures.

To avoid these problems, recently a class of new methods called meshless or meshfree methods have been developed. The meshless methods do not require the generation of a mesh of the solution domain. The only necessary information are sets of nodes scattered in the solution domain as well as sets of nodes scattered on the boundaries, which means no mesh generation at the beginning of the calculation is needed.

There are many different types of meshless methods [4-8], some important examples of these methods include the smooth particle hydrodynamics (SPH) method, the diffuse-element method (DEM), the reproducing kernel particle method (RKPM), the element-free Galerkin (EFG) method, the meshless local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) method, the local boundary integral equation (LBIE) method, the hp-cloud method, the finite point method (FPM), and so on.

In those methods, the element free Galerkin (EFG) method (Belytschko et al., 1992) is one of the most viable methods and has become an inspiration source for the latter meshless methods. EFG method is based on the global weak-forms, so it requires background cells for evaluation of the integrals of the weak-forms. The meshless local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) method (Atluri and Zhu et al, 1998) is based on the local symmetric weak form (LSWF). The MLPG Method does not need elements or meshes either for interpolation purposes or for integration purposes. All integrals in the MLPG Method are carried out only on spheres (in 3-D or circles in 2-D) centered at each point in question $[3,9,10]$. Based on different type combinations of trial and test functions, there are six different schemes of the MLPG method [11].

Both the EFG method and the MLPG1 method are based on the moving least squares (MLS) approximation for the construction of the meshless shape functions. There are two differences between the EFG method and the MLPG method [12]. In the first place, the trial and test function in EFG are taken from the same functional spaces while they can be different for the MLPG method. Second, the main difference of them is the weak form used. The EFG method uses the global weak form and needs the background cells for the integration, but the MLPG method is based on the local symmetric weak form, so it does not need the
background cells and thus is a truly meshless method.

In this paper, the EFG method and the MLPG method are formulated in detail and a comparison is made in their solution of an electromagnetic problem. The numerical results show that EFG method and MLPG method both work well for the electromagnetic problem. The EFG method needs more nodes to get an accurate result but has the advantage that the computation time is lower. The MLPG method doesn't need any background meshes in its implementation and can obtain an accurate result using fewer nodes than EFG, but the MLPG method requires more CPU time.

The following discussion begins with the brief description of the moving least squares (MLS) approximation which is used to construct the shape function for both the EFG method and the MLPG method in Section II.The basic numerical implementation of EFG method and MLPG method are given in Section III. The numerical examples are given in Section IV. The paper ends with conclusions and discussions in Section V.

## II.THE MOVING LEAST SQUARES APPROXIMATION

The MLS method was first introduced by Lancaster and Salkauskas [13]. The MLS has main two major features: (1) the approximated field function is continuous and smooth in the entire problem domain; (2) the MLS method can produce an approximation with the desired order of consistency [2]. Those two features make the MLS method the most widely used method for the construction of the meshless shape functions.

## A. The MLS approximation scheme

Consider $u(x)$ to be the function of the field variable defined in the problem domain $\Omega$. The approximation of $u(x)$ is denoted $u^{h}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{h}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j}^{m} p_{j}(\mathbf{x}) a_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{p}^{T}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the number of terms of monomials (polynomial basis), and $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$ is a vector of coefficients given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}^{T}(x)=\left\{a_{0}(x) a_{1}(x) \ldots a_{m}(x)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are functions of $x$.
In equation (1), $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})$ is a vector of complete
monomial basis; $m$ is the number of the terms in the basis. In this paper, the linear basis is used for 1D and 2D:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}^{T}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right], \text { in } 1 D,  \tag{3}\\
& \mathbf{p}^{T}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & y
\end{array}\right], \text { in } 2 D . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The linear basis assures the MLS approximation has the linear completeness and can reproduce any smooth function and its first derivative with arbitrary accuracy [11]. The coefficients $a_{j}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be obtained at the point $\mathbf{x}$ by minimizing a weighted discrete $L_{2}$ norm as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\sum_{I=1}^{n} w\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{I}\right)\left[\mathbf{p}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{I}\right) \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})-u_{I}\right]^{2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of nodes in the neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}$ which weight function $w\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{I}\right) \geq 0$. The $u_{\mathrm{I}}$ is the value of $u$ at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{I}}$. The neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}$ size is called the domain of influence of $\mathbf{x}$.

To obtain $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x})$ at an arbitrary point $\mathbf{x}$, the minimization condition is required:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{a}}=0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the following linear equation system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{U}_{s}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{I}^{n} w\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{I}\right) \mathbf{p}^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}_{I}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{I}\right),  \tag{8}\\
\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})=\left[w_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), w_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right), \ldots,\right. \\
\left.w_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{n}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right],  \tag{9}\\
\mathbf{U}_{s}=\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving the equation (7) for $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{U}_{s} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{h}(\mathbf{x}) & =\sum_{I}^{n} \sum_{j}^{m} p_{j}(\mathbf{x})\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{j I} u_{I}  \tag{12}\\
& \equiv \sum_{I}^{n} \Phi_{I}(\mathbf{x}) u_{I},
\end{align*}
$$

where the shape function is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{I}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j}^{m} p_{j}(\mathbf{x})\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{j I} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial derivatives of $\Phi_{I}(\mathbf{x})$ can be
obtained [3]:
$\Phi_{I, i}=\sum_{j}^{m}\left\{p_{j, i}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}\right)_{j I}+p_{j}\left(\mathbf{A}_{, i}^{-1} \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{i,}\right)_{j I}\right\}$,
where: $\mathbf{A}_{, i}^{-1}=-\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{, i} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and ( $)_{, i}$ denotes $\partial() / \partial x^{i}$.

## B. Choice of weight function

There are many types of weight functions that can be chosen [2]. The following weight function is adopted in this paper [14]:

$$
w\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) \equiv w(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{2}{3}-4 r^{2}+4 r^{3} & \text { for } r \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{15}\\
\frac{4}{3}-4 r+4 r^{2}-\frac{4}{3} r^{3} & \text { for } \frac{1}{2}<r \leq 1 \\
0 & \text { for } r>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r=d_{I} / d_{m I}, d_{I}=\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{I}\right\|$ and $d_{m I}$ is the size of the domain of influence of the $I^{\text {th }}$ node.

## III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EFG METHOD AND THE MLPG METHOD

In this section, a basic domain obeying Poisson's equation function and boundary conditions is considered to demonstrate the formulation of the EFG method and the MLPG method. The governing equation and boundary conditions are expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u(\mathbf{x})=-\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The essential and the natural boundary conditions are respectively given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(\mathbf{x})=u_{0} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{u},  \tag{17a}\\
& \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \equiv q=\bar{q} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{q}, \tag{17b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the domain is enclosed by $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{u} \cup \Gamma_{q}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal direction to the boundary.

## A. The EFG method formulation

The EFG method is based on the globe weak form on the global problem domain $\Omega$ and the essential boundary conditions can be imposed by the penalty method by penalty parameter. The equivalent weak form of Poisson's function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla^{2} u+\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon}\right) v d \Omega-\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}}\left(u-u_{0}\right) v d \Gamma=0, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the trial function approximated by the MLS method, $v$ is the test function, and $\alpha \gg 1$ is a penalty parameter used to impose the essential boundary conditions.

Using the formula $\left(\nabla^{2} u\right) v=u_{, i i} v=\left(u_{i,} v\right)_{, i}-u_{i,} v_{i}$, the divergence theorem and $u_{, i} n i=\partial u / \partial n \equiv q$, we can derive the local weak form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla u-\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} v\right) d \Omega-\int_{\partial \Omega} q v d \Gamma  \tag{19}\\
& +\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}}\left(u-u_{0}\right) v d \Gamma=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Imposing the natural boundary (17b), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}(\nabla v \cdot \nabla u) d \Omega+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}} u v d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{u}} q v d \Gamma= \\
& \int_{\Gamma_{q}} \bar{q} v d \Gamma+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}} u_{0} v d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} v d \Omega . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

When the trial functions and test functions are taken from the same function space and produced by Eq. (12) [3], we can discretize Eq. (20) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K u}=\mathbf{f}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{K}$ and the vector $\mathbf{f}$ are define by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{i j}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \Phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \Phi_{j} d \Omega+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}} \Phi_{i} \Phi_{j} d \Gamma \\
-\int_{\Gamma_{u}} \Phi_{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} d \Gamma .  \tag{22}\\
f_{i}=\int_{\Gamma_{q}} \bar{q} \Phi_{i} d \Gamma+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{u}} \bar{u} \Phi_{i} d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega_{s}} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} \Phi_{i} d \Omega . \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

## B. The MLPG method formulation

The MLPG method is based on the local symmetric weak form over a local sub-domain $\Omega_{s}$, the local sub-domain $\Omega_{s}$ is entirely inside the global problem domain $\Omega$. A local weak form of the governing equation (16) and the boundary conditions (17) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(\nabla^{2} u+\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon}\right) v d \Omega-\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}}\left(u-u_{0}\right) v d \Gamma=0, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, v$ and $\alpha \gg 1$ are the trial functions, test functions and penalty parameter respectively. $\Gamma_{s u}$ is a part of the essential boundary $\Gamma_{u}$. If the sub-domain has no intersection with the global
essential boundary $\Gamma_{u}$, the second part of equation (24) vanishes [11].

Corresponding to the EFG formulation, the local weak form can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla u-\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} v\right) d \Omega-\int_{\partial \Omega_{s}} q v d \Gamma  \tag{25}\\
& +\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}}\left(u-u_{0}\right) v d \Gamma=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the natural boundary condition (17b) we can obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla u-\frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon}\right) v d \Omega-\int_{L_{s}} q v d \Gamma  \tag{26}\\
& -\int_{\Gamma_{s u}} q v d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{\Sigma_{q}}} \bar{q} v d \Gamma+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}}\left(u-u_{0}\right) v d \Gamma=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\text {sq }}$ is a part of the natural boundary of $\Gamma_{q}$, if a sub-domain is totally inside the globe domain and has no intersection between $\Omega_{s}$, the $L_{s}=\partial \Omega_{s}$ and the integrals over $\Gamma_{s u}$ and $\Gamma_{s q}$ vanish [11].

The weight function used in the MLS approximation is chosen as the test function in the MLPG method. So the test function will vanish on the boundary of the local domain $\Omega_{s}$ and the boundary $L_{s}$. Using this function, the function (26) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{s}} \nabla w_{s} \cdot \nabla u d \Omega+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} u d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} q d \Gamma= \\
& \int_{\Gamma_{s q}} w_{s} \bar{q} d \Gamma+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} \bar{u} d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega_{s}} w_{s} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} d \Omega \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain the discrete equations, substitution of (12) into (27), we can discretize Eq. (27) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K u}=\mathbf{f} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i j}= & \int_{\Omega_{s}} \nabla w_{s} \cdot \nabla \Phi_{j} d \Omega+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} \Phi_{j} d \Gamma \\
& -\int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} \frac{\partial \Phi_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} d \Gamma \tag{29a}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=\int_{\Gamma_{s q}} w_{s} \bar{q} d \Gamma+\alpha \int_{\Gamma_{s u}} w_{s} \bar{u} d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega_{s}} w_{s} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})}{\varepsilon} d \Omega . \tag{29b}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this paper, the essential boundary conditions in the EFG and MLPG methods are both imposed by the penalty method with the penalty parameter $\alpha$ being chosen as $10^{6}[2,12]$. The number of integration points is chosen to be three times the total number of nodes in EFG, the local quadrature domain $\Omega_{s}$ with four subdivision cells and $4 \times 4$ integration points in each cell is used in the local quadrature domain in MLPG method [3].

In order to investigate the accuracy of the EFG and MLPG methods, a relative error is calculated as follows [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error }=\frac{\max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left\|u_{i}^{\text {num }}-u_{i}^{\text {ana }}\right\|}{\max _{1 \leq i \leq N} u_{i}^{\text {ana }}}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}^{\text {num }}$ denotes the numerical solution of the $i$ th node and the $u_{i}^{a n a}$ denotes the analytic solution of the ith node.

## A. Solution of Poisson's equation

We first consider Poisson's equation with the problem domain illustrated in Fig. 1. As shown in Fig. 1, the size of the problem domain is $\Omega \equiv(0,10) \times(0,10)$ with dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{0}$. The governing equation and boundary condition are as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} u(\mathbf{x})=-\pi^{2}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}^{2}}\right) \sin \left(\frac{y}{a_{1}} \pi\right) \cos \left(\frac{x}{a_{2}} \pi\right) \frac{\rho_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}},  \tag{31}\\
u(\mathbf{x})=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{u},  \tag{32a}\\
\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{q} . \tag{32b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the distribution density, $\rho_{0}=-100 C / m^{2}, \varepsilon_{0}$ is dielectric constant and two choices of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ have been made such that $a_{1}, a_{2} \in\{1,2,5,10\}$.

With different $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ the analytic solution is different. The analytic solution of this problem is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathbf{x})=\sin \left(\frac{y}{a_{1}} \pi\right) \cos \left(\frac{x}{a_{2}} \pi\right) \frac{\rho_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Analytical solution based on equation (33) is shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for different values of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. A comparison of the exact solution with
numerical results from both EFG and MLPG methods along the line $x=5$ is shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. The total number of nodes was 400 for Fig. 4 and 2500 nodes for Fig. 5. Each Fig shows the results for the two different values of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$.


Fig. 1. Problem domain for Poisson's equation.


Fig. 2. The analytic solution of the Poisson's problem with $a_{1}=5$ and $a_{2}=5$.


Fig. 3. The analytic solution of the Poisson's problem with $a_{1}=2$ and $a_{2}=5$.

We can observe good agreement between analytical and numerical results from Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. And the numerical results become more accurate as more nodes are used in the calculation.


Fig. 4. Comparison between the analytic solution, EFG method and MLPG method with 400 nodes along $x=5$.

(a) $a_{1}=5$ and $a_{2}=5$.

(b) $a_{1}=2$ and $a_{2}=5$.

Fig. 5. Comparison between the analytic solution, EFG and MLPG method with 2500 nodes used along $\mathrm{x}=5$.

## B. Solution of Helmholtz equation

Next, let us consider a problem domain which is governed by the Helmholtz equation [8]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} E_{z}+k^{2} E_{z}=0 \text { for }(x, y) \in \Omega,  \tag{34}\\
& E_{z}(x, y)=f(x, y) \text { for }(x, y) \in \partial \Omega . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

The problem domain along with the associated boundary conditions is illustrated in Fig. 6.


Fig. 6. Example of Helmholtz equation.

Consider the case for which $\lambda=\frac{2 \pi}{k}=1$. The analytic solutions of this problem with two different choices of $m$ in boundary conditions are shown in Figs. 7 and 8.


Fig. 7. The analytic solution of the Helmholtz equation with $m=1$.


Fig. 8. The analytic solution of the Helmholtz equation with $m=3$.

A comparison of the exact solution with numerical results from both EFG and MLPG methods along the line $y=0.5$ is shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. The total number of nodes was 400 for Fig. 9 and 2500 nodes for Fig. 10.

(a) The analytic and numerical solutions with $m=1$.

(b) The analytic and numerical solutions with $m=3$.

Fig. 9. Comparison between the analytic solution, EFG method and MLPG method with 400 nodes along $y=0.5$.

(a) The analytic and numerical solutions with $m=1$.

(b) The analytic and numerical solutions with $m=3$.

Fig. 10. Comparison between the analytic solution, EFG method and MLPG method with 2500 nodes along $y=0.5$.

According to the results shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, it can be concluded that both the EFG method and MLPG method work well for the Helmholtz problem. In addition, more nodes used
in the model, more accurate of the results, this is the same as that in the example of Poisson's problem.

Based on the analytic solutions with different $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in Poisson's problem, the relative error and computational efficiency of EFG and MLPG method are investigated.

In order to discuss the relationship of the relative error, the total number of nodes with different problems (different $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ ), a relative error with different number of nodes in EFG method and MLPG method is given in Fig. 11. Figure 11 shows the MLPG method is more accurate than EFG with the same number of nodes, especially when the total number of nodes is substantially lower. With the increase of total number of nodes used, the relative error of both EFG method and MLPG method are getting close to zero.

For the case where $a_{1}=2$ and $a_{2}=5$, the analytic electric potential is much more complex than the case where $a_{1}=a_{2}=5$, more nodes are required to get an exact answer.

To investigate the computational efficiency of the two methods, the average processing time required as a function of the total number of nodes is obtained and plotted in Fig. 12. It should be noted that he computation was done with the same Lenovo computer.


Fig. 11. Relative error with the total number of nodes in EFG and MLPG method.

It can be found that, the MLPG method needs more processing time than the EFG method. It is mainly due to the following two reasons: At first, the MLPG method requires more integration points than the EFG method in the computation. Both of the EFG method and the MLPG method need to integrate over the domain. The EFG method needs shadow meshes to set integration
points over the entire domain of the problem. The MLPG method doesn't need elements or meshes for integration, all the integrals are carried out on spheres centered at each node in the domain, so the MLPG method can be referred to as a "real" meshless method or at least close to the ideal mesh-free method. But because of the complexity of the integrand that results from the PetrovGalerkin formulation, the integration difficulty is more severe than EFG. The MLPG method needs to be divided into small cells and more Gaussian quadrature points should be used for the integration [15]. The second reason for the increased processing time is that the system matrices produced by the MLPG method are asymmetric and those that are produced by the EFG methods are symmetric. The asymmetric matrices require more CPU time for their solution.


Fig. 12. Comparison of the proceeding time with different total number of nodes of EFG and MLPG method.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Implementations of the element free galerkin method (EFG) and the meshless local PetrovGalerkin method have been presented in this paper. Both of the methods are formulated in detail for a basic problem governed by Poisson's equation. Problem domains governed by Poisson's and Helmholtz's equations have been considered and the numerical results are compared with the analytic solutions to investigate the accuracy and computational efficiency of the EFG method and the MLPG method. The results show that the MLPG method needs more CPU time but can obtain a more accurate result using fewer nodes than the EFG method. The reasons the MLPG method needs more processing time are that the MLPG method needs more integration points and
the solution of the asymmetric matrices require more CPU time.
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#### Abstract

A chiral body of revolution (BOR) which is partially covered by a thin conducting shield is analyzed using the Method of Moments (MOM). The axisymmetric system is excited by a plane wave. The internal fields and the far scattered fields are computed. The problem is solved using the surface equivalence principle. The scattered fields outside the structure are assumed to be produced by an equivalent magnetic surface current that exists on the unshielded part of BOR surface and an external equivalent electric surface current that exists over the entire BOR surface. These two currents are assumed to radiate in the unbounded external medium. Similarly, the internal fields are assumed to be produced by the negative of the above magnetic current and an internal electric surface current that exists over the entire BOR surface, but is an independent unknown only on the shielded part of the BOR surface. These two currents radiate in the unbounded internal medium. Enforcing the boundary conditions at the surface of the BOR results in a set of coupled integral equations for the three equivalent surface currents. These equations are solved numerically using the MOM. The computed results for the partially shielded spherical chiral body are in excellent agreement with other data.


Index Terms - Aperture, body of revolution, chiral body, method of moments, equivalence principle.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Figure 1 shows a chiral body of revolution that is partially covered by a perfectly conducting shield. The system is excited by a plane wave. We are interested in finding the field that penetrates into the chiral body through the apertures on its surface and the far field scattered by the structure. The problem of electromagnetic penetration into a regular dielectric body of revolution that is partially covered by a perfectly conducting shield is analyzed in [1] and [2]. The problem of electromagnetic transmission through an arbitrary aperture in an arbitrary 3-D conducting surface enclosing chiral material is analyzed in [3]. The electromagnetic analysis of general bodies of revolution is given in [4].


Fig. 1. A chiral body of revolution with two apertures.

Penetration of electromagnetic waves through apertures has been studied extensively. Two dimensional apertures in thin infinite planes are studied in [5] and [6]. Apertures in arbitrarily shaped three dimensional objects are studied in [3] and [7]. In [3] the internal medium considered was chiral and in [7] both internal and external media were regular dielectrics. The research problem dealt with in [8] relates to a rotationally symmetric aperture on a perfectly conducting BOR containing the same homogeneous dielectric in the interior as well as the exterior. A boundary integral equation is used in [9] for dielectric objects partially coated with a perfectly conductive layer. Diffraction of an electromagnetic plane wave by a rectangular plate and a rectangular hole in the conducting plate [10] is rigorously tackled using the method of the Kobayashi potential (KP method).

In [11], there is a method applicable to arbitrarily-shaped apertures (in particular those not axially symmetric in bodies of revolution) employing the method of moments. The problem of the scattering of an electromagnetic plane wave with arbitrary polarization and angle of incidence from a perfectly conducting spherical shell with a circular aperture [12] is solved with a generalized dual series approach. In [13], the problem of scattering from a spherical shell with a circular aperture symmetrically illuminated by a plane electromagnetic wave is solved by expanding the fields inside and outside the cavity in terms of spherical vector wave functions. In [14], a hybrid FE-BI method that combines the finite element (FEM) and boundary integral (BI) methods is used to analyze electromagnetic scattering from structures consisting of an inhomogeneous dielectric body attached to perfectly conducting bodies. A new variational direct boundary integral equation approach is presented in [15] for solving the scattering and transmission problem for dielectric objects partially coated with a perfect electric conducting (PEC) layer. The absorption cross section of a dielectric sphere partially covered by a thin perfectly conducting spherical surface is calculated in [16]. Two-dimensional electromagnetic scattering by a dielectric cylinder partially covered by zero-thickness perfect conductors is treated in [17]. In [18], an axisymmetric chiral radome is analyzed via the method of moments. In [19], a method of moments
solution is presented for electromagnetic scattering by a three-dimensional (3-D) inhomogeneous chiral scatterer illuminated by an arbitrary incident field.

This research work is important because of its significance for numerous applications in radar techniques and for tracking and discriminating between space vehicles and objects.

## II. ANALYSIS

Let $S_{c}$ be the part of the surface of the chiral body (scatterer) covered by rotationally symmetric perfect conductors, let $\mathrm{S}_{a}$ be the part of the surface of the scatterer not covered by the rotationally symmetric conductors, and let S be the entire surface of the scatterer as shown in Fig. 1. Here, the subscript " $c$ " stands for conductor and the subscript " $a$ " stands for aperture.

The surface equivalence principle is used to separate the problem of Fig. 1 into two simpler parts, namely, the region external to surface $S$ and the region internal to S . The scattered fields in the external region are produced by an equivalent magnetic surface current $\mathbf{M}$ and an equivalent electric surface current $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ radiating in the unbounded external medium. The current $\mathbf{M}$ exists on only $\mathrm{S}_{a}$ and the current $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ exists on the whole surface S . The requirement that the tangential electric field of the external equivalence be zero just inside S is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\eta_{e}}\left[\mathbf{E}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{-}}=\frac{1}{\eta_{e}}\left[\mathbf{E}^{i n c}\right]_{S}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{e}=\sqrt{\mu_{e} / \varepsilon_{e}}$ is the intrinsic impedance of the homogeneous achiral medium outside the scatterer in the original problem. Also, $\mathbf{E}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is the electric field of the combination of $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, both radiating in all space filled with the homogeneous achiral medium that is outside the scatterer in the original problem. The subscript $S^{-}$ denotes evaluation on the side of S facing inside the scatterer of the tangential part of the enclosed vector. In (1), $\left[\mathbf{E}^{i n c}\right]_{S}$ is the tangential part of the incident electric field on S .

The requirement that the tangential magnetic field of the external equivalence be zero just inside S is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[\mathbf{H}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{-}}=\left[\mathbf{H}^{i n c}\right]_{S}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is the magnetic field of the combination of $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, both radiating in all space filled with the homogeneous achiral medium that is outside the scatterer in the original problem.

The field in the chiral medium is produced by the equivalent magnetic surface current $-\mathbf{M}$, and an equivalent surface electric current $-\mathbf{J}_{i}$ radiating in the unbounded chiral medium. $\mathbf{J}_{i}$ exists on the whole surface $S$. The requirement that the tangential electric field of the internal equivalence be zero just outside S is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\eta_{e}}\left[\mathbf{E}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{+}}=0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is the electric field of the combination of $\mathbf{J}_{i e}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, both radiating in all space filled with the homogeneous chiral medium that is inside the scatterer in the original problem. Here, $\mathbf{J}_{i e}$ is the combination of $\mathbf{J}_{i}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{c}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{a}$. The subscript $S^{+}$denotes evaluation on the side of S facing outside the scatterer of the tangential part of the enclosed vector.

The requirement that the tangential magnetic field of the internal equivalence be zero just outside S is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[\mathbf{H}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{+}}=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is the magnetic field of the combination of $\mathbf{J}_{i e}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, both radiating in all space filled with the homogeneous chiral medium that is inside the scatterer in the original problem.

In view of (1) - (4), the equivalent currents $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ of the external equivalence and the equivalent currents $-\mathbf{J}_{i e}$ and $-\mathbf{M}$ of the internal equivalence assure that there is no electric field on both sides of $\mathrm{S}_{c}$ and that the tangential electric and magnetic fields are continuous across $\mathrm{S}_{a}$.

The product of an arbitrary constant $\alpha$ with (3) is added to (1) to obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{\eta_{e}}\left[\mathbf{E}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{-}} \\
-\frac{\alpha}{\eta_{e}}\left[\mathbf{E}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{+}}=\frac{1}{\eta_{e}}\left[E^{i n c}\right]_{S}, \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the product of an arbitrary constant $\beta$ with (4) is added to (2) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[\mathbf{H}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{-}}-\beta\left[\mathbf{H}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{i e}, \mathbf{M}\right)\right]_{S^{+}}=\left[\mathbf{H}^{i n c}\right]_{S} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method of moments as applied to bodies of revolution is used to solve (5) and (6) numerically. Piecewise linear variation of the currents is assumed along the generating curve of
the BOR. The variation of the currents along the circumferential direction is represented by Fourier series. An approximate Galerkin's method is used for testing. If $\alpha \beta^{*}$ is real and positive where * denotes the complex conjugate, then it can be shown that (5) and (6) imply (1)-(4) [20, Section 2]. Equations (5) and (6) are two vector equations on $S$ where the unknowns in (5) and (6) are $\mathbf{J}_{e}$ on $\mathbf{S}$ and the composite unknown consisting of $\mathbf{J}_{i}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{a}$.

## A. Expansion functions and testing functions

Let electric and magnetic currents $\mathbf{J}_{e}$, $\mathbf{J}_{i e}$, and $\mathbf{M}$ be expanded as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{J}_{e}=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}}\left(I_{n j}^{t} \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{t}+I_{n j}^{\phi} \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{\phi}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\mathbf{J}_{i e}=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left(L_{j}^{\prime} V_{n j}^{t}+L_{j} I_{n j}^{t}\right) \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{t}+\left(L_{j}^{\prime} V_{n j}^{\phi}+L_{j} I_{n j}^{\phi}\right) \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{\phi}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\mathrm{M}=\eta_{e} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} L_{j}\left(V_{n j}^{t} \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{t}+V_{n j}^{\phi} \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{\phi}\right), \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $I_{n j}^{t}, I_{n j}^{\phi}, V_{n j}^{t}$, and $V_{n j}^{\phi}$ are complex constants to be determined and $\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{t}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{\phi}$ are expansion functions given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{t} & =\hat{\mathrm{t}} \frac{T_{j}(t)}{\rho} e^{j n \phi}  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{\phi} & =\hat{\phi} \frac{T_{j}(t)}{\rho} e^{j n \phi} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t$ is the arc length along the generating curve C of the body of revolution (BOR), $\rho=\rho(t)$ is the distance from the $z$-axis of the BOR, $\phi$ is the angle measured from the positive $x$ axis toward the $y$-axis in the $x y$-plane, and $T_{j}(t)$ is the triangular function defined by

$$
T_{j}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t-t_{2 j-1}}{d_{j}}, & t_{2 j-1} \leq t \leq t_{2 j+1}  \tag{12}\\
\frac{t_{2 j+3}-t}{d_{j+1}}, & t_{2 j+1} \leq t \leq t_{2 j+3} \\
0, & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=\Delta_{2 j-1}+\Delta_{2 j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j}=t_{j+1}-t_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating curve C consists of the straight line segment from $t=t_{1}$ to $t=t_{2}$, that from $t_{2}$ to $t_{3}, \ldots$, that from $t_{2 \mathrm{~N}+2}$ to $t_{2 \mathrm{~N}+3}$ where, as in (7), $N_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the number of triangles on C. In (8) and (9),

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{j} & = \begin{cases}1, T_{j}(t) \text { is in an aperture } \\
0, T_{j}(t) \text { is on a conductor }\end{cases}  \tag{15}\\
L_{j}^{\prime} & = \begin{cases}1, T_{j}(t) \text { is on a conductor } \\
0, T_{j}(t) \text { is in an aperture }\end{cases} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Testing functions $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{t}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{\phi}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{t}=\hat{\mathrm{t}} \frac{T_{i}(t)}{\rho} e^{-j m \phi}  \tag{17}\\
\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{\phi}=\hat{\phi} \frac{T_{i}(t)}{\rho} e^{-j m \phi} . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Henceforth, we assume that (7)-(9) have been substituted into (5) and (6). The symmetric product of two vector functions is the integration over S of their dot product. First taking the symmetric product of $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{t}$ with (5), then taking the symmetric product of $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{\phi}$ with (5), next taking the symmetric product of $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{t}$ with (6), and finally taking the symmetric product of $\mathbf{J}_{-m i}^{\phi}$ with (6), one obtains, for ( $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) and for ( $m=-\mathrm{N},-\mathrm{N}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ ), the following matrix equation.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
Z_{n}^{t t} & Z_{n}^{t \phi} & C_{n}^{t t} & C_{n}^{t \phi}  \tag{19}\\
Z_{n}^{\phi t} & Z_{n}^{\phi \phi} & C_{n}^{\phi t} & C_{n}^{\phi \phi} \\
D_{n}^{t t} & D_{n}^{t \phi} & Y_{n}^{t t} & Y_{n}^{t \phi} \\
D_{n}^{\phi t} & D_{n}^{\phi \phi} & Y_{n}^{\phi t} & Y_{n}^{\phi \phi}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{n}^{t} \\
I_{n}^{\phi} \\
V_{n}^{t} \\
V_{n}^{\phi}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{V}_{n}^{t} \\
\vec{V}_{n}^{\phi} \\
\vec{I}_{n}^{t} \\
\vec{I}_{n}^{\phi}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For ( $n=-\mathrm{N},-\mathrm{N}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ ) where, for $q=t$ or $q=\phi$, $I_{n}^{q}$ and $V_{n}^{q}$ are column matrices whose $j^{\text {th }}$ elements are $I_{n j}^{q}$ and $V_{n j}^{q}$, respectively. The $i j^{\text {th }}$ elements of the members of the $4 \times 4$ array in (19) are, for $p=t$ or $p=\phi$ and $q=t$ or $q=\phi$,

$$
Z_{n i j}^{p q}=\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\frac{1}{\eta_{e}} \mathbf{E}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{-}} d S
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+ & L_{j} \iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\frac{\alpha}{\eta_{e}} \mathbf{E}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S  \tag{20}\\
C_{n i j}^{p q}= & L_{j}\left(\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\mathbf{E}_{e}\left(0, \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}\right)\right]_{S^{-}} d S\right. \\
& \left.+\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\alpha \mathbf{E}_{i}\left(0, \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S\right) \\
+ & L_{j}^{\prime} \iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\frac{\alpha}{\eta_{e}} \mathbf{E}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S  \tag{21}\\
D_{n i j}^{p q}= & \iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\mathbf{H}_{e}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{-}} d S \\
& +L_{j} \iint_{S^{\prime}} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\beta \mathbf{H}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S  \tag{22}\\
Y_{n i j}^{p q}= & L_{j}\left(\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\eta_{e} \mathbf{H}_{e}\left(0, \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}\right)\right]_{S^{-}} d S\right. \\
& \left.+\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\beta \eta_{e} \mathbf{H}_{i}\left(0, \mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S\right) \\
& +L_{j}^{\prime} \iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[-\beta \mathbf{H}_{i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n j}^{q}, 0\right)\right]_{S^{+}} d S . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

For $p=t$ or $p=\phi$, the $i^{\text {th }}$ elements of $\vec{V}_{n}^{p}$ and $\vec{I}_{n}^{p}$ are, respectively, $\vec{V}_{n i}^{p}$ and $\vec{I}_{n i}^{p}$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{V}_{n i}^{p}=\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[\frac{1}{\eta_{e}} \mathbf{E}^{i n c}\right]_{S} d S  \tag{24}\\
\vec{I}_{n i}^{p}=\iint_{S} \mathbf{J}_{-n i}^{p} \cdot\left[\mathbf{H}^{i n c}\right]_{S} d S . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

The preceding discretization gives the $2 \mathrm{~N}+1$ small matrix equations $\{(19)$ for $n=-\mathrm{N}$, $-\mathrm{N}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}\}$ instead of one large matrix equation because, due to the rotational symmetry, an $e^{j n \phi}$ dependent current source produces only an $e^{j n \phi}$ dependent field.

## III. COMPUTED RESULTS

Numerical results are given for the bodies shown in Fig. 2.

Figs. 3 to 8 are for a chiral sphere contained in a perfectly conducting thin metallic spherical shell with a single aperture of $\alpha_{0}=30^{\circ}$ at its bottom that exposes the chiral material to the unit plane wave that illuminates the bottom of the sphere, as shown in Figure 2. The purpose of choosing this partially covered chiral sphere is to compare our results with those of early researchers, particularly with graphic results in [3]. The generating curve is
approximated by 1200 straight line segments for Figs. 3 and 5.


Fig. 2. Sphere and cone with single aperture.
We see marked resemblance between our graph of Fig. 3 and that of the insert from [3], Fig. 4. Both graphs indicate insignificant variations in the overall RCS values as relative chirality varies from $\xi_{\mathrm{r}}=0.2$ to $\xi_{\mathrm{r}}=0.9$ with parameters $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}=1.5, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=2$, and $\mu_{\mathrm{r}}=1$.


Fig. 3. $\sigma_{\theta \theta} / \lambda_{0}^{2}$ of the obstacle with $30^{\circ}$ aperture at its bottom.


Fig. 4. $\sigma_{\theta \theta} / \lambda_{0}^{2}$ of the obstacle with $30^{\circ}$ aperture at its bottom. (Insert taken from [3]).


Fig. 5. $\sigma_{\theta \theta} / \lambda_{0}^{2}$ of the obstacle with $30^{\circ}$ aperture at its bottom.


Fig. 6. $\sigma_{\theta \theta} / \lambda_{0}^{2}$ of the obstacle with $30^{\circ}$ aperture at its bottom. (Insert taken from [3]).

We see marked resemblance between our graph of Fig. 5 and that of the insert from [3], Fig. 6.

Figures 7 and 8 show the internal electric fields along the $z$-axis of the body with varying chiralities. The generating curve is approximated by 3132 straight line segments and 102 points on the $z$-axis were used to obtain the graphs.


Fig. 7. Magnitude of $x$-component of internal electric field along $z$-axis.


Fig. 8. Magnitude of $y$-component of internal electric field along $z$-axis.

Figs. 9 and 10 are for a conical-shaped chiral BOR contained in a perfectly conducting thin metallic shell with a single aperture at its bottom that exposes the chiral material to the plane wave that illuminates the BOR along the $z$-axis from the bottom of the conical shell, as shown in Figure 2. The radius of the aperture is 0.5 m , the radius of the cone is 1 m , and the length of the cone is 2 m . The generating curve is approximated by 3132 straight line segments.

More results for these structures are available in [21].


Fig. 9. $\sigma_{\theta \theta} / \lambda_{0}^{2}$ of the obstacle with single aperture at its bottom.


Fig. 10. Magnitude of $x$-component of internal electric field along $z$-axis.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, plane wave incidence on a homogeneous chiral body partially covered by a thin perfectly conducting surface is investigated using the surface equivalence principle and MoM .

The body is replaced by equivalent electric and magnetic surface currents, which produce the correct fields inside and out. The application of the boundary conditions on the tangential components of the electric and the magnetic fields results in a set of two equations to be solved. Triangular expansion functions are used for both $t$-directed and $\phi$-directed currents. The unknown
coefficients of these expansion functions are obtained using the method of moments.

The inside fields and the scattering cross section are computed. The results are generated by a computer code, which produces agreement with available published results.

The theoretical framework presented in this paper can be used to obtain results that are not available elsewhere.
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#### Abstract

The traditional multiple CPUs mounted on one node in a high performance cluster is based on Symmetric Multi-Processing (SMP) architecture. The memory bandwidth is a major bottleneck in the high performance computing. Recently, Intel and AMD companies developed the (Non-uniform Memory Access (NUMA) architecture for the multi-CPU server that is an important extension of the SMP computer. In the NUMA architecture server, each CPU has its own memory and can also be access to the memory located the nearby of other CPUs through the onboard network. For a parallel code, we can allocate the data for each CPU inside its local memory to accelerate the memory access. In this paper, we investigate a way how to achieve the high performance of parallel FDTD code on a computer cluster that includes 21 nodes with 42 CPU and 168 cores. Numerical experiments have demonstrated that different job binding schemes can significantly affect the performance of parallel FDTD code.


Index Terms - NUMA, parallel FDTD, processor affinity, SMP.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A high performance cluster has become a popular hardware platform today for the computational electromagnetic methods to solve
the electrically large problems. In three popular computational electromagnetic methods, FDTD method [1] is parallel in nature, and hence, has high parallel performance than method of moment (MoM) [2], finite element method [3] due to a parallel FDTD method only requires the field exchange on the interface between the adjacent neighboring subdomains. For simulating electromagnetic (EM) problem from electricallylarge and complex structures with FDTD method, parallel technology is a powerful tool to provide the necessary computing power and memory resources [4-8]. The parallel performance of FDTD code depends on not only the way how we develop the parallel FDTD code and problem type, but also on the hardware platform such as CPU type, network system, Input/Ouput (I/O) system, and the operating system as well. In this paper, the parallel FDTD code is developed based on the literature [7, 8] that uses the combination of Open Multiple Processing (OpenMP) [9] and Message passing Interface (MPI) library [10]. OpenMP is developed for the efficient use of multi-core processors and the MPI library is developed to use the distributed resource.

For the same parallel FDTD code, we investigate the performance of parallel FDTD code on an intermediate cluster that includes 21 nodes (42 CPUs with 168 cores) when we use the different binding techniques and the running environment variables. In all the numerical
experiments, we do not modify the parallel FDTD code and keep the same hardware platform and operating system as well. Each node in the cluster includes two Intel Xeon X5520 2.67 GHz processor, which support the NUMA architecture. Namely, it allows us to allocate the data for each CPU in one node to its local memory. If one job unit is assigned to one node, the communication between two CPUs in one node is realized through OpenMP. And the communication between the nodes is realized by the MPI functions. Otherwise, if one job unit is assigned to one core, all the communication between the cores is realized by the MPI functions. Furthermore, if one job unit is assigned to each CPU, the communication between the CPUs is realized by the MPI function but the communication between the cores inside each CPU is realized through OpenMP.

To achieve a good performance of the parallel FDTD code on the high performance cluster, the NUMA policy is used to extend the memory bandwidth and reduce memory access time by allocating the data for each CPU in its own local memory. The advantage of NUMA architecture is obvious from the numerical experiments. We also investigate the effect of processor affinity [11] on the parallel FDTD code performance by binding each rank to the node, CPU, or core. In this paper, all the test examples are carried out by using GEMS software [12].

## II. THEORY AND METHOD

Both the electric and magnetic field updates in the FDTD method only require field information from their nearest neighboring cells, which requires much less communication information than other methods that require the 3-D communication data. Hence, the parallel FDTD method gives much less burden on the network system, and in turn, it generates the higher parallel efficiency. To achieve the better parallel performance, we install two sets of network systems in a regular cluster, one of them is design the data communication during the simulation and usually is fast. And the second one is designed the cluster management, namely, it allows simultaneously to check the cluster status without interrupting the data communication.

In Yee's scheme [1], the computational domain is discretized by using a rectangular grid. The electric fields are located along the edges of
the electric elements, while the magnetic fields are sampled at the centers of the electric element surfaces and are oriented normal to these surfaces, this being consistent with the duality property of the electric and magnetic fields in Maxwell's equations, as shown in Fig. 1.

If the computational domain is broken into two subdomains, and the interface coincides with the FDTD mesh. The electric fields on the interface can be counted into either subdomain 1 or 2 . For instance, if it is belong to the subdomain 1, we need to borrow the magnetic field $H_{z}^{2}$ from the subdomain 2 when we calculate the electric field $E_{y}^{\text {interface }}$ on the interface.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{y}^{\text {interface, } \mathrm{n}+1}=E_{y}^{\text {interface, } \mathrm{n}}+\frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon_{y}} \\
& \cdot\left[\frac{H_{x}^{2, n+1 / 2}-H_{x}^{1, n+1 / 2}}{\Delta z}-\frac{H_{z}^{2, n+1 / 2}-H_{z}^{1, n+1 / 2}}{\Delta x}\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We need to borrow the electric field $E_{y}^{\text {interface }}$ on the interface when we calculate the magnetic field $H_{z}^{2}$ in the subdomain 2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{z}^{2, n+1 / 2}=H_{z}^{2, n-1 / 2} \\
& +\frac{\Delta t}{\mu_{z}}\left[\frac{E_{x}^{2, n}-E_{x}^{1}}{\Delta y}-\frac{E_{y}^{2, n}-E_{y}^{1, \text { interface }}}{\Delta x}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the MPI library, the communication of the electric and magnetic fields between the subdomains 1 and 2 are realized by the MPI functions MPI_Send and MPI_Recv. The information is changed through the high performance network system. OpenMP is based on the fine grid technique in the shared memory system, and its information exchange is through a shared memory. In the optimization of the parallel FDTD code, we need to achieve a balance between the minimum area of interface and performance of network. Internal consistency should be maintained

Uniform Memory Access (UMA) is a shared memory architecture used in parallel computers, as shown in Fig. 2. In the UMA model, all the processors share the physical memory uniformly, and access time to a memory location is independent of which processor makes the request or which memory chip contains the transferred
data. The UMA model is suitable for general purpose and time sharing applications by multiple users. Contrasted with UMA, NUMA is a shared memory architecture that describes the placement of main memory modules with respect to processors in a multiprocessor system, as shown in Fig. 3. Based on the idea, however, Intel and AMD use the different technical paths to realize the NUMA architecture.

To better understanding NUMA roles in parallel FDTD method, we do many simulations using GEMS with NUMA and UMA policy, respectively. For example, the command for running the GEMS project with NUMA is:

```
    mpirun -np 9 -machinefile hosts nuamctl--
physcpubind=0-8,9-15 /gpfsAPP/GEMS
/GEMS_Solver test.gpv
```

and the command for running the GEMS project without NUMA is:

## mpirun -np 9 -machinefile hosts /gpfsAPP <br> /GEMS/GEMS Solver test.gpv

In addition, job balancing plays an important role in determining performance of the parallel code. Proper job balancing can obtain good performance of the parallel FDTD code on the HPC system, while improper job balancing may reduce the performance of parallel code for most of the processors in the cluster to that of "waiting" during the simulation process. Another important factor that affects the parallel efficiency is the division of the sub-domains according to the allocation of the array in the computer's memory. Processor affinity is a modification of the native central queue scheduling algorithm in a symmetric multiprocessing operating system. Taking advantage of the fact that some remnants of a process may remain in one processor's state from the last time the process ran, we can enhance the performance of parallel FDTD code on a HPC cluster. For example, if we use two nodes (4 CPUS, 16 cores) to run GEMS with binding rank to nodes, CPUs and cores, respectively, we should first edit the rank files for banding nodes, CPUs and cores as following:

For binding nodes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rank } 0=\text { host } 0 \text { slot }=0-7 \\
& \text { rank } 1=\text { host1 slot }=0-7
\end{aligned}
$$

For binding CPUs:
rank $0=$ host0 slot $=0-3$
rank $1=$ host0 slot $=4-7$
rank $2=$ host1 slot=0-3
rank 3=hostl slot=4-7
For binding Cores:
rank 0=host0 slot=0
rank $1=$ host 0 slot $=1$
rank 2 $=$ host 0 slot $=2$
rank 3=host0 slot=3
rank 4=host0 slot=4
rank $7=$ host 0 slot $=7$
rank 8=host1 slot=0
rank $15=$ host1 slot $=7$
And the following commands will be used to run GEMS testing project.
mpirun -np $n$-machinefile hosts -rf ranks /opt/GEMS/bin64/GEMS_Solver test.gpv


Fig. 1. Distributions of electric and magnetic fields near the subdomain interface.


Fig. 2. UMA architecture.


Fig. 3. NUMA architecture.

## III. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT RESULTS

In this section, we introduce a parallel processing platform installed with Linux operating system and investigate GEMS performance on the platform. The HPC cluster shown in Table 1 includes 23 nodes ( 21 computation nodes and 2 master nodes) and each node has two CPUs with Intel Xeon X5550 2.7 GHz processor. The 10 Gbps Ethernet is used to connect the computation nodes. To evaluate the performance of the FDTD code, we define the performance as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Performance }(\text { Mcells } / s) \\
& =\frac{\left(N_{x} \times N_{y} \times N_{z}\right) \times \text { Number_of_timesteps }}{\text { Simulation_time_ }(\text { second })}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{x}, N_{y}, N_{z}$ are the number of grids in $x, y$ and $z$ direction, respectively.

Firstly, NetPIPE [13] was used to test the performance of a network inside a node and internode. Table 2 gives NetPIPE results about the bandwidth, which shows that the network speed inside node is around 4 times of the internode. The NetPIPE results about the latency is described in Fig. 4, which shows the internode has a latency that is over 3 times than that inside-node. To test the job balancing role in determining performance of the parallel FDTD, a job with different processes has been run in one node of the HPC cluster. Fig. 5 shows the performance of the parallel code on one node with different processes, which indicates that job balancing plays an important role for performance of a parallel code.

An ideal case that is a hollow box with the simplest excitation and output, and its domain is truncated by using the Perfect Electric Conductor (PEC) boundary condition, was used as an example to study the impacts of processor affinity
on parallel FDTD performance. The project settings including the number of unknowns, excitation type, output parameters and binging strategy (Binding each rank by node, by CPU and by core) are identical in the cluster simulations. Fig. 6 shows the performance of the parallel FDTD with different banding strategy, which indicates that parallel FDTD with banding rank to CPU give the best performance, and the worst case is banding rank to core. For example, the performances of the parallel FDTD code using 18 nodes are $5300 \mathrm{Mcells} / \mathrm{sec}, 4900 \mathrm{Mcells} / \mathrm{sec}$, and 2700 Mcells/sec for binding rank to CPUs, nodes and cores, respectively. From the results shown in Fig. 6, we can also see that the job balancing between the internode and inside node play an important role to obtain good performance. If we bind each process to each core, we will suffer from the high latency of messages transmitting for there are more processes created between nodes. Binding each process to each node, we will not use the whole processor. However, if we choose to bind each process to CPU, all processors can be used and the latency of messages transmitting is less than that by banding to core.

Then, NUMA policy is used to reduce memory access time in the average case through the fast introduction of local memory. For NUMA providing each node with its own local memory, memory accesses, parallel code with NUMA policy can avoid throughput limitations. The performances of GEMS with NUMA policy are plotted in Fig. 7, where the numactl command is used as a plugin of GEMS software. As a compared date, the performances of GEMS without NUMA policy are also shown in Fig. 7. Comparing the results shown in Fig. 7, we can obtain that the performance of GEMS with NUMA is around 1.5 times than that without NUMA. For example, the performances of GEMS using 18 nodes of the HPC system are 3400 Mcells/sec and 5400 Mcells/sec for without NUMA policy and with NUMA policy, respectively.

Finally, we use the different options described above to simulate a reflector antenna fed by a dual mode circular horn, as shown in Fig. 8. The thinner horn part is excited by TE11 mode. The transit will generate the TM10 mode and have the same magnitude and out of phase with the TE11 mode at the end of the thicker horn. This horn will
generate a very low slob by cancelling the fields generated by the TE11 and TM10 modes.

Table 1: HPC cluster information

| Computation <br> Nodes (21) | CPU type | Intel Xeon E5520 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clock speed | 2.67 GHz |
|  | Number of nodes | 23 |
| Master <br> Nodes(2) | Available memory | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{~GB}(\mathrm{DDR} 3 \\ & 1067 \mathrm{MHz}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Operating system | Cent OS (Linux) |
|  | Network svstem | BNT 10Gbps Ethernet |

Table 2: NetPIPE testing results: bandwidth

| Netpipe | Internode | 8822.02 Mbps |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | Inside node | 33462.93 Mbps |



Fig. 4. NetPIPE testing results: latency.


Fig. 5. Testing results about job balancing problem.


Fig. 6. Parallel FDTD performance with different binding strategy.


Fig. 7. Parallel PDTD performance with NUMA and without NUMA.

Finally, we use the different options described above to simulate a reflector antenna fed by a dual mode circular horn, as shown in Fig. 8. The thinner horn part is excited by TE11 mode. The transit will generate the TM10 mode and have the same magnitude and out of phase with the TE11 mode at the end of the thicker horn. This horn will generate a very low slob by cancelling the fields generated by the TE11 and TM10 modes.

Due to the symmetric property, we need only to simulate one quart of the original problem. The original domain size is $770 \mathrm{~mm} \times 770 \mathrm{~mm} \times 670$ mm , and the one quart domain size is $385 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $385 \mathrm{~mm} \times 670 \mathrm{~mm}$, which is discretized into 569 $\times 569 \times 1144$ non-uniform cells. Output parameters include the far field pattern and return
loss. This is a very large problem, which cannot be solved by two nodes of the HPC directly even using parallel FDTD method. The return loss of the reflector antenna is plotted in Fig.9, and Fig. 10 gives directivity of the parabolic reflector antenna at working frequency 12 GHz .

The comparison between with and without NUMA option is shown in Fig. 11, where 4 computation nodes are used to simulate the problem. Fig. 11 shows that parallel FDTD with banding rank to CPU give the best performance, and the performance of GEMS with NUMA is around 1.5 times than that without NUMA. To investigate the parallel efficiency of the parallel FDTD, 18 computation nodes are used to simulate the parabolic reflector antenna fed by a dual mode circular horn. The performance and consumed time of parallel FDTD using 18 computation nodes are illustrated in Fig. 12. Comparing the results in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, we can obtain that the parallel efficiency of parallel FDTD is almost $90 \%$. For example, when we run the parallel FDTD code by binding each rank to CPU, the consumed time of parallel FDTD with NUMA using 4 nodes is 2 hours, and that using 18 nodes is 23 minutes.


Fig. 8. Parabolic reflector antenna fed by a dual mode circular horn.


Fig. 9. Return loss of the parabolic reflector antenna.


Fig. 10. Directivity of the parabolic reflector antenna at working frequency 12 GHz . (A) $\phi$ cut-plane with $\phi=90^{\circ}$ (B) $\theta$ cut-plane with $\theta=90^{\circ}$.


Fig. 11. Parallel FDTD with NUMA and without NUMA using 4 computation nodes. (A) Performance of parallel FDTD. (B) Consumed time of parallel FDTD.


Fig. 12. Parallel FDTD with NUMA and without NUMA using 18 computation nodes. (A) Performance of parallel FDTD. (B) Consumed time of parallel FDTD.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the processor affinity and NUMA policy are used to enhance the performance of a parallel FDTD code on a HPC cluster. By binding each rank to the node, CPU and core, we investigate the effect of processor affinity on parallel FDTD code performance and find that the processor affinity has significant impacts on the performance. With the advantage of NUMA policy that can reduce memory access time, the parallel FDTD code using NUMA policy can obtain better performance than that without NUMA policy. The proposed methods for optimizing the performance of parallel FDTD code are suite for other parallel code, which is very useful enhance the performance of a HPC cluster.
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#### Abstract

The calculation of the eddy-current losses is one of the most important aspects that must be considered in the design of transformers and electrical machines. In this paper, a comprehensive 2D finite element (FE) model for calculating the eddy-current losses in a tank-wall of the transformer is presented. The FE model takes into account the Surface Impedance Boundary Condition (SIBC). A detailed 2D-SIBC formulation in terms of the magnetic vector potential is described. The SIBC is incorporated into the FE formulation by using the Galerkin method. An axi-symmetric electromagnetic model of the transformer is solved by applying the SIBC formulation for calculating the loss intensity distribution along the vertical tank-wall. To demonstrate the validity of the SIBC formulation, the results are compared against those computed with a model based on first-order triangular elements. The advantages of using the SIBC formulation in the modeling of power transformers are highlighted.


Index Terms - Eddy current losses, finite element method, power transformer, surface impedance boundary condition.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Finite Element Method (FEM) is a computational tool that can be applied in several fields of electrical engineering where knowledge of electromagnetic fields is needed [1]. Alwash et al. used the 3D-FEM to analyze a helical motion induction motor [2]. Afjei et al. applied the finite
element (FE) in a switched reluctance generator under faulty conditions [3]. B. Ali et al. presented a 3D-FEM analysis in modeling periodic structures using high-order multiscalets functions [4]. Wan et al. implemented an efficient FE timedomain method via a hierarchical matrix algorithm for electromagnetic simulation [5]. Torkaman et al. applied the 3D-FEM to evaluate the main characteristics of a three-phase external rotor switched reluctance motor [6].

The power transformer is an essential component and the most expensive asset within the transmission and distribution electrical networks [7]. A transformer includes several metallic parts, such as frames, shunts, and the tank. In these metallic parts, the stray losses are generated by the magnetic flux leakage of the transformer windings. The prediction of the stray losses in the transformer is fundamental at the design stage. This can help to avoid the presence of hot spots on the surface of metallic components. In oil-immersed transformers, the appearance of hot spots may provoke an undesirable overheating of conductive regions. This may generate internal gases, which may lead to the transformer failure [8].

In conductive regions exposed to time varying electromagnetic fields where the penetration depth is much smaller than their domain size, the Surface Impedance Boundary Condition (SIBC) can be used to reduce the FE model size. Hence, the aim of combining the FEM and the SIBC is to reduce the computational cost needed in the solution of an eddy-current problem. The SIBC is
based in the analytical solution of the diffusion equation. In FE transformer modeling, the computational cost to obtain stray losses in regions with induced eddy currents is high. This is due to the equipment size and the large number of finite elements needed in the discretisation of the metallic parts. Hence, the Surface Impedance Boundary Condition (SIBC) represents an economic alternative for calculating these stray losses because it avoids the FE meshing of conducting parts. The FE literature recommends the usage of line elements to represent the conductive regions with the SIBC [9-11]. For this reason, the incorporation of the SIBC into a FE model presents two important advantages in its usage. Firstly the resulting model decreases the computational cost and secondly it can easily be implemented into a FE code.

Several researchers have applied the SIBC for calculating the stray losses in power transformers. Holland et al. used 3D FE and SIBC to analyze the tank-wall losses of a three-phase transformer [12]. Guerin et al. made a simulation of a three-phase transformer using the volume AV, shell AV and surface impedance formulations [13]. Guerin et al. also applied the non-linear surface impedance condition using a B-H rectangular curve known as Agarwal curve to simulate an 100 MVA threephase transformer [14].

In addition, some papers have published the application of the SIBC in electrical motors and in the time domain. Adamiak et al. analyzed a lowspeed linear induction motor using the 2D SIBC [15]. Yuferev et al. presented several high order generalized expressions of the SIBC, which were obtained by solving the diffusion equation using a perturbation technique [16]. Sabariego et al. developed a dual formulation of the time-domain SIBC both the magnetic field and magnetic vector potential [17]. Sabariego et al. also combined the SIBC in the time domain with a coarse volume FE discretisation of the massive conductors to capture the slowly varying flux components [18].

Futhermore, the SIBC has also been applied to high frequencies problems. Sakellaris et al. developed a SIBC formulation based in the magnetic vector potential, which was applied to a high frequency problem [19]. Darcherif et al. applied the SIBC to obtain the parameters of multiconductor and shielded cables at medium and high frequencies [20].

However, the above references show a lack of a clarity in the SIBC formulation, which does not help to its implementation into a FE code. A FE beginner will grasp easily a detailed step-by-step SIBC formulation such that can be incorporated into his FE code. This can be useful in those situations where the usage of commercial software is not available due to its high cost.

In this paper, a comprehensive SIBC 2D-FE linear formulation is presented. This formulation is expressed in terms of the magnetic vector potential. To illustrate the SIBC application, an axi-symmetric model of the transformer is solved. The loss intensity on the vertical tank-wall of the transformer is obtained using the Poynting's vector formulation. The SIBC model is compared against the results of a first order FE model of the transformer. The results obtained demonstrate the validity of using the SIBC for calculating the stray losses on the tank-wall of a transformer.

## II. FINITE ELEMENT DISCRETISATION

The diffusion equation can be derived from the Maxwell's equations. It describes the behavior of the electromagnetic fields in the frequency domain and it is given by [9].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \nabla^{2} A=j \omega \sigma A-J_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $\sigma$ and $v$ are the conductivity and reluctivity of the material, respectively. $A$ is the magnetic vector potential. $J_{0}$ is the imposed current density.

The FEM can be used to obtain the solution to the diffusion equation in 2D. By applying the Galerkin method, where the residual is multiplied by a weighted function and by using first-order FE, the solution of (1) is given by (2) [19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v}{4 \Delta}[S][A]+\frac{j \omega \sigma \Delta}{12}[T][A]=\frac{J_{0} \Delta}{3}[I], \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrices $S$ and $T$ are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[S]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2} & b_{1} b_{2}+c_{1} c_{2} & b_{1} b_{3}+c_{1} c_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{2}+c_{1} c_{2} & b_{2}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} & b_{2} b_{3}+c_{2} c_{3} \\
b_{1} b_{3}+c_{1} c_{3} & b_{2} b_{3}+c_{2} c_{3} & b_{3}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right],}  \tag{3}\\
{[T]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right],[I]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right],[A]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3}
\end{array}\right] .} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are geometrical coefficients and $\Delta$ is the area of the element. $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are the nodal potentials on the element.

The calculation of the loss intensity $P$ of a firstorder FE is given by (5), (6) and (7) [21]

$$
\begin{gather*}
P=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{J J^{*}}{2 \sigma}\right\},  \tag{5}\\
J=\sigma E  \tag{6}\\
E=-j \omega \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)}{3}, \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J$ is the eddy current density in a triangular element, $J^{*}$ is its complex conjugate and $E$ is the electric field.

## III. THE SIBC FORMULATION

The SIBC has its origin in the phenomena known as skin effect, where the flux density is concentrated at the surface of the conductive material. This effect can be found in regions characterized by high values of permeability, conductivity or frequency. The diffusion equation without the presence of current sources is given by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})=j \omega \mu \sigma \mathbf{A} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the permeability of the material.
The one-dimension (1D) form of (8) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x^{2}}=j \omega \mu \sigma A \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

its analytical solution is given by (10) [10], and the normal derivative of the magnetic vector potential is given by (11).

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=A_{0} e^{-\gamma x},  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial A}{\partial n}=-\gamma A_{0} e^{-\gamma x}=-\gamma A, \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{0}$ is the magnetic vector potential of the separating surface. $\gamma$ and the penetration depth $\delta$ are defined by (12) and (13), respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma & =\frac{1+j}{\delta}  \tag{12}\\
\delta & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \mu \sigma}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

To implement the concept of SIBC into a FE formulation, the diffusion equation is solved using
the neighbor region jointed to the boundary with eddy currents [20].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times\left(v_{1} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{1}$ is the reluctivity of the region without eddy currents.

By discretizing (14) with the FE Galerkin method and using the normal derivative (11) of the 1 D analytical solution, the following result is obtained,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1} \int_{\Gamma} N \frac{\partial A}{\partial n} d \Gamma=-v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma} N A d \Gamma, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{2}$ is the reluctivity of the region with eddy currents and $N$ stands for the shape function.

Taking into account the right hand side of (15) and the linear interpolation of the potentials within a finite element, the expression (16) can be obtained,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma} N A d \Gamma=-v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma}\left[N^{e}\right]^{T}\left[N^{e}\right]\left[A^{e}\right] d \Gamma, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[N^{c}\right]$ are the shape functions of the linear element and $\left[A^{e}\right]$ are the unknown nodal potentials, which are expressed by (17) and (18). $T$ means matrix transpose.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[N^{e}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
N_{1} & N_{2}
\end{array}\right],}  \tag{17}\\
{\left[A^{e}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2}
\end{array}\right] .} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, the discretisation of (16) of a linear element is as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma} N A d \Gamma= \\
& -v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
N_{1}^{2} & N_{1} N_{2} \\
N_{1} N_{2} & N_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \\
A_{2}
\end{array}\right] d \Gamma . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the definite integral given in (20), the values of the characteristic matrix are obtained,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma} N_{1}^{a} N_{2}^{b} d \Gamma=\frac{a!b!l}{(a+b+1)!}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the length of the linear element and it is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are their spatial coordinates.
Therefore, Eq. (19) can be written as

$$
-v_{2} \gamma \int_{\Gamma} N A d \Gamma=-\frac{v_{2} \gamma l}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1  \tag{22}\\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \\
A_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Consequently, by employing the SIBC, the elemental matrix (2) can be transformed into:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{v_{1}}{4 \Delta}[S][A]+\frac{j \omega \sigma_{1} \Delta}{12}[T][A] \\
+(1+j) \frac{v_{2} l}{6 \delta_{s}}[P][A]=\frac{J_{0} \Delta}{3}[I],  \tag{23}\\
{[P]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],}  \tag{24}\\
\delta_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{2 v_{2}}{\omega \sigma_{2}}} . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\sigma_{1}$ is the conductivity of the 2 D region and $\sigma_{2}$ is the conductivity of the SIBC region.

The SIBC edge of the first-order FE is located between the first two local nodes, Fig. 1.

## IV. APPLICATION OF THE SIBC TO A TRANSFORMER

This section presents the application of the SIBC for calculating the stray losses on the tankwall of a transformer. The theory of Poynting's vector states that at the surface of good conductors the tangential components of the electric and magnetic fields are approximately proportional to each other. This is known as surface impedance [11].

The magnetic field intensity $\mathbf{H}$ within one FE is given by (26) [9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{H}=v_{2} \mathbf{B}=v_{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_{z} A_{0} e^{-\gamma x} \\
& =\mathbf{u}_{y} v_{2} \gamma A_{0} e^{-\gamma x}=\mathbf{u}_{y} v_{2} \gamma A, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

and the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ is expressed as (27).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=-j \omega \mathbf{A}=-\mathbf{u}_{z} j \omega A_{0} e^{-\gamma x}=-\mathbf{u}_{z} j \omega A . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Line element with SIBC between two first local nodes of a triangular element.

By employing (26) and (27), the surface impedance, $Z_{s}$, is obtained as (28).

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{s}=\frac{E_{t}}{H_{t}}=\frac{|\mathbf{E}|}{|\mathbf{H}|}=\frac{j \omega}{v_{2} \gamma}=\frac{\gamma}{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{(1+j)}{\sigma_{2} \delta_{s}}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{t}$ and $H_{t}$ are the tangential components of the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

Therefore the resulting equations for the postprocessing of the solution are (29) and (30).

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{t}=-j \omega \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2},  \tag{29}\\
H_{t}=\frac{E_{t} \sigma_{2} \delta_{s}}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

The loss intensity $P_{e}$ within a linear element is obtained by using the Poynting vector and it is given by (31).

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{s}\right)\left|H_{t}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left|H_{t}\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{2} \delta_{s}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The SIBC formulation is implemented in a C language program and the solver PARDISO is used to solve the resulting system of linear equations [22-23].

For a student, the demonstration of the validity of the SIBC formulation is illustrative. For this purpose, two FE meshes of the axi-symmetric model of the transformer (Fig. 2) are constructed. The loss intensity along the vertical tank-wall is calculated by employing two FE meshes. One mesh is generated using first-order FE to represent the tank-wall while the other mesh is created using line elements that represent the SIBC. The thickness of the tank-wall is 4.83 mm . The parameters of the transformer model are shown in Table 1 and the electrical frequency is 60 Hz . Therefore, the skin depth has a value of 1.2579 mm which is smaller than the thickness of the tank-wall. The FE meshes were generated using a free software library [24]. A computer with an Intel dual-core processor was used in the simulations. The mesh size and the computational time for each model are indicated in Table 2. It can be seen that by using the SIBC approach, the mesh size can be reduced by $57.44 \%$ and the computational time can be decreased by $52.34 \%$.

The comparative results of eddy current losses by using the SIBC and FE used to represent the plate of transformer tank-wall are shown in Table 3.


Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the transformer FE model.

It can be seen that both results are almost of the same magnitude. This demonstrates the validity of the SIBC formulation. Table 3 also shows that losses are mainly concentrated in the vertical tank wall due to the small loss increment obtained in the total stray losses.

The flux distribution obtained with the model that uses first-order FE is shown in Fig. 3, whereas the solution obtained by using the SIBC formulation is illustrated in Fig. 4. It can be seen that both flux distributions are very similar.

In order to compare the loss intensity on the vertical tank-wall, it is firstly computed with a first-order FE mesh. A technique was developed to obtain this surface representation of loss intensity. This technique is based on the linear distribution property of losses within a FE. Firstly, the total area of the vertical tank-wall is divided into equidistant rectangular regions. This allows the calculation of loss intensity by using the loss quantity allocated in each rectangular region. An interpolation technique was used to obtain the amount of the losses in the rectangular area.

Table 1: Parameters of the transformer model

| Region | Current | Turns | $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LV | 5552.3 A | 6.0 | 1.0 | - |
| HV | 53.56 A | 622.0 | 1.0 | - |
|  |  |  | Non- <br> linear | 0.0 S |
| Core | - | - | (M4) |  |
|  |  | - | 1.0 | 0.0 S |
| Oil | - | - | 400.0 | 6.67 e 6 S |
| Tank | - |  |  |  |

Thereafter, the losses of each rectangular region are divided along its vertical length (height). Since the problem is axi-symmetric, the losses are also divided by its cylindrical depth, $2 \pi r$, where $r$ is the radius of the tank-wall surface. To illustrate the above process, a mesh with six rectangular regions (shaded) is shown in Fig. 5. To complement the analysis presented in this paper, the magnetic nonlinearity of the tank-wall material is included in the FE model. The Newton-Raphson algorithm is employed to solve the resulting nonlinear equations. The A36 steel is used to represent the tank-wall. The loss intensity behavior in the transformer tank-wall is shown in Fig. 6. It can be observed that the nonlinear model underpredicts the loss intensity with respect to the linear FE and SIBC-FE models. This is a useful result for manufacturers because a linear solution can be used to approximately predict the eddy current losses. The rather small loss difference is due to the slightly saturation of the transformer plates since it is only excited by the magnetic leakage fluxes of the transformer windings.

Table 2: CPU time and mesh size for both models

| Model | CPU time | Nodes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Linear FE | 40.92 s | 278,249 |
| Linear SIBC-FE | 21.42 s | 160,018 |
| Non-linear FE | 253.81 s | 278,249 |

Table 3: Computed stray losses of the transformer

| Model | Vertical tank- <br> wall losses | Total tank- <br> wall losses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Linear FE | 84.3245 W | 86.9237 W |
| Linear | 84.5805 W | 87.1889 W |
| SIBC-FE |  |  |
| Non-linear <br> FE | 77.5774 W | 79.2268 W |



Fig. 3. Flux distribution in the FE linear model of the transformer.


Fig. 4. Flux distribution in the SIBC-FE linear model.


Fig. 5. Projection of the FE losses in the vertical tank-wall into an equivalent surface.


Fig. 6. Loss intensity behavior along the vertical tank-wall.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a comprehensive FE-SIBC formulation has been presented and applied to the modeling of the transformer tank-wall. The formulation allows an easy understanding of the SIBC and its incorporation into a FE code. Besides, it was illustrated that this formulation has advantages in terms of computational time and mesh size, which makes it attractive for computing stray losses in large electrical equipment. An axisymmetric FE model of the transformer was
developed and the SIBC formulation was used to represent the tank-wall. In order to compare the results obtained by using the SIBC and FE models, a projection technique of the 2D-FE losses was developed. Finally, it was shown that the SIBC is a useful approach that can be used in conductive regions allowing to decrease the FE mesh size.
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#### Abstract

A novel compact ultra-wideband (UWB) monopole antenna with triple high quality notch bands is presented. By attaching a T-shaped strip to the semicircular patch on the front side and adding a rectangular ring on the back side, a notch band for WiMAX is obtained. Furthermore, by symmetrically etching a pair of curved slots and a pair of L-shaped slots in the radiation patch, band rejected filtering properties in the lower WLAN band and upper WLAN band are also achieved. Experimental results show that the designed antenna, with compact size of $24 \times 28 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ has an impedance bandwidth of $2.75 \mathrm{GHz}-14.7 \mathrm{GHz}$ for VSWR $<2$, except three frequency stop bands of $3.3 \mathrm{GHz}-3.75 \mathrm{GHz}, 4.77 \mathrm{GHz}-5.4 \mathrm{GHz}$, and $5.7 \mathrm{GHz}-$ 6.23 GHz . Moreover, good omnidirectional radiation patterns in the H -plane are also obtained.


Index Terms - Compact, monopole antenna, rejected bands, UWB applications.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-wideband ( UWB ) technology has undergone many significant developments in recent years [1-2]. However, there still remain many challenges in making this technology alive up to its full potential [3-4]. Planar monopole antennas have been found to be excellent candidates to operate in UWB systems, owing to wide bandwidth, simple structure and omnidirectional radiation patterns [5]. However, over the UWB frequency band ranging from 3.1 GHz to 10.6 GHz , other frequency bands exist such as WiMAX band ( $3.3 \mathrm{GHz}-3.7 \mathrm{GHz}$ ), and WLAN band $(5.15 \mathrm{GHz}-5.35 \mathrm{GHz}, 5.725 \mathrm{GHz}-$ 5.825 GHz ). Therefore, many band notched technologies [6-9] have been reported such as
etching a pair of asymmetrical spurlines on the feedline [10], embedding a pair of $\Gamma$-shaped stubs in the patch and G-slot on the ground plane [11]. Nevertheless, all these antennas have only two notch bands and especially have only one notch band for WLAN in $5.15 \mathrm{GHz}-5.825 \mathrm{GHz}$. This reveals that potential interference from other narrow bands may still exist and the frequency range between $5.35 \mathrm{GHz}-5.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ cannot be utilized with these antennas.

In this paper, a novel compact monopole UWB antenna with three independent notch bands is presented. By attaching a T-shaped strip to the semicircular patch on the front side and adding a rectangular ring on the back side, a notch band of $3.3 \mathrm{GHz}-3.7 \mathrm{GHz}$ is achieved. To realize another two narrow bands of WLAN centered at 5.2 GHz and 5.8 GHz , a pair of curved slots and a pair of Lshaped slots are etched in the patch symmetrically. Details of this antenna are presented and the measured results are given to demonstrate its performance.

## II. ANTENNA DESIGN

Fig. 1(a) and (b) show the geometry of the proposed band notched antenna, which is fabricated on a FR4 substrate of thickness 1.6 mm and permittivity 4.5 . The width of the feeding line is fixed at 3.2 mm to achieve $50 \Omega$ characteristic impedance (at the centre frequency). The proposed compact band notched antenna is based on a simple circular monopole antenna. The notches defected on the ground plane is designed to achieve better impedance matching over the entire UWB frequency band, because the modified truncation creates a capacitive load that neutralizes the inductive nature of the patch to produce nearly pure resistive input impedance. To realize the
notch band for WiMAX band, a T-shaped strip was connected to the semicircular patch on the front side and a rectangular ring was added on the back side. Therefore, an extra resonator was constructed. Adjusting the related dimensions to make it resonates at the desired notch frequency ( 3.5 GHz ). Because of the symmetrical characteristics of such resonator, the fields yielded by the currents concentrated in the resonator cancel each other. As a result, a steep rejection property is achieved.


Fig. 1. Geometry of the proposed band notched antenna: (a) front view. (b) back view.

Similarly, the second notch band for lower WLAN band ( $5.15 \mathrm{GHz}-5.35 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) comes from the pair of L-shaped slots on the patch and the third rejection band for upper WLAN band $(5.725 \mathrm{GHz}-$ 5.825 GHz ) is attributed to the two curved slots on the patch.

## III. RESULATS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed compact monopole antenna with triple high quality rejected bands is constructed, and the numerical and experimental results are presented and discussed. The parameters of this proposed antenna are studied by changing one parameter at a time and fixing the others. To fully understand the behavior of the antenna's structure and to determine the optimum parameters, the antenna was analyzed using the commercial software CST. And a photograph of some fabricated prototypes with optimal design, i.e. $d_{0}=1 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{l}=5.9 \mathrm{~mm}, d=0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, s_{l}=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, $s_{2}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}, w_{l}=0.7 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{r}=10.8 \mathrm{~mm}, W_{r}=7.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, is shown in Fig. 2.


Fig. 2. Prototype of the proposed band notched antenna.

In order to minimize the physical size of the proposed antenna, the upper half circular patch was cut first and then a T shaped strip is attached to the lower half circular patch. As a result, the maximum equivalent electrical length is effectively lengthened thus compact size can be achieved. Furthermore, by adding a rectangular ring on the back, a notch band is achieved. Fig. 3 exhibits the effects of the rectangular ring to the performance of the proposed antenna. And from the results it can be observed that the inner length of the rectangular $L_{r}$ have only impact on the first notch band. With the parameter $L_{r}$ decreases from 11.6 mm to 10.0 mm gradually, the first rejected band shifts right correspondingly, while the other two rejected bands almost remain still.


Fig. 3. Simulated VSWRs for the proposed antenna with different length of $L r$.


Fig. 4. Simulated VSWRs for various width $w_{l}$.


Fig. 5. Simulated VSWRs for various distances $d$.

Moreover, the VSWRs for various width $w_{l}$ are plotted in Fig. 4. It can be observed that the parameter $w_{l}$ has only great impact on the second
notch band. And with ' $w_{l}$ ' increases from 0.5 mm to 0.9 mm , the second notch band shift left gradually. Similarly, the parameter $d$ mostly affects the third notched band alone, as shown in Fig. 5. And with $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ increase from 0.2 mm to 0.6 mm , the third rejected band shifts right while the other two notch bands stand still.

(a) At 3.5 GHz

(b) At 5.2 GHz

(c) At 5.8 GHz

Fig. 6. The current distribution at different frequencies: (a) at 3.5 GHz . (b) at 5.2 GHz . (c) at 5.8 GHz .

The simulated current distribution of the proposed antenna at $3.5 \mathrm{GHz}, 5.2 \mathrm{GHz}$, and 5.8 GHz for the optimal design is presented in Fig. 6 (a), (b) and (c), respectively. It can be seen that the current at the notch frequencies are symmetrically distributed. Accordingly the radiation fields generated by the oppositely directed currents cancel each other at the notch frequencies. Thus notch bands are obtained. Furthermore, the current is mainly distributed along the T-shaped strip and the rectangular ring at 3.5 GHz , and distributed along the edge of the L-shaped slots at 5.2 GHz . While at 5.8 GHz the current is mostly exists along the curved slots. As a result, the three notch bands are independent adjustable, which is very convenient when it comes to specific application.


Fig. 7. (a) Simulated and measured VSWR for the proposed antenna. (b) The antenna gain of the proposed antenna and the reference antenna.

The simulated and measured VSWR of the proposed band notched UWB antenna is shown in Fig. 7 (a). Furthermore, the simulated VSWR of
the UWB antenna without notched characteristics (the traditional circular monopole antenna) is also shown for comparison. It can be observed that the designed antenna has wideband performance of $3 \mathrm{GHz}-15 \mathrm{GHz}$ for VSWR $<2$, except three steep rejection bands of $3.3 \mathrm{GHz}-3.75 \mathrm{GHz}, 4.77 \mathrm{GHz}-$ 5.4 GHz , and $5.7 \mathrm{GHz}-6.23 \mathrm{GHz}$. And the realized gain showed in Fig. 7 (b) exhibits three sharp gain decreases at $3.3 \mathrm{GHz}-3.75 \mathrm{GHz}, 4.77 \mathrm{GHz}-5.4 \mathrm{GHz}$, and $5.7 \mathrm{GHz}-6.23 \mathrm{GHz}$. Particularly, compared with other band notched antennas, the proposed antenna with VSWRs $>19$ at all the three notch bands demonstrates even much better rejection characteristics. Furthermore, the frequency range between $5.4 \mathrm{GHz}-5.7 \mathrm{GHz}$ can be utilized with the proposed antenna, which is rejected by other WLAN band notched antennas. Figure 8 shows the radiation patterns of the proposed antenna at $3.1 \mathrm{GHz}, 5.4 \mathrm{GHz}$, and 7.5 GHz , respectively. It can be seen that the proposed antenna exhibits a fairly good omnidirectional radiation pattern in the H plane and a dipole-like radiation pattern in the Eplane.


Fig. 8. The radiation patterns of the proposed antenna: (a) E plane. (b) H plane.

Furthermore, as a very important aspect of a practical antenna, the efficiency of the proposed antenna is also investigated. The simulated
efficiency of the proposed band notched antenna is shown in Fig. 9. And the efficiency of the reference UWB antenna is also shown for comparison. It can be observed that the efficiency of the reference UWB antenna without notched characteristics is mostly above $80 \%$ in the operation band. However, the efficiency of the proposed band notched antenna is not more than $10 \%$ in the three notch bands, while almost remain the same in the operation band.


Fig. 9. The efficiency of the proposed antenna and the reference antenna.

## IV. CONCLUSION

A novel compact UWB printed monopole antenna with triple high quality rejected bands has been presented. The notch bands are realized by attaching a T -shaped strip to the semicircular patch on the front side and adding a rectangular ring on the back side, etching a pair of L-shaped slots and a pair of curved slots in the radiation patch. Both wide bandwidth and good monopolelike radiation patterns are obtained. The proposed antenna's features such as sufficient and independent adjustable band notches, higher rejection peak, wide bandwidth, and omnidirectional radiation patterns, show that the proposed antenna is a very good candidate for UWB applications.
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#### Abstract

The hybrid volume-surface integral equation (VSIE) method has the advantage of solving electromagnetic scattering problems involving complex structure mixed metal with dielectric. In this paper, a method combining VSIE with overlapped domain decomposition method (ODDM) is used to analyze electromagnetic scattering problems successfully. To further improve efficiency, the multilevel fast multipole algorithm (MLFMA) is adopted, then a novel VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA is proposed. Numerical results show that the proposed method has low memory requirement, fast convergence, and accurate simulation result. It indicates that the proposed method has the ability to analyze complicated electromagnetic problems.


Index Terms - volume-surface integral equation, electromagnetic scattering, overlapped domain decomposition method, multilevel fast multipole algorithm.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The solution of the hybrid volume-surface integral equation (VSIE) is based on the method of moments (MoM) which has been widely used for numerical analysis of electromagnetic radiation and scattering problems [1-3]. For direct solver, the memory requirement is $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ and the CPU time is $O\left(N^{3}\right)$ in MoM. However, both of them in MoM are $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ for iterative solvers, where $N$
denotes the number of unknowns. For the electrically large problems, it is difficult to fulfill the requirement of memory and efficiency on single personal computer presently. The overlapped domain decomposition method (ODDM) has the function of decomposing the computed domain into several subdomains. Each subdomain is extended with a buffer domain. The solution of the whole domain could be completed by solving the extended subdomains circularly. Due to introducing buffer domain, the current edge-effect in each subdomain could be depressed effectively and the convergence of the outer iteration is much fast. For solving only one subdomain at a time, the ODDM has the advantage of saving computing resources. The fast multipole method (FMM) can accelerate the matrix vector product with complexity of $O\left(N^{1.5}\right)$ and its extension, the multilevel fast multipole algorithm (MLFMA) [4-7], further reduces the complexity to $O(N \log N)$ [8]. In this paper, a new VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA is proposed which combines both ODDM and MLFMA with VSIE. Numerical results show the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method. It demonstrates that the proposed method has the ability to analyze complicated electromagnetic problems.

## II. FORMULATION

The section presents the VSIE-ODDMMLFMA solver and its computational complexity analysis.

## A. Outline of the VSIE

Using the equivalence principle, the conducting bodies are replaced by equivalent surface currents and the dielectric materials are replaced by equivalent volume currents [9]. Above is the basic idea of the VSIE [10-11] method.

For the electromagnetic scattering problems involving complex structure mixed metal with dielectric, the integral equations can be expressed by mathematical relationship with corresponding magnetic vector and electric scalar potentials. As follows, the volume integral equation (VIE) and the surface integral equation (SIE) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}^{i}= & \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})}+j \omega \mathbf{A}_{V}(\mathbf{r})+\nabla \Phi_{V}(\mathbf{r}) \\
& +j \omega \mathbf{A}_{S}(\mathbf{r})+\nabla \Phi_{S}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \mathbf{r} \in V, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{tan}}^{i}= & {\left[j \omega \mathbf{A}_{V}(\mathbf{r})+\nabla \Phi_{V}(\mathbf{r})\right.} \\
& \left.+j \omega \mathbf{A}_{S}(\mathbf{r})+\nabla \Phi_{S}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{\mathrm{tan}} \quad \mathbf{r} \in S \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})$ is the permittivity of the dielectric material, $\mathbf{A}_{V}(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{A}_{S}(\mathbf{r}), \Phi_{V}(\mathbf{r})$, and $\Phi_{S}(\mathbf{r})$ are vector and scalar potentials produced by the volume and surface current, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{A}_{u}(\mathbf{r})=\mu_{0} \int_{u} \mathbf{J}_{u}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) g\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d u^{\prime} \quad u=S, V  \tag{3}\\
& \Phi_{u}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{1}{j \omega \varepsilon_{0}} \int_{u} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{u}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) g\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d u^{\prime} \\
& u=S, V . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In (3) and (4), $g\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{e^{-j k_{0}\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|}}{4 \pi\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|}$, the Green's function of free space, $\mathbf{J}_{S}$ is the surface current, $\mathbf{J}_{V}$ is the volume current which is related to the total electric flux density $\mathbf{D}$ in equation (1).

To solve the equations (1) and (2), the conducting surface is discretized into small triangular patches, while the dielectric region is divided into tetrahedral elements [9]. Employing both the Schaubert-Wilton-Glisson (SWG) [12] and the Rao-Wilton-Glisson (RWG) [1] basis functions in equations (1) and (2), then testing (1) with SWG basis function and testing (2) with RWG basis function, we can get a matrix equation which could be written as a submatrix form in the following:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
Z^{D D} & Z^{D M}  \tag{5}\\
Z^{M D} & Z^{M M}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{D n} \\
I_{M n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
E^{D} \\
E^{M}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where the first matrix is impedance matrix, $I_{D n}$ and $I_{M n}$ are the unknown expansion coefficients, $E^{D}$ and $E^{M}$ denote the excitation vectors. The more details of the VSIE can be found in [9].

## B. Basic principle of ODDM

When decomposing the whole computed domain to several subdomains, the corresponding impedance matrix [ $Z$ ] will be decomposed into several submatrices. The solution of the whole domain could be got by solving submatrix equations circularly. Above-mentioned process is the idea basis of domain decomposition method (DDM) [13]. For solving only one submatrix equation at a time, the memory requirement can be reduced. However, as the whole matrix equation need to be solved by iterative solvers, the computing time would become longer usually. Using parallel computation could improve efficiency. Similarly, employing preconditioned techniques can reduce iteration number and CPU time.


Fig. 1. The illustration of the DDM model.
As is shown in figure 1, if the domain is decomposed along the thin solid lines, there would be no common elements among the submatrices. In this way, the electric current of subdomain boundary would have singularity which can lead to the problem of low efficiency and slow convergence, even no convergence. In order to restrain electric current singularity, Brennan proposed a forward and backward buffer region (FBBR) iterative scheme which regards the forward or backward domain of subdomain boundary as buffer domain of the subdomain [14]. However, only the current edge-effect in one side of each subdomain is depressed. On the basis of the idea presented in [14], the ODDM was proposed in [15]. The dotted line in Figure 1 is the
boundary of the extended subdomain whose solution is restricted to the original subdomain by discarding the currents in buffer domain. The whole domain current could be got by solving circularly. Above paragraph has illustrated the basic principle of ODDM.

The ODDM involves twofold iterations including inner iteration and outer iteration. The iteration solving subdomain is inner iteration while the process of solving all the subdomains once is called as an outer iteration, in which the current in the whole domain is updated once by the inner iteration. The following figure has explained the relation between inner iteration and outer iteration.


Fig. 2. The relation between inner iteration and outer iteration.

In figure 2, the iterative domain denotes the extended subdomain that need to be computed and its complementary domain is the corresponding incident domain, the thin solid line is subdomain boundary, the dotted line is the boundary of the extended subdomain with buffer domain, i represents the sequence number of the solved subdomain and k denotes kth outer iteration.

## C. FMM and MLFMA

Based on FMM, the MLFMA has gained great success in solving electromagnetic problems with electrically large size [16-17]. MLFMA is the promotion of FMM in hierarchical structure. The basic principle of FMM is to divide scattering units which derived by discretizing scattering object into groups. The mutual coupling of any two scattering units is calculated by different methods according to the relative position of their groups. When they are adjacent, we use direct calculation method, otherwise, separate into three steps containing aggregation, translation and
disaggregation. As shown in Fig.3, for a given group of field point, firstly, the contributions of all scattering units in its non-adjacent group would be aggregated to the center of each group, secondly, the contributions of these groups would be translated from each center to the center of the given group, finally, all the contributions of the non-adjacent groups would be disaggregated from the center of the given group to each scattering unit in the group. For a group of source point, the group center represents the contributions of all scattering units in this group to its non-adjacent groups. For a group of field point, the group center represents the contributions of all non-adjacent groups to this group. In this way, the number of scattering center is considerably reduced [18-19].


Fig. 3. The direct interaction between two far-field elements is separated into three steps containing aggregation, translation and disaggregation.

The expression for matrix vector product in FMM is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{m n} I_{n}=\sum_{q \in B_{p}} \sum_{n \in G_{q}} Z_{m n} I_{n} \\
+ & \oiint \mathbf{R}_{m p}(\hat{k}) \cdot \sum_{q \notin B_{p}} \Gamma_{p q}\left(\mathbf{k}, \hat{r}_{p q}\right) \sum_{n \in G_{q}} \mathbf{F}_{q n}(\hat{k}) I_{n} d^{2} \hat{k} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in (6) denotes the contribution from nearby groups (including the self-group) which is represented by the symbol $B_{p}$, the second term is the far-field interaction calculated by FMM, the $\mathbf{F}_{q n}(\hat{k}), \Gamma_{p q}\left(\mathbf{k}, \hat{r}_{p q}\right)$, and $\mathbf{R}_{m p}(\hat{k})$ donate the aggregation, translation, and disaggregation factor, respectively.

## D. The VSIE method combined with ODDM

As is shown in (3) and (4), using the volume current $\mathbf{J}_{V}$ and surface current $\mathbf{J}_{S}$ to respectively denote magnetic vector and electric scalar potentials in equation (1) and equation (2), we get the incident field expression. To derive the
formulation of VSIE-ODDM conveniently, we define one $F$ linear operator as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{u}\left(\mathbf{J}_{u}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right)=j \omega \mu_{0} \int_{u} \mathbf{J}_{u}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) g\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d u^{\prime} \\
& \quad-\frac{\nabla}{j \omega \varepsilon_{0}} \int_{u} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{u}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) g\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d u^{\prime} \quad u=S, V . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the equation (1) and equation (2) could be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}^{i}=\frac{\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}(\mathbf{r})\right)}{\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})}+F_{V}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \quad+F_{S}\left(\mathbf{J}_{S}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \mathbf{r} \in V,  \tag{8}\\
& \mathbf{E}_{\tan }^{i}=\left[F_{V}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right)+F_{S}\left(\mathbf{J}_{S}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]_{\mathrm{tan}} \quad \mathbf{r} \in S . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

To build the integral iteration formula between subdomains, we define two linear operators $T^{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})$ and $K^{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})$ for the VIE (8). The two linear operators can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})= & \frac{\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}(\mathbf{r})\right)}{\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r})}+F_{V}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +F_{S}\left(\mathbf{J}_{S}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \in \Omega_{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{r} \in V_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{10}\\
K^{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})= & F_{V}\left(\mathbf{J}_{V}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +F_{S}\left(\mathbf{J}_{S}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \in \bar{\Omega}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{r} \in V_{i}^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ is the sequence number of subdomain, the $i$ th extended subdomain $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}$ includes $V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$, $\bar{\Omega}_{i}^{\prime}$ is the complementary domain of $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}$. Here, $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\Omega_{i}+\Omega_{b(i)}$ where $\Omega_{b(i)}$ denotes the buffer domain of $\Omega_{i}$. Similarly, $V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i}+V_{b(i)}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}=S_{i}+S_{b(i)}$. Combining equation (8) with equation (10) and equation (11), we get the VIEODDM iteration scheme which is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{D}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J}^{(k)}\right)=- & K^{D}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J}^{(k-1)}\right) \\
& +\mathbf{E}^{i}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \mathbf{r} \in V_{i}^{\prime} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing equation (12) with equation (8), the computed domain is reduced from $V$ to $V_{i}^{\prime}$, and the excitation source in the computed domain includes both the information of incident plane wave and the coupling from other subdomains.

A similar procedure can be applied for the SIE (9). We define two linear operators $T^{M}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})$ and $K^{M}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J})$, then we can get the SIE-ODDM iteration scheme, expressed as

$$
T^{M}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J}^{(k)}\right)=-K^{M}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J}^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\mathbf{E}^{i}(\mathbf{r})_{\tan } \quad \mathbf{r} \in S_{i}^{\prime} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the equation (12) by RWG and SWG basis function and testing it with SWG basis function, the matrix form of the VIE-ODDM can be obtained. Similarly, for equation (13), selecting RWG basis function as testing function, we can get the matrix form of the SIE-ODDM. Combining the two matrix equations, a VSIE-ODDM iteration scheme is presented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{Z}_{i i}^{D D} & \tilde{Z}_{i i}^{D M} \\
\tilde{Z}_{i i}^{M D} & \tilde{Z}_{i i}^{M M}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{I}_{D i}^{(k)} \\
\tilde{I}_{M i}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{E}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}^{D} \\
\tilde{E}_{S_{i}^{\prime}}^{M}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& -\sum_{j<i, c(j) \notin b(i)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D D} & \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D M} \\
\tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M D} & \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M M}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I_{D j}^{(k)} \\
I_{M j}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad-\sum_{j>i, c(j) \notin b(i)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D D} & \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D M} \\
\tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M D} & \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M M}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I_{D j}^{(k-1)} \\
I_{M j}^{(k-1)}
\end{array}\right] \\
& i=1,2, \cdots, M . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $M$ denotes the number of subdomain, $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\tilde{E}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}^{D} & \tilde{E}_{S_{i}^{\prime}}^{M}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ represents the vector of incident field in the $i$ th extended subdomain $\Omega_{i}^{\prime} . \tilde{Z}_{i i}^{D D}$, $\tilde{Z}_{i i}^{D M}, \tilde{Z}_{i i}^{M D}$, and $\tilde{Z}_{i i}^{M M}$ are the self-impedance matrices in $\Omega_{i}^{\prime} . \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D D}, \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{D M}, \tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M D}$, and $\tilde{Z}_{i j}^{M M}$ are the mutual-impedance matrices between $\Omega_{j}$ and $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}$. By solving the equation (14) and discarding the current in the buffer domain $\Omega_{b(i)}$, the current in subdomain $\Omega_{i}$ could be updated. The process of solving the equation (14) is an inner iteration. By several outer iterations, we can get the current in the entire domain.

## E. The VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA solver

The MLFMA may be employed to accelerate the matrix vector product. The entire object is first enclosed into a large cube, which is partitioned into eight smaller cubes. Each subcube is then recursively subdivided into smaller cubes until the edge length of the finest cube is about 0.1 wavelength. In ODDM, the whole computed domain needs to be decomposed into iterative domain and incident domain. However, when combining the ODDM and MLFMA, it is necessary to consider the problems of decomposition and grouping simultaneity.


Fig. 4. The cube belongs to iterative domain and incident domain simultaneity. ( $\bullet$, ○ represent basis function units in the $i$ th iterative and incident domain, respectively.)

In VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA, for a certain cube of a certain MLFMA layer, if no less than one basis function unit is located in $i$ th iterative domain or incident domain, the cube belongs to $i$ th iterative domain or incident domain. As is shown in Fig. 4, the cube (a) belongs to $i$ th iterative and incident domain simultaneity because it has both - and $\circ$ basis function units. As a cube in $i$ th iterative domain, the cube (a) is equal to cube (b), while as a cube in $i$ th incident domain, the cube (a) is equal to cube (c). Due to outside of the iterative domain, the $\circ$ basis function units will contribute outgoing radiation (called aggregation) but do not receive updates via translation and disaggregation.

## F. Computational complexity analysis of the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA

The computational complexity for the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA is composed of the inner and outer iterations. We define the average number of unknowns in every iterative domain as $N_{i}=N / M+N_{b}$ where $N$ is the total number of unknowns, $N_{b}$ denotes the average number of unknowns in buffer domain. Suppose that the average number of inner iterations is $\xi$, the average CPU time of solving each iterative domain is $O\left(\xi N_{i} \log N_{i}\right)$. Assuming that the number of the outer iterations is $\varsigma$, then the total CPU time of the inner iteration is about

$$
\begin{align*}
& O\left(\varsigma \cdot M \cdot \xi \cdot N_{i} \log N_{i}\right) \\
= & O\left(\varsigma \cdot M \cdot \xi \cdot\left(\frac{N}{M}+N_{b}\right) \log \left(\frac{N}{M}+N_{b}\right)\right) \\
= & O\left(\varsigma \cdot \xi \cdot\left(N+M N_{b}\right) \log \left(\frac{N}{M}+N_{b}\right)\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

When the size of the whole coefficient matrix is fixed, the CPU time of inner iteration is mostly determined by $\varsigma \cdot \xi$. According to past experience, the accuracy of both electric current and radar cross section (RCS) could generally meet the
requirements when $\varsigma$ is 3 . Obviously, the memory requirement for the inner iteration is $O\left(N_{i} \log N_{i}\right)$. When using an iterative solver to solve the problems, only near-field matrix elements need to be stored. So the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA is better than VSIE and VSIE-ODDM in the aspects of memory requirement or computational efficiency. In contrast to VSIE-MLFMA, the memory requirement is reduced significantly, which is very important to analyze electrically large problems.

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method, several numerical examples are presented in this section.


Fig. 5. Perfectly conducting sphere coated with dielectric layer.


Fig. 6. Bistatic radar cross sections of a dielectriccoated sphere.

The first example is a perfectly conducting sphere with a diameter of $0.3423 \lambda_{0}$, which is coated with a $0.1017 \lambda_{0}$ thick dielectric layer whose relative dielectric constant is $\varepsilon_{r}=2$. The mixed
structure is illuminated by a plane wave. In order to use the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA, the target is decomposed into four domains. The dielectric domain is discretized into tetrahedrons and the metal domain is discretized into triangles, as a result, 14332 SWG basis functions and 963 RWG basis functions are generated.

The bistatic RCS computed by the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA is shown in Figure 6. The comparison with the exact Mie series solution is given and excellent agreement is found. The example demonstrates the accuracy of VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA for analyzing the structure mixed metal with dielectric.


Fig. 7. A dielectric cuboid coated with a metal layer on the surface.

As shown in Figure 7, the second example is a dielectric cuboid coated with a metal layer on the surface whose specific size is marked. The relative permittivity of the dielectric cuboid is 1.6. The incident wave frequency is 300 MHz . To apply the ODDM, first we divide the computed domain into two domains averagely in X direction. Then we discretize the dielectric and metal domains as the first example, as a result, 4959 basis functions are generated, which includes 4323 SWG basis functions and 636 RWG basis functions.

We use VSIE-MLFMA program, VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA program, VSIE-ODDM program and VSIE program to compute bistatic RCS of the target respectively. The comparative results are presented in Figure 8.

As shown in Table 1, the comparison of the total memory requirement and CPU time between VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA and VSIE-MLFMA is provided. In VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA, the total memory requirement is 42288 KB , which is reduced by $35.3 \%$ significantly in contrast to 65364 KB in VSIE-MLFMA. If we divide the computed domain into more subdomains, the memory requirement will be further reduced. This
experiment results also tell us that the total memory requirement of VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA only accounts for $10.6 \%$ of VSIE, $20.4 \%$ of VSIEODDM, and the CPU time is reduced significantly in contrast to VSIE and VSIE-ODDM.


Fig. 8. Bistatic radar cross sections of a dielectric cuboid coated with a metal layer on the surface.

Table 1: The comparison of the total memory requirement and CPU time between VSIEMLFMA and VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA

| Method | Memory <br> requirement(KB) | CPU <br> time(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSIE-MLFMA | 65364 | 88 |
| VSIE-ODDM- <br> MLFMA | 42288 | 132 |



Fig. 9. The illustration of a $7 \times 7$ planar FSS array and the square ring unit.


Fig. 10. Bistatic radar cross sections computed by the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA and FEKO.

In order to further demonstrate the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA has the ability to solve the problems of complicated structure, we take the third example of a $7 \times 7$ FSS array whose dimension is shown in Figure 9. The FSS unit is square ring whose outside edge length D1 is 7 mm , inside edge length D2 is 6 mm , and cycle is 8 mm .The dielectric substrate has 56 mm in length, 56 mm in width, and 0.5 mm in thickness with the
relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{r}=3.0$. To use the ODDM, we first divide the computed domain into four inhomogeneous domains, then discretize them into tetrahedrons in dielectric domain and triangles in metal domain, respectively. As a result, 30213 SWG basis functions and 1372 RWG basis functions are generated. The FSS array is illuminated by a TM polarization wave from the vertical direction at 14 GHz . The RCS results computed by the proposed method are plotted in Figure 10. The comparison with the FEKO is given and the good agreement between the two methods is obtained.

The experimental results in Figure 10 have demonstrated the proposed method has the ability to solve electromagnetic scattering problems of complicated structure accurately.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the ODDM and MLFMA are introduced to the VSIE simultaneity, which could solve the problem of insufficient hardware sources and improve the efficiency. Numerical results of the presented examples demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of this proposed method. It shows that the VSIE-ODDM-MLFMA can solve complicated electromagnetic problems successfully.
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#### Abstract

This paper presents parametric analysis of two-dimensional (2D) open-ended waveguide array feeder and introduces a modified parabolic reflector antenna structure to obtain electronically switchable radiation patterns. The main motivation of the study is to achieve desired radiation characteristics for naval, air and coastal surveillance radars such as, pencil beam, suppressed side lobes and cosecant-squared pattern shapes. The Analytical Regularization Method (ARM) is used as a fast and accurate predesign tool to compute near and far field radiation characteristics of the feeder and reflector antennas. The numerical procedure is initially verified by the analytical methods and the calculated results are presented for the proposed novel designs.


Index Terms - Open-ended waveguide array, Parabolic reflector antenna, Cosecant squared pattern, Analytical regularization method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Typical surveillance radar systems generally have a parabolic reflector, which has cosecantsquared elevation pattern [1]. The feeder configurations must be considered primarily to estimate the radiation characteristics of reflector conveniently. Waveguide or horn antenna arrays
are widely used to feed the reflector antennas. Suitable feeder configurations, which can illuminate the reflector efficiently, must be designed to meet requirements of modern radar systems. Geometrical optics (GO), physical optics (PO), aperture integration (AI) and geometric theory of diffraction (GTD), or optimization methods can be used for determining the antenna radiation characteristics [2-6]. Moreover, method of moments (MoM), finite element method (FEM) and finite difference methods can be used for feeder and reflector designs [7-8]. However, large size antenna analyses usually require long computation times [9-10]. Furthermore, the complexity of some cavity or aperture geometries creates hard numerical convergence problems in many cases. The origin of these problems is related to the direct numerical methods, which reduce a diffraction boundary value problem (BVP) to the functional equation of the first kind. First kind equations may typically have a singular kernel that causes unstable numerical process. Thus, while the truncation number of the matrixvector algebraic equation set increases, computational error degradation cannot be guaranteed [11-13]. Hence, ARM that transforms the ill-conditioned integral equation of the first kind into a well-conditioned one of the second
kind is preferred to solve the matrix equation numerically by truncation method with fast convergence to reach fast and reliable solutions [14]. The ARM is implemented for solving the 2D problem of E-polarized wave diffraction by arbitrary shaped, smooth and perfectly conductive cylindrical obstacles to obtain fast, accurate and reliable results [15-16]. The ARM solutions for the 2D parabolic reflector and the H-plane horn feeder have already been demonstrated by Turk [10, 18-20].

In this paper, parametric characterization of the 2D open-ended waveguide array feeder and the design of modified reflector antenna are presented to achieve electronically switchable pencil beam and cosecant-squared radiation patterns for naval, air and coastal surveillance radars. Feeder is located on the focus of the reflector. Geometry of the problem is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Section II explains the general theory of ARM. Section III presents the parametric analysis of wave guide array feeder. Section IV describes the reflector design for pencil-beam and cosecantsquared switchable pattern with exhibition of performance results. Section V is the conclusion.

## II. ARM FORMULATION

Scalar diffraction problem of an infinitely long, smooth, longitudinally homogeneous and perfectly conducting cylindrical obstacle corresponds to the Dirichlet boundary condition for E-polarized incident wave. The incident and scattered scalar wave functions ( $u^{i}(p)$ and $u^{s}(p)$ ) must satisfy the Helmholtz equation given in Eq. (1) and the Dirichlet boundary condition in (2), also with the Sommerfeld radiation condition.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta+k^{2}\right) u^{s}(p)=0, \quad p \in R^{2} \backslash S  \tag{1}\\
& u^{s+)}(p)=u^{s(-)}(p)=-u^{i}(p), \quad p \in S \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $S$ is smooth XOY cross section contour of the domain $D$ in 2D space $R^{2} \in C^{2}, u^{s(+)}(p)$ and $u^{s(-)}(p)$ are limiting values of $u^{s}(p)$ in the inner and the outer sides of $S$, respectively. The solution of the BVP is written in (3), using the Green's formula and the boundary condition in (2) [14].

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{i}{4} \int_{S}\left[H_{0}^{(1)}(k|q-p|) Z(p)\right] d l_{p}=-u^{i}(q) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $Z(p)=\frac{\partial u^{s(-)}(p)}{\partial n}-\frac{\partial u^{s(+)}(p)}{\partial n}, q, p \in S ; n$ is the unit outward with respect to $S$ normal of the point $p$. The unknown function $Z(p)$ is constructed by solving (3), and using parameterization of the $S$ contour specified by the function $\eta(\theta)=(x(\theta), y(\theta))$ that smoothly parameterizes the $S$ by $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$. The integral equation representation of the first kind in (3) is equivalently arranged as follows:
$\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[\ln \left|2 \sin \frac{\theta-\tau}{2}\right|+K(\theta, \tau)\right] Z_{D}(\tau) d \tau=g(\theta)$
with the unknown function $Z_{D}(\tau)$ and the given function $g(\theta)$, where $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{D}(\theta)=l(\theta) Z(\eta(\theta)), g(\theta)=-u^{i}(\eta(\theta)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$l(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[x^{\prime}(\theta)\right]^{2}+\left[y^{\prime}(\theta)\right]^{2}}>0, \quad x(\theta), y(\theta) \in C^{\infty}\left(Q^{1}\right)$
The logarithmic part in (4) represents the main singularity and $K(\theta, \tau)$ is rather smooth section of the Green's function. The functions in (4) are represented by their Fourier series expansions with $k_{s, m}, z_{m}, g_{m}$ coefficients. An infinite system of the linear algebraic equations of the second kind can be obtained [15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{z}_{s}+\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}_{s, m} \hat{z}_{m}=\hat{g}_{s}, \quad s= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{k}_{s, m}=-2 \tau_{s} \tau_{m}\left[k_{s,-m}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{s, 0} \delta_{m, 0}\right], \\
\hat{z}_{n}=\tau_{n}^{-1} z_{n}, \hat{g}=-2 \tau_{s} g_{s}  \tag{8}\\
\tau_{n}=\max \left(1,|n|^{1 / 2}\right), \quad n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, . .
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\delta_{s, 0}$ is the Kronecker delta function. Finally, the scattered field $u^{s}(q)$ for $q \in R^{2}$ is obtained by the integral equation representation of the (4) with any required accuracy by the truncation method [16].

The ARM procedure has already been verified by the analytical solution of wave scattering from infinitely long circular cylinder [10]. Moreover, it is compared with the analytical Wiener-Hopf solution of scattering from parallel-plate waveguide cavity for the case of E-polarized plane wave incidence from $60^{\circ}$, which is given in Fig. 2 [17].


Fig. 1. XOY-plane geometry of reflector antenna.


Fig. 2. Comparison of the ARM calculation with analytical result of scattering from open-ended waveguide for $60^{\circ}$ plane wave incidence.

## III. PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS OF WAVEGUIDE ARRAY FEEDER

The ARM procedure described at Section II is derived for the investigated waveguide array feeder. The geometrical cross-section of the feeder is modeled by ARM, as a closed contour $L$ that goes from point $A$ to point $Z$ and back to $A$ corresponding to $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The relation between $l$ and $\theta$ is formulated in (9). Distances of sources from inner wall are $\lambda / 4$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
l=(\theta+\pi) L / 2 \pi  \tag{9}\\
l \in[0, L] \rightarrow(\theta, \tau) \in[-\pi, \pi]
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Fig. 3. XOY-plane geometry of 3-elements openended and flared waveguide array.

The feeder structure consists of totally 23 contour parts that are defined in Table I. The parameterization of the contour line is implemented separately from point $A$ to $Z$, and back to $A$ by means of the variable $l \in[0, L]$ as given in Table 1 and Table 2.

Parametric analysis results of the waveguide length, waveguide width, flare angle and edge rolling effects on the H-plane radiation pattern are presented in Figs. 4-7, respectively. The major comments are highlighted briefly that; increasing the waveguide length decreases back lobe levels (see Fig. 4). The waveguide width should be arranged as less than $0.75 \lambda$ to avoid multi-mode propagation (see Fig. 5). Increasing the flare angle does not yield significant effect for waveguide array (see Fig. 6), although it can suppress back lobe levels up to 15 dB for the single horn [20]. The edge rolling can slightly improve the side and back lobe suppression performance (see Fig. 7).

Since electronically shaping of the reflector radiation pattern mainly depends on the aperture illumination, the most critical design parameter of the feeder is source phases. The electronically beam scanning performance of the waveguide array feeder is demonstrated in Fig. 8. The phase difference of $7^{\circ}$ is proposed to obtain the near field illumination in Fig. 11 for the suitable cosecantsquared pattern given in Fig. 12.


Fig. 4. H-plane radiation pattern of the feeder for $c=2 \lambda, d=0.05 \lambda, f=0.481 \lambda, R=1 \lambda, \alpha=25^{\circ}$.


Fig. 5. H-plane radiation pattern of the feeder for $b=2.2 \lambda, c=2.0 \lambda d=0.05 \lambda, R=1 \lambda, \alpha=25^{\circ}$.


Fig. 6. H-plane radiation pattern of the feeder for $b=2.2 \lambda, c=2 \lambda, d=0.05 \lambda, f=0.481 \lambda, R=1 \lambda$.


Fig. 7. H-plane radiation pattern of the feeder for $b=2.2 \lambda, c=2.0 \lambda, d=0.05 \lambda, f=0.481 \lambda, \alpha=25^{\circ}$.

Table 1: Segment lengths of the feeder contour regions

| No | Segment Definition | Segment Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{1} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{1}=a$ |
| 2 | $2 L_{1} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{2} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{2}=L_{1}+b$ |
| 3 | $2 L_{2} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{3} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{3}=L_{2}+c$ |
| 4 | $2 L_{3} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{4} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{4}=L_{3}+0.5 \pi R d$ |
| 5 | $2 L_{4} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{5} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{5}=L_{4}+c-d / \tan \alpha_{1}$ |
| 6 | $2 L_{5} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{6} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{6}=L_{5}+d / \sin \varphi_{1}$ |
| 7 | $2 L_{6} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{7} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{7}=L_{6}+b-d b_{1}+d$ |
| 8 | $2 L_{7} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{8} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{8}=L_{7}+f$ |
| 9 | $2 L_{8} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{9} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{9}=L_{8}+b-d$ |
| 10 | $2 L_{9} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{10} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{10}=L_{9}+0.5 \pi R d$ |
| 11 | $2 L_{10} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{11} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{11}=L_{10}-d+b$ |
| 12 | $2 L_{11} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{12} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{12}=L_{11}+f$ |
| 13 | $2 L_{12} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{13} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{13}=L_{12}+b-d$ |
| 14 | $2 L_{13} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{14} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{14}=L_{13}+0.5 \pi R d$ |
| 15 | $2 L_{14} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{15} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{15}=L_{14}-d+b$ |
| 16 | $2 L_{15} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{16} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{16}=L_{15}+f$ |
| 17 | $2 L_{16} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{17} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{17}=L_{16}+b-d b_{2}+d$ |
| 18 | $2 L_{17} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{18} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{18}=L_{17}+d / \sin \varphi_{2}$ |
| 19 | $2 L_{18} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{19} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{19}=L_{18}+c-d / \tan \alpha_{2}$ |
| 20 | $2 L_{19} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{20} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{20}=L_{19}+0.5 \pi R d$ |
| 21 | $2 L_{20} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{21} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{21}=L_{20}+c$ |
| 22 | $2 L_{21} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{22} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{22}=L_{21}+b$ |
| 23 | $2 L_{22} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{23} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L=L_{22}+a$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & d b_{1}=d / \tan \varphi_{1}-d / \tan \alpha_{1}-0.5 d \sin \alpha_{1} \\ & d b_{2}=d / \tan \varphi_{2}-d / \tan \alpha_{2}-0.5 d \sin \alpha_{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Table 2: Parametric definitions of feeder contour

| No | Region | Parameterization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $A B$ | $x=0 ; y=l-L_{1}+a$ |
| 2 | $B C$ | $x=l-L_{1} ; y=a$ |
| 3 | $C D$ | $x=b+\left(l-L_{2}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) ; y=a+\left(l-L_{2}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ |
| 4 | $D E$ | $\begin{aligned} x= & 0.5 d \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+b+c \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+0.5 R d \cos \left(0.5 \pi+\alpha_{1}\right) \\ & -2\left(l-L_{3}\right) / R d+X_{0} ; \\ y= & -0.5 d \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+a+c \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+0.5 R d \sin \left(0.5 \pi+\alpha_{1}\right) \\ & -2\left(l-L_{3}\right) / R d+Y_{0} ; \\ X_{0}= & 0.5(1-R) d \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) ; Y_{0}=0.5(R-1) d \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | EF | $\begin{aligned} & x=b+d \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\left(c-\left(l-L_{4}\right)\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) \\ & y=a-d \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+\left(c-\left(l-L_{4}\right)\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $F G$ | $\begin{aligned} & x=d / \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+b-\left(l-L_{5}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{1}\right) \\ & y=a-\left(l-L_{5}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | GH | $x=-l+L_{6}+b-d b 1 ; y=a-d ;$ |
| 8 | HI | $x=d ; y=-l+L_{7}+a-d ;$ |
| 9 | IJ | $x=l-L_{8}+d ; y=a-d-f ;$ |
| 10 | $J K$ | $\begin{aligned} & x=b+0.5 R_{1} d \cos \left(\pi / 2-2\left(l-L_{9}\right) /\left(R_{1} d\right)\right) \\ & y=a-1.5 d-f+0.5 R_{1} d \sin \left(\pi / 2-2\left(l-L_{9}\right) /\left(R_{1} d\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | KL | $x=L_{10}-l+b ; y=a-2 d-f ;$ |
| 12 | $L M$ | $x=d ; y=L_{11}-l+a-2 d-f ;$ |
| 13 | $M N$ | $x=l-L_{12}+d ; y=a-2 d-2 f ;$ |
| 14 | NO | $\begin{aligned} & x=b+0.5 R_{1} d \cos \left(\pi / 2-2\left(l-L_{13}\right) /\left(R_{1} d\right)\right) \\ & y=a-2.5 d-2 f+0.5 R_{1} d \sin \left(\pi / 2-2\left(l-L_{13}\right) /\left(R_{1} d\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | $O P$ | $x=L_{14}-l+b ; y=a-3 d-2 f ;$ |
| 16 | $P R$ | $x=d ; y=L_{15}-l+a-3 d-2 f ;$ |
| 17 | $R S$ | $x=l-L_{16}+d ; y=d-a ;$ |
| 18 | ST | $\begin{aligned} & x=b-d b 2+\left(l-L_{17}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{2}\right) \\ & y=d-a-\left(l-L_{17}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | $T U$ | $\begin{aligned} & x=b+d / \tan \left(\alpha_{2}\right)-d b 2+\left(l-L_{18}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right) ; \\ & y=-a-\left(l-L_{18}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | UV | $\begin{aligned} & x= 0.5 d \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+b+c \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+0.5 R d \cos \left(0.5 \pi-\alpha_{2}\right) \\ &-2\left(l-L_{19}\right) / R d+X_{0} ; \\ & y=-0.5 d \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+a+c \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+0.5 R d \sin \left(0.5 \pi-\alpha_{2}\right) \\ &-2\left(l-L_{19}\right) / R d+Y_{0} ; \\ & X_{0}= 0.5(R-1) d \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right) ; Y_{0}=0.5(R-1) d \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | VY | $\begin{aligned} x= & 0.5 d \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+b+c \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+0.5 R d \cos \left(0.5 \pi-\alpha_{2}\right) \\ & -2\left(l-L_{19}\right) / R d+X_{0} ; \\ y= & -0.5 d \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+a+c \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+0.5 R d \sin \left(0.5 \pi-\alpha_{2}\right) \\ & -2\left(l-L_{19}\right) / R d+Y_{0} ; \\ X_{0}= & 0.5(R-1) d \sin \left(\alpha_{2}\right) ; Y_{0}=0.5(R-1) d \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | $Y Z$ | $x=b+L_{21}-l ; y=-a ;$ |
| 23 | ZA | $x=0 ; y=-a+l-L_{22} ;$ |



Fig. 8. Electronically scanned H-plane radiation pattern of the feeder for $b=2.2 \lambda, c=2 \lambda, d=0.05 \lambda$, $f=0.5 \lambda, R=1 \lambda, \alpha=30^{\circ}$.

## IV. REFLECTOR DESIGN WITH ELECTRONICALLY SWITCHABLE PATTERN

The geometrical cross-section of the reflector is modeled by ARM, as a closed contour $L$ that starts from point $A$ towards point $M$ and returns to $A$ corresponding to $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi]$, as illustrated in Fig. 9. The relation between $l$ and $\theta$ is formulated in (9).

The reflector structure consists of totally 12 contour parts. The parameterization of the contour line is implemented separately from point $A$ to $M$, and back to $A$ by means of the variable $l \in[0, L]$ as given in Table 3 and Table 4.


Fig. 9. Geometry of the modified reflector.
The modified reflector geometry is designed asymmetrically by adding special rims with different lengths and bending angles to obtain electronically switchable radiation patterns. Near field radiation of the array feeder is considered as
the illuminator of the reflector antenna. The cosecant-squared pattern is arranged by determination of adequate near field distribution of the feeder. For this aim, waveguide feeder sources are excited with suitable phase and amplitude values to obtain the desired near field illumination.

The calculated near field distributions on the reflector for the pencil beam and cosecant-squared patterns are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. Feeder sources have same phases and amplitudes for pencil-beam. However, $7^{\circ}$ phase differences are preferred and amplitude of the third source is increased 1.2 times for cosecant-squared pattern. H-plane normalized directivity gain patterns of the electronically switchable cosecant-squared and pencil-beam radiator are shown in Fig. 12.


Fig. 10. Calculated near field which illuminates the reflector for pencil-beam radiation pattern.


Fig. 11. Calculated near field which illuminates the reflector for cosecant-squared radiation pattern.


Fig. 12. H-plane normalized directivity gain patterns of the electronically switchable cosecantsquared and pencil-beam radiator.

Table 3: Segment lengths of the reflector contour regions

| No | Segment Definition | Segment Length |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{1} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{1}=2 b \tan \left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) / 2\right)$ |
| 2 | $2 L_{1} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{2} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{2}=L_{1}+\pi c_{2}$ |
| 3 | $2 L_{2} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{3} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{3}=L_{2}+2 a \tan \left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) / 2\right)$ |
| 4 | $2 L_{3} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{4} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{4}=L_{3}+p_{4} c_{3}$ |
| 5 | $2 L_{4} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{5} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{5}=L_{4}+p_{3} c_{3}$ |
| 6 | $2 L_{5} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{6} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{6}=L_{5}+p_{2} c_{3}$ |
| 7 | $2 L_{6} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{7} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{7}=L_{6}+p_{1} c_{3}$ |
| 8 | $2 L_{7} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{8} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{8}=L_{7}+\pi c_{2}$ |
| 9 | $2 L_{8} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{9} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{9}=L_{8}++p_{1} c_{3}$ |
| 10 | $2 L_{9} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{10} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{10}=L_{9}+p_{2} c_{3}$ |
| 11 | $2 L_{10} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<2 L_{11} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi$ | $L_{11}=L_{10}+p_{3} c_{3}$ |
| 12 | $2 L_{11} \frac{\pi}{L}-\pi \leq \theta<\pi$ | $L=L_{11}+p_{4} c_{3}$ |
| $c_{1}=(b-a) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)\right) c_{2}=(b-a) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right)$ |  |  |

Table 4: Parametric definitions of the reflector contour regions

| No | Region | Parameterization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $A B$ | $\begin{gathered} x=-2 b \cos \left(\psi_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\psi_{1}\right)\right) \\ y=2 b \sin \left(\psi_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\psi_{1}\right)\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $B C$ | $\begin{aligned} x & =c_{2} \cos \left[\left(L_{1}-l\right) / c_{2}+\pi-\alpha_{2}\right] \\ - & (a+b) \cos \alpha_{2} /\left(1+\cos \alpha_{2}\right) \\ y & =c_{2} \sin \left[\left(L_{1}-l\right) / c_{2}+\pi-\alpha_{2}\right] \\ & +(a+b) \sin \alpha_{2} /\left(1+\cos \alpha_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $C D$ | $\begin{gathered} x=-2 a \cos \left(\psi_{2}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\psi_{2}\right)\right) \\ y=2 a \sin \left(\psi_{2}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\psi_{2}\right)\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $D E$ | $\begin{aligned} & x=-2 a \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(l-L_{3}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right) \\ & y=2 a \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)-\left(l-L_{3}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | EF | $\begin{aligned} x= & -2 a \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & +p_{4} c_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+\left(l-L_{4}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right) \\ y= & 2 a \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & -p_{4} c_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+\left(l-L_{4}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $F G$ | $\begin{aligned} x= & -2 a \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(l-L_{5}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right) \\ & +c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right] \\ y= & 2 a \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)-\left(l-L_{5}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right) \\ & -c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | GH | $\begin{aligned} x= & -2 a \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(l-L_{6}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\ & +c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right)\right] \\ y= & 2 a \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)-\left(l-L_{6}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\ & -c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | HI | $\begin{aligned} x= & c_{1} \cos \left[\left(L_{7}-l\right) / c_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]-(a+b) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & +c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right)+p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{6}\right)\right] \\ y= & c_{1} \sin \left[\left(L_{4}-l\right) / c_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right]+(a+b) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & -c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right)+p_{1} \sin \left(\alpha_{6}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | IJ | $\begin{aligned} x= & c_{1} \cos \left(\pi+\alpha_{1}\right)-(a+b) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)-\left(l-L_{8}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\ & +c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right)+p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{6}\right)\right] \\ x= & c_{1} \sin \left[-\pi-\alpha_{1}\right]+(a+b) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(l-L_{8}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{6}\right) \\ & -c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right)+p_{1} \sin \left(\alpha_{6}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | $J K$ | $\begin{aligned} x= & c_{1} \cos \left(\pi+\alpha_{1}\right)-(a+b) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)-\left(l-L_{9}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right) \\ & +c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \cos \left(\alpha_{5}\right)\right] \\ y= & c_{1} \sin \left(-\pi-\alpha_{1}\right)+(a+b) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(l-L_{9}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right) \\ & -c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+p_{2} \sin \left(\alpha_{5}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | KL | $\begin{aligned} x= & c_{1} \cos \left(\pi+\alpha_{1}\right)-(a+b) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & -\left(l-L_{10}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)+c_{3}\left[p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \cos \left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right] \\ x= & c_{1} \sin \left(-\pi-\alpha_{1}\right)+(a+b) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & +\left(l-L_{10}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)-c_{3}\left[p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+p_{3} \sin \left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | LM | $\begin{aligned} x= & c_{1} \cos \left(\pi+\alpha_{1}\right)-(a+b) \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & -\left(l-L_{11}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right)+c_{3} p_{4} \cos \left(\alpha_{3}\right) \\ x= & c_{1} \sin \left(-\pi-\alpha_{1}\right)+(a+b) \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right) /\left(1+\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\ & +\left(l-L_{11}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right)-c_{3} p_{4} \sin \left(\alpha_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\psi_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\left[\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) / L_{1}\right], \psi_{2}=\alpha_{2}-\left[\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(l-L_{2}\right) /\left(L_{3}-L_{2}\right)\right]$ |  |  |

## V. CONCLUSION

In this work, the waveguide array fed parabolic reflector antenna is investigated to obtain electronically switchable pencil beam and cosecant-squared patterns. For this aim, the waveguide array structure is parametrically analyzed, and the modified asymmetric reflector geometry is proposed.

The Analytical Regularization Method is used to compute the near field distribution of the waveguide array feeder and the radiation patterns of the designed parabolic reflector antenna.

Simulation results of the feeder analysis and its combination with the modified reflector are presented to demonstrate the suitability of the proposed antenna for microwave and millimeter wave air, naval and coastal surveillance radars.
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#### Abstract

The proposed novel modified twolayered Archimedean spiral (Mod Arspl) antenna achieves a simple feed without balun and maintains the antenna input impedance close to 50 $\Omega$ over extremely wide (10:1) frequency band for antenna design. It shows excellent impedance matching, higher peak gain and acceptable axial ratio over the operating frequencies compared to the conventional Archimedean spiral geometry on two-layers with the same aperture area. The working of this antenna and important design parameters to achieve frequency independent response with respect to matching and CP radiation patterns are discussed. The best case with free-space Mod Arspl has impedance BW ( $\mathrm{S}_{11}<-$ 10 dB ) of $3.2-19.2 \mathrm{GHz}$ (6:1 band), AR BW (AR $<3 \mathrm{~dB}$ ) of $3-20 \mathrm{GHz}$ (6.6:1 band), stable broadside gain of 4-5.5 dBic and quasi-axial patterns in the usable 6:1 band. Radiation patterns show some beam squint towards higher frequency end attributed to spacing between the two layers of the spiral arms. The fabricated prototype antenna using microwave substrate shows CP operating BW over 5.21:1 frequency band ( $2.8-14.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) and peak gain varying between $4-8 \mathrm{dBic}$ in this frequency band. Measured results show reasonable agreement with the simulated ones.


Index Terms - Archimedean spiral antenna, circular polarization, modified spiral antenna, twolayered spiral, without Balun spiral antenna.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Frequency Independent characteristics of a Archimedean spiral (Arspl) antenna invented by J.A. Kaiser in 1960 are well known [1], [2] and
explained qualitatively by radiating ring theory. Planar spiral antennas, being a self-complementary structure, have the real impedance of $188 \Omega$ given by Mushiake equation in [3]. This necessitates the use of baluns for balanced-mode operation. Baluns limit the inherent frequency independent operation of spiral antennas and also increases the complexity. In [4] the authors eliminate the balun by employing a single arm spiral with disc/ground plane which has comparable performance with the two-arm spiral fed with balun except for the beam asymmetry and squint. In [5], the authors eliminate balun by reverting to unbalanced mode excitation with one of the spiral arms as a parasitic element. In [6] the authors propose a stripline fed Archimedean spiral by having a broadband impedance matching network conformal to spiral's windings to transform the input impedance of twolayered spiral to the impedance of stripline over 10:1 band. Although, not the focus of this paper, cavity backing for the proposed antenna was also implemented to achieve directional radiation patterns such as in in [7-8]. Similarly, the effect of dielectric loading, as reported in [9-10] was also performed, but not discussed here.

This paper investigates a two layered Archimedean spiral antenna which will be suitable for feeding with a $50 \Omega$ coaxial line considering free space or foam substrate. The two-layered geometry with conventional Archimedean spiral design (referred as Arspl in this paper) is compared with the proposed two-layered geometry with modified Archimedean spiral design (referred as Mod Arspl) with the goal being the frequency independent response in terms of impedance matching, circular polarization (CP) at broadside angle and stable pattern with a balun-free coax
excitation. Some preliminary investigation results of this antenna were presented in [11], and therefore, are not included here, for the sake of brevity. Since the proposed Arspl does not need a wideband balun or is quite simple to excite by a 50 Ohm SMA connector alone, hence it offers low cost and light weight implementation while still providing acceptable antenna performance. This can be acceptable for several communication applications, even if with some compromise in quality of the CP patterns.

Section I describes the configuration of Mod Arspl and compares its performance with the Arspl of the same diameter. The working of Mod Arspl is also explained. Section II discusses the important design parameters for achieving matching and stable CP radiation patterns. Section III presents the experimental results of Mod Arspl. Important findings are summarized in the conclusions section. The simulations are generated using Ansoft Corporations High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) v12 which is a finite element method based full wave analysis tool.

## II. MODIFIED ARSPL COMPARED TO CONVENTIONAL ARSPL

The top view of conventional two layered Arspl is shown in Fig. 1(a) whose configuration parameters are given in Table 1. The top spiral arms is at a height $h$ from the bottom spiral as shown in Fig. 1(c) fed-in with a $50 \Omega$ coaxial SMA connector. There is foam substrate ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=1.06$, $\tan \delta=0.002$ ) between the two spiral arms which is realizing the free space design. The radial distance from the center to any point on the arm is defined by the Archimedean function $r=a_{s p} \Phi_{\mathrm{w}}$ where $a_{s p}$ is the spiral constant and $\Phi_{\mathrm{w}}$ is the winding angle varying between the starting angle $\Phi_{\text {st }}$ and ending angle $\Phi_{\text {end }}$. The diameter of the spiral $D$ is defined by $D=2 r_{\max }$ with $r_{\max }=a_{s p} \Phi_{\text {end }}$. The two layered Mod Arspl has double the arm width that of the Arspl and its top view is shown in Fig. 1(b). This modified geometry will create a short circuit or single conductor sheet if the spiral arms are kept at the same level. Its configuration parameters are given in Table I. The arm widths of Arspl and Mod Arspl are denoted as $W$ and $A W$, respectively, with $A W=2 W$. The Mod Arspl has twice the growth rate or spiral constant $\left(a_{s p}\right)$ and half the
number of turns ( $N$ ) as that of Arspl so that the aperture areas of both antennas remain the same.

The spiral arms of both the antennas are tapered at the ends to minimize the reflected current towards the feed. In case of Arspl, there are top and bottom circular stubs of diameter 1.2 mm and 4.8 mm , respectively, connecting the spiral arms to the SMA. Feed portion of Arspl is zoomed to show the top circular stub of 1.2 mm diameter (Fig. 1(c)). In comparison to this, the Mod Arspl has only a bottom stub of diameter 6.4 mm . A top circular stub of diameter 1.2 mm is used when the arm width of Arspl and Mod Arspl is less than or equal to 2 mm . Feed portion of Mod Arspl is zoomed in Fig. 1(d) to show the SMA feed and the circular stub of diameter 6.4 mm attached with the bottom spiral.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

Fig. 1. Conventional and modified, two layered, Arspls (a) Top view of Arspl, (b) Top view of modified Arspl, (c) Feed portion of Arspl zoomed to show the top circular stub of 1.2 mm diameter, (d) Feed portion of Mod Arspl zoomed to show the SMA feed and the circular stub of diameter 6.4 mm attached with the bottom spiral, and (e) Side view of both Arspl and Mod Arspl.

Table 1: Antenna design parameters of the conventional and modified Archimedean spirals

| Symbol | Arspl | Mod Arspl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arm <br> width | $W=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $A W=4 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $h$ | 1 mm | 1 mm |
| $a_{s p}$ | $0.63 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{rad}$ | $0.63 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{rad}$ |
| $\Phi_{s t}$ | $0.5 \pi \mathrm{rad}$ | $0.5 \pi \mathrm{rad}$ |
| $\Phi_{\text {end }}$ | $18.47 \pi \mathrm{rad}$ | $18.47 \pi / 2 \mathrm{rad}$ |
| $N$ | 9 | 4.5 |
| $D$ | 73.1 mm | 73.1 mm |

The input impedance of conventional Arspl and Mod Arspl, both two layered, are plotted in Fig. 2. It can be inferred that the resistance $\left(R_{i n}\right)$ of Arspl varies between $25-150 \Omega$ whereas for the Mod Arspl, it varies between $30-80 \Omega$ which can be easily matched to a $50 \Omega$ line. Also the input reactance $X_{i n}$ of the Mod Arspl is less oscillatory compared to the Arspl. The reason for this trend is explained in the next paragraph.

According to [3], a Self-Complementary Antenna (SCA) is one which leaves the geometry unchanged when the metal and blank spaces in a planar antenna are interchanged except for a rotation equal to one-half of its angular periodicity. Mushiake in [3] describes about both the planar (2D) as well as 3D self-complementary structures and their input impedance properties. Any self-complementary structure (2D or 3D) has a constant input impedance which is independent
of frequency [3]. Theoretically, planar SCA have a constant input impedance of $Z_{0} / 2$ (i.e. $188 \Omega$ ) and the planar two-arm conventional Archimedean spiral that is well known for the last 50 years is one such antenna. In trying to achieve a simple feed, the two layered design explored in this paper disturbs their planar SCA nature and the problem is now shifted to 3D which means that the Arspl will not have a constant impedance of around 170 $\Omega$ (Arspl has $25 \%$ of its area covered by metal on both top and bottom layer and its complement will have $75 \%$ of top and bottom layers covered by metal which is clearly not a SCA).

Therefore, the proposed Mod Arspl (Fig. 1(b)) is a 3 D self complementary antenna with $50 \%$ of the area covered by metal on both top and bottom layers which makes its complement have the same $50 \%$ area covered by metal on both top and bottom but with a of rotation of $180^{\circ}$. Here, the top and bottom spirals are 2D self-complementary structures by themselves and the antenna can be considered as two stacked 2D self-complementary structures with the impedance of each point of the structure given by $60 \pi \Omega$ as explained by [3]. Such a parallel arrangement of 2D structures each with $170 \Omega$ impedance brings down the effective input impedance of the antenna to around $80 \Omega$ (This is similar concept of having 2 parallel resistors of R ohms each which makes the total resistance $R / 2$ ). This explains the input impedance of the Mod Arspl being fairly constant over frequency but at a lower value (average of around $55 \Omega$ ) compared to the $188 \Omega$ for planar self-complementary structures. Fig. 3 shows the reflection coefficient magnitude versus frequency for the conventional two layered Arspl and the proposed Mod Arspl which shows a distinct improvement with the modified geometry and its reflection coefficient magnitude is better than -10 dB from $2-20 \mathrm{GHz}$ w.r.t. a $50 \Omega$ coaxial line.

The axial ratio (AR) at broadside angle ( $\theta=0^{\circ}$ ) is plotted for both the antennas in Fig. 4 which shows that the axial ratio of the Mod Arspl is worse compared to Arspl at lower end of the band $(2-4 \mathrm{GHz})$ due to the number of turns being halved which reduces coupling between the arms. This effect is seen throughout the band in the form of slightly increased AR and becomes more pronounced at the lower frequency end. The peak gain of both the antennas are plotted in Fig. 5


Fig. 2. Input impedance of Arspl and Mod Arspl.


Fig. 3. Reflection coefficient magnitude versus frequency for Arspl and Mod Arspl.
which shows that the Mod Arspl has higher gain throughout the band.This increased gain can be partly attributed to the better impedance matching achieved and partly to the increased usage of metal instead of blank spaces ( $100 \%$ area covered with metal when seen from top instead of $50 \%$ in case of Arspl). This is a desired phenomenon since it partially achieves the purpose of cavity backing without increasing the antenna volume. The simulated left hand circular polarization (LHCP) and right hand circular polarization (RHCP) patterns of the Arspl and Mod Arspl are compared at different frequencies across the $2-20 \mathrm{GHz}$ band in [6] and hence it is omitted here for the sake of brevity.

## III. ANTENNA DESIGN PARAMETERS

Arm length is the length between the feed point and the arm end. The lower cut-off frequency for operating the spiral antennas as a CP antenna is denoted as $f_{L}$ and upper cut-off frequency as $f_{U}$ with the corresponding electrical wavelengths
denoted as $\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{U}}$. $\lambda_{\mathrm{g}}$ refers to guided wavelength. A spiral antenna radiates efficiently from a ring one wavelength in circumference according to band theory.


Fig. 4. Broadside axial ratio versus frequency for Arspl and Mod Arspl.


Fig. 5. Peak gain versus frequency for Arspl and Mod Arspl.

The conventional single layered spirals are designed to have a circumference equal to the desired $\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$. Most of the spiral designs reported in literature and also the commercial versions available exhibit better than $3-\mathrm{dB}$ AR if the circumference of the spiral is atleast equal to one guided wavelength at the frequency of interest. These designs mostly have an arm width ranging from $0.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ which ensures that there are enough turns (and hence enough arm length of at least $4 * \lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) within the same one wavelength circumference to create stronger coupling between the spiral arms thereby radiating most of the input energy as CP wave before it reaches the arm ends. The Mod Arspl constructed by doubling the arm width and halving the number of turns (compared to Arspl) within the same diameter is different in that aspect. Meeting the circumference requirement alone does not guarantee a CP
radiation at that frequency and it requires a arm length of atleast $(5-6) * \lambda_{\mathrm{g}}$ as the current distribution is different from that of Arspl. Arm length depends on the number of turns N which inturn depends on the arm width $A W$. Arm length is the most important parameter that sets the lower cutoff frequency for the operation of Mod Arspl as a CP antenna.


Fig. 6. Parametric studies showing the effect of $A W$ on (a) reflection coefficient magnitude, and (b) broadside axial ratio.

Figure 6(a-b) shows the trend in impedance matching and axial ratio at broadside angle for varying the $A W$ with $h=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $D=73.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. Arm width equal or greater than 2 mm is recommended for these Mod Arspl designs as the smaller trace widths increase the antenna input impedance making it harder to match with SMA feed as it can be seen in the case of 1 mm $A W$ in Fig. 6(a) showing poor impedance matching and a AR of greater than $3-\mathrm{dB}$ at 3.5 GHz even with a arm length of 1920 mm . The impedance matching improves at low band ( $2-6 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) when the $A W$ is increased from 1 to 5 mm but the higher
frequenices ( $>12 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) are affected for $A W>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ as seen in the $5 \mathrm{~mm} A W$ case. From Fig. 6(b), it can be inferred that the AR deteriorates at the low band till 4 GHz as the AW increases from 1 to 5 mm due to the decrease in arm length from 1920 mm to 340 mm . The AR BW starts from around 4 GHz for 4 and 5 mm AW as the arm length is 480 and 340 mm , respectively which is (5-6)* $\lambda \mathrm{g}$ at 4 GHz . It can also be seen that 2 and 3 mm AW has an arm length of $960\left(6.4^{*} \lambda \mathrm{~g}\right)$ and 720 mm ( $4.8^{*} \lambda \mathrm{~g}$ ), respectively at 2 GHz which explains the AR BW starting before 2 GHz for these cases. For frequency independent antennas, only the lower cut-off frequency for AR BW is discussed because of the finite antenna geometry and the upper cutoff frequency depends on the accuracy of feed fabrication. In case of Mod Arspl, all the cases shown in Fig. 6(b) have an AR BW varying between $4: 1$ frequency band ( $A W=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and 10:1 frequency band ( $A W=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Ideally, a balun fed single layered spiral will have the impedance and AR BW starting from 1.4 GHz for a diameter of 73 mm . Based on the above discussion, the optimum arm width to operate the Mod Arspl will be from $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ which ensures that there is enough arm length to achieve CP at low band (2-4 GHz ) and also maintain the impedance matching at these frequencies.

Though $A W$ of $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ is the optimum, the level of impedance matching achieved throughout the $2-20 \mathrm{GHz}$ band with $4 \mathrm{~mm} A W$ case compelled the authors to study the effect of height $h$ with $A W=4 \mathrm{~mm}$ which has AR BW from $4-20 \mathrm{GHz}$. A discussion of important parameter ( $h$ - separation of spiral arms) that affects the pattern quality is discussed in the coming paragraphs and Fig. 8. The impedance matching, axial ratio at broadside angle and CP gain at broadside angle for different cases varying the height $h$ from $0.8-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ with $A W=4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $D=73.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ are shown in Fig. 7(ac), respectively. The AR BW remains fairly constant for all the cases (Fig. 7(b)) but the impedance BW reduces from 10:1 to $5: 1$ frequency band as the height is increased from $0.8-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Fig. 7(a)). This is due to the added inductance of the probe with increasing height of the center pin between the two spirals that lowers the matching level and therefore a maximum height of 3.2 mm is recommended.


Fig. 7. Parametric studies showing the effect of $h$ when $A W=4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $D=73.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ on (a) reflection coefficient magnitude, (b) axial ratio at broadside angle, and (c) CP gain at broadside angle.

The most notable effect of increasing the separation between the spiral arms can be found in Fig. 7(c) which shows that the CP gain at broadside angle stays constant around 4-5 dBic from $3-18 \mathrm{GHz}$ with increased spacing ( $h=2.4$ and 3.2 mm ) whereas for smaller spacing ( $h=0.8,1$, 1.6 mm cases) the broadside gain drops with increasing frequency.

The above perfromance can be explained from the radiation patterns plotted at different
frequencies across the 3-18 GHz band in Fig. 8 for $h=0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2.4 mm cases which show that the magnitude of back radiation is reduced throughout the band with $h=2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ case and the beam is pushed to the front, thereby, increasing the broadside gain at all frequencies. The bottom spiral and its stub acts as a partial reflector pushing the beam towards broadside angle if the spacing between the spirals is greater than $0.02 \lambda$. The broadside gain is therefore held constant even though the beam peak is not exactly at broadside angle. Note that the patterns of $h=2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ case are usable from $3-18 \mathrm{GHz}$ as shown in Fig. 8. A spacing of $1.6-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ is recommended to achieve a frequency independent response with respect to impedance matching and gain at broadside angle for Mod Arspl. The pattern asymmetry and squint is solely due to the spiral arms being kept at different levels. Based on the above discussion, it can be concluded that the arm width and spiral diameter determines the AR BW whereas the impedance BW and pattern/gain stability depends on the spacing between the spiral arms. The best case with $A W=4 \mathrm{~mm}, h=2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $D=73.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ has an impedance BW of $3.2-19.2 \mathrm{GHz}$ ( $6: 1$ band), AR BW of $3-20 \mathrm{GHz}$ (6.6:1 band), stable gain of $4-5.5$ dBic at broadside angle with usable quasiaxial patterns throughout the 6:1 band.

## IV. MOD ARSPL - EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

The simulation results presented in the previous sections are based on using the foam substrate, which require hand fabrication. This method is prone to fabrication errors because it involves curved configuration. Considering this and to provide further practical significance to the spiral design, the Mod Arspl was redesigned on a low loss Rogers $5880\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=2.2\right)$ substrate material and consequently, fabricated using a LPKF CAD milling machine which ensures fabrication accuracy.



Fig. 8. Comparison of radiation patterns for cases $h=0.8$ and 2.4 mm to show the effect of reduced back radiation as the arm separation increases.

The parameters of the fabricated spiral are $A W=4$ $\mathrm{mm}, h=1.6 \mathrm{~mm}, D=73.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. The substrate is square shaped instead of circular shape used in the previous sections as shown in the photograph of fabricated prototype antenna in Fig. 9 which has the total dimensions $77 \times 77 \times 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. The antenna is experimentally verified in the Antenna and Microwave Lab (AML) at SDSU which are also compared with the corresponding simulated data. The VSWR and broadside axial ratio are plotted versus frequency for the simulated and measured data in Fig. 10(a-b), respectively.


Fig. 9. Photograph of the fabricated prototype of Mod Arspl using the microwave substrate (a) top view, and (b) antenna as seen from bottom showing SMA feed.

The measured and simulated impedance BWs are from 1.6 to almost 15 GHz (frequency ratio $=$ 9.375:1) as shown in Fig. 10(a). The measured AR, gain and patterns are obtained from analysis software purchased from Orbit/FR which computes the AR and radiation patterns from the measured linear patterns. The measured and simulated AR BWs are from 2.8 to 14.6 GHz (frequency ratio $=5.21: 1$ ) as shown in Fig. 10(b). The usable BW is defined as the common frequency range between the impedance and AR BWs which is also from 2.8 to 14.6 GHz (frequency ratio $=5.21: 1$ band). The simulated and measured LHCP and RHCP radiation patterns at different frequencies over the band are shown in Fig. 11 for $\phi=0^{\circ}$, and $90^{\circ}$ cut planes. It can be observed that as frequency approaches the higher end, the patterns show some beam scan.

The measured and simulated CP peak gain varies from around 4-8 dBic in the usable band.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 10. Simulated and measured frequency response of a) VSWR, b) Axial ratio at broadside angle for the Mod Arspl on the microwave substrate.

It should be noted that the free-space based design discussed in the previous section offers over 6:1 usable band but the fabricated design on Rogers 5880 substrate with the same design parameters (only exception being the usage of 1.6 mm substrate thickness instead of 2.4 mm thickness) does not show same superior performance because the design parameters are not re-optimized on Rogers 5880.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented a novel antenna geometry called the modified two-layered Archimedean spiral antenna (Mod Arspl) with simple SMA feed without balun which shows improved performance in impedance matching and
peak gain with a slight compromise in axial ratio BW compared to the two-layered design of the conventional Archimedean spiral (Arspl) geometry with the same aperture area. The best case with free-space or foam based Mod Arspl has impedance BW of 3.2-19.2 GHz (6:1 band), AR BW of 3-20 GHz (6.6:1 band), and broadside gain of $4-5.5$ dBic in the usable $6: 1$ band. Radiation patterns show some beam squint as higher frequency end is approached which is attributed to the spacing $h$. The fabricated prototype on a microwave substrate has CP usable band from 2.8 - 14.6 GHz ( $5.21: 1 \mathrm{band}$ ) with peak gain varying between 4-8 dBic. Measured results show reasonable agreement with the simulated ones.



Fig. 11. Simulated and measured LHCP and RHCP radiation patterns for the Mod Arspl fabricated on the microwave substrate at different frequencies.
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#### Abstract

This paper, presents a novel multiresonance monopole antenna for ultra wideband applications. The proposed antenna consists of a square radiating patch with an inverted U-shaped slot and a ground plane with an inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane, which leads to a wide usable fractional bandwidth of more than $135 \%$ $(2.9-15.1 \mathrm{GHz})$. By cutting a modified inverted Ushaped slot with variable dimensions on the radiating patch and also by inserting an inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane, additional resonances are excited and hence much wider impedance bandwidth can be produced, especially at the higher band. The designed antenna has a small size of $12 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, or about $0.15 \lambda \times$ $0.25 \lambda$ at 4.2 GHz (the first resonance frequency). Simulated and experimental results obtained for this antenna show that it exhibits good radiation behavior within the UWB frequency range.


Index Terms- Square Monopole Antenna, Inverted U-Shaped Structure, Ultra Wide-Band Systems.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Commercial UWB systems require small low-cost antennas with omnidirectional radiation
patterns and large bandwidth [1]. It is a wellknown fact that planar monopole antennas present really appealing physical features, such as simple structure, small size and low cost. Due to all these interesting characteristics, planar monopoles are extremely attractive to be used in emerging UWB applications, and growing research activity is being focused on them.

In UWB communication systems, one of key issues is the design of a compact antenna while providing wideband characteristic over the whole operating band. Consequently, several planar monopoles with different geometries have been experimentally characterized [2]-[3] and automatic design methods have been developed to achieve the optimum planar shape [4]-[5]. Moreover, other strategies to improve the impedance bandwidth have been investigated [6][8].

This paper focuses on a square monopole antenna for UWB applications, which combines the square-patch approach with an inverted Ushaped slot, and the ground plane with an inverted U-shaped conductor backed plane that achieves a fractional bandwidth of more than $135 \%$. Three new small wideband printed monopole antennas were proposed in [6-8], in
which in order to achieve the maximum impedance bandwidth, inverted T-shaped, rectangular, and trapezoid notches were etched on the upper edge of the ground plane, respectively, where as in this letter to achieve the same goal, for the first time, an inverted Ushaped conductor backed plane is inserted in the feed gap distance and there is no notch on the ground plane (this structure has an ordinary rectangular ground plane configuration). Moreover, by cutting a modified inverted Ushaped slot with variable dimensions on the radiating patch, additional resonances (third and fourth resonances) are excited, which results in an increase in the usable upper frequency of the monopole and extends it from 10.3 GHz to 15.1 GHz . The designed antenna has a small size of $12 \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, and the impedance bandwidth of the designed antenna is higher than the UWB antennas reported recently [2-8].

## II. ANTENNA DESIGN

The square monopole antenna fed by a microstrip line is shown in Fig. 1, which is printed on a FR4 substrate of thickness 1.6 mm , permittivity 4.4 , and loss tangent 0.018 . The width $W_{f}$ of the microstrip feedline is fixed at 2 mm .
The basic antenna structure consists of a square patch, a feedline, and a ground plane. The square patch has a width of W . The patch is connected to a feed line with the width of $W_{f}$ and the length of $L_{f}$. On the other side of the substrate, a conducting ground plane is placed. The proposed antenna is connected to a $50-\Omega$ SMA connector for signal transmission.

To design a novel antenna, an inverted Ushaped slot and an inverted U-shaped conductorbacked plane are embedded on the basic antenna structure, mentioned above. Based on the current distribution analysis, in UWB frequency band, it is observed that the currents on the bottom edge of the monopole's radiating patch, are distributed vertically at lower frequencies, while at higher frequencies this currents are distributed horizontally [9]. By cutting the inverted U-shaped notch of suitable dimensions ( $W_{C}, L_{C}, W_{C 1}$ and $L_{C 1}$ ) on the square radiating patch, it is found that much enhanced impedance bandwidth can be achieved for the proposed antenna.

In addition, the conductor-backed plane is playing an important role in the broadband characteristics of this antenna, because it can adjust the electromagnetic coupling effects between the patch and the ground plane, and improves its impedance bandwidth without any cost of size or expense [10]-[11]. This phenomenon occurs because, with the use of a conductor-backed plane structure in air gap distance, additional coupling is introduced between the bottom edge of the square patch and the ground plane [5].

In this work, we start by choosing the dimensions of the designed antenna. These parameters, including the substrate, is $L_{\text {Sub }} \times W_{\text {Sub }}=12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 18 \mathrm{~mm}$, or about $0.15 \lambda \times$ $0.25 \lambda$ at 4.2 GHz (the first resonance frequency). We have a lot of flexibility in choosing the width of the radiating patch. This parameter mostly affects the antenna bandwidth. As $W$ decreases, so does the antenna bandwidth, and vice versa. Next step, we have to determine the The length of the radiating patch $L$. This parameter is approximately $\frac{\lambda_{\text {lower }}}{4}$, where $\lambda_{\text {lower }}$ is the lower bandwidth frequency wavelength. $\lambda_{S}$ depends on a number of parameters such as the slot width as well as the thickness and dielectric constant of the substrate on which the slot is fabricated. The last and final step in the design is to choose the length of the resonator (slot and conductor backed plane). $L_{r}$ is set to resonate at $0.25 \lambda_{g}$, where $L_{r}=W_{C}+2 L_{C}$ for slot, and $L_{r}=W_{C}+2 L_{C}$ for conductor backed plane, $\lambda_{g}$ corresponds to resonance frequency wavelength.

The final dimensions of the designed antenna are as follows: $W_{\text {sub }}=12 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{\text {sub }}=18 \mathrm{~mm}$, $h_{\text {sub }}=1.6 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad W=10 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad L=10 \mathrm{~mm}$, $W_{f}=2 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad L_{f}=7 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad W_{C}=4 \mathrm{~mm}$, $L_{C}=3 \mathrm{~mm}, W_{C 1}=1 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{C 1}=2 \mathrm{~mm}, W_{P}=9 \mathrm{~mm}$, $L_{P}=3.75 \mathrm{~mm}, W_{P 1}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{P 1}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$,
$L_{d 1}=0.75 \mathrm{~mm}, W_{d 1}=1.5 \mathrm{~mm}, d_{P S}=2.75 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $L_{g n d}=3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Fig. 1. Geometry of proposed antenna with inverted U-shaped slot and conductor-backed plane, (a) side view, (b) square radiating patch, and (c) ground plane structure.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this Section, the planar monopole antenna with various design parameters were constructed, and the numerical and experimental results of the input impedance and radiation characteristics are presented and discussed. The parameters of this proposed antenna are studied by changing one parameter at a time, while others are fixed. The simulated results are obtained using the Ansoft simulation software high-frequency structure simulator (HFSS) [12].

Figure 2 shows the structure of various antennas used for simulation studies. Return loss characteristics for ordinary square patch antennas (Fig. 2(a)), with an inverted U-shaped slot (Fig. 2(b)), and with inverted U-shaped slot and conductor-backed plane (Fig. 2(c)) are compared in Fig 3. As shown in Figure 3, it is observed that
by using these modified elements including an inverted U-shaped slot etched on the radiating patch and inserting an inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane on the other side of substrate, additional third and fourth resonances are excited respectively, and hence the bandwidth is increased.


Fig. 2. (a) The ordinary square antenna, (b) the square antenna with inverted U-shaped slot (c) the square antenna with inverted U-shaped slot and parasitic structures.


Fig. 3. Simulated return loss characteristics for the antennas shown in Fig. 2.

As shown in Fig. 3, in the proposed antenna configuration, the ordinary square monopole can provide the fundamental and next higher resonant radiation band at 4 and 8 GHz , respectively, in the absence of the inverted U-shaped slot and conductor-backed plane. Also Smith Chart demonstration of the input impedance of various monopole antenna structures, which were studied as Fig. 3, is shown in Fig. 4.

The upper frequency bandwidth is significantly affected by the use of the inverted $U$-shaped slot on the radiating patch. This behavior is mainly due to the change of surface


Fig. 4. Smith chart demonstration of the simulated input impedance of various monopole antenna structures, shown in Fig. 2.
current path which depends on the dimensions of inverted U-shaped slot as shown in Fig. 5 (a). In addition, by inserting the inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane on the other side of substrate, the impedance bandwidth is effectively improved at the upper frequency [6]. The inverted U-shaped conductor backed plane can be regarded as a parasitic resonator that is electrically coupled to the square monopole.

As shown in Fig. 5(b), at fourth resonance frequency ( 14.3 GHz ), the current is mainly concentrated on the interior and exterior edges of the inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane. This figure shows that the electrical current for the fourth resonance frequency (Fig. 5 (b)) does change direction along the bottom edge of the square radiating patch and changes the antenna impedance at this frequency, as leads to an increase in the radiating power and bandwidth. Also there will be an increase in radiation efficiency. However, the resonant resistance is decreased [9].
By properly tuning the dimensions and spacing $d_{P S}$ to semi-ground plane for the inverted Ushaped conductor backed plane, the antenna can create the fourth resonant frequency in individual
resonant radiation band based on an over-coupling condition. Figure 6 shows the effects of the feed gap distance $d_{P S}$ (as shown Fig. 2, $d_{P S}=L_{f}-L_{g n d}-L_{d 1}$ ) of the square patch and dimension of the inverted U-shaped conductorbacked plane on the impedance. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the feed gap distance $d_{P S}$ is an important parameter in determining the sensitivity of impedance matching. By adjusting $d_{P S}$, the electromagnetic coupling between the bottom edge of the square patch and the ground plane can be properly controlled [6].


Fig. 5. Simulated surface current distributions on the radiating patch and ground plane for (a) the square antenna with inverted $U$-shaped slot at third resonance frequency ( 12.5 GHz ), (b) the square antenna with inverted U-shaped slot and parasitic structure at fourth resonance frequency (14.3 GHz ).


Fig. 6. Simulated return loss characteristics for various values of $d_{P S}$.

Figure 7 shows the measured and simulated return loss characteristics of the proposed antenna. The fabricated antenna satisfies the $10-\mathrm{dB}$ return loss requirement from 2.91 to 15.1 GHz . As shown in Fig. 7, there exists a discrepancy between measured data and the simulated results this could be due to the effect of the SMA port. In order to confirm the accurate return loss characteristics for the designed antenna, it is recommended that the manufacturing and measurement processes need to be performed carefully.

Figure 8 shows the measured radiation patterns at resonances frequencies including the copolarization and cross-polarization in the $H$-plane ( $x-z$ plane) and $E$-plane ( $y-z$ plane). The main purpose of the radiation patterns is to demonstrate that the antenna actually radiates over a wide frequency band. It can be seen that the radiation patterns in $x-z$ plane are nearly omnidirectional for all the four frequencies.


Fig. 7. Measured and simulated return loss characteristics for the proposed antenna.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this letter, a novel compact Printed Monopole Antenna (PMA) has been proposed for UWB applications. The fabricated antenna satisfies the $10-\mathrm{dB}$ return loss requirement from 2.9 to 15.1 GHz. By cutting a modified inverted U-shaped slot with variable dimensions on the radiating patch and also by inserting an inverted U-shaped conductor-backed plane, additional resonances are excited and hence much wider impedance


Fig. 8. Measured radiation patterns of the proposed antenna, (a) 4 GHz , (b) 8 GHz , (c) 12.7 GHz , and (c) 14.5 GHz .
bandwidth can be produced, especially at the higher band. The proposed antenna has a simple configuration and is easy to fabricate. Experimental results show that the proposed antenna could be a good candidate for UWB application.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a compact coplanar waveguide (CPW) feed planar printed monopole antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications. By employing two different types of structures-L-shaped slot and I-shaped notched slots, three distinct frequency bands with -10 dB reflection coefficient, which correspond to $2.4 \mathrm{GHz}-2.6 \mathrm{GHz}, 3.4 \mathrm{GHz}-3.85 \mathrm{GHz}$ and the other at $4.9 \mathrm{GHz}-5.89 \mathrm{GHz}$, can be achieved to covering $2.4 / 5.2 / 5.8 \mathrm{GHz}$ WLAN and $2.5 / 3.4 / 5.5$ WiMAX. Also, the antenna has a small size of $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 23 \mathrm{~mm}$, and can provide excellent property, including low profile, moderate gain, approximate omnidirectioal radiation pattern, which prove that the antenna is a good candidate for WLAN/WiMAX applications.


Index Terms - Triple-band antenna, L-shaped slot, I-shaped slot, WLAN/WiMAX.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the rapid development of modern wireless communication systems technique, the research activity of the multiple or broad bands operation and miniaturized size for current antenna has become one of a highly competitive topic and is growing stupendously. In [1] and [2], the planer monopole antennas can achieve broad bandwidth, but have a large size, especially a large ground plane ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), whose configuration do not meet the miniaturization requirements of radio-frequency (RF) units[3]. However, planar printed microstrip antenna may be a better candidate due to their attractive features, such as ease of fabrication, low profile, small size, ease of
integrating with active devices and nearly omnidirectional radiation characteristics, and so on. In order to satisfy the wireless local area network (WLAN) standards of $2.4-2.484 \mathrm{GHz}$ (IEEE $802.11 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{g}$ )/5.15-5.825 GHz (IEEE 802.11a) and the worldwide interoperability for microwave access (WiMAX) standards of $2.5-2.69 \mathrm{GHz} / 3.4-$ $3.69 \mathrm{GHz} / 5.25-5.85 \mathrm{GHz}$ [4] simultaneously, many microstrip printed antennas have been widely studied [5-9]. In [5], a pair of parasitic strips is introduced to reach an operating bandwidth of 4290 MHz ( $\sim 108.7 \%$ ), and the antenna has a dimension of $61 \times 51.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. A trapezoidal ground [6] and a parasitic U-shaped open stub [7] are also used to the design of the antenna for the WLAN/WiMAX applications. Only by varying the slot's construction, width, and length, the feed point's position and the CPW-fed gap, another compact dual-/multiband antenna have been shown in [8]. A CPW-fed dualwideband antenna formed by a triangular monopole and a U-shaped monopole is obtained, which occupies a small size and obtain good dipole-like radiation characteristics [9]. However, most of them have large dimensions and do not pay attention on the interference suppression, because there are many other existing narrowband services such as C-band satellite communications that have occupied some licensed frequency bands, which may result in lower performance of interference suppression. To avoid the problem, several novel antennas with three separated resonate frequency are reported in literatures [4, 10-15], which have good impendence bandwidth and radiation pattern, but these antennas have also
either large size or insufficient frequency restriction.

In this letter, a distinct triple-band resonate antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications is proposed. By inserting three I-shaped notched slots and an L-shaped slit on radiation patch, along with introducing symmetrical L-shaped couple slot on ground plane which had been proven to be useful to produce resonant mode [16], three separated resonant frequency bands can be easily obtained. Compared to those designs shown in the open literature, the antenna has not only better performance of interference suppression, but also smaller size. Details of the antenna design are described, and prototypes of the proposed antenna have been constructed and tested. The simulated and measured results about impedance bandwidth, radiation pattern, and gain are discussed in detail in the next sections.

## II. ANTENNA CONFIGURATION

Geometrical configuration of the proposed antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications is shown in Fig. 1(a). The antenna is printed on FR-4 substrate of thickness 1 mm , with the dielectric constant of 4.4 and a loss tangent of 0.02 , and fed by a $50 \Omega \mathrm{CPW}$ transmission line. In this design, two equal L-shaped ground planes, each comprising two different sections which are $32 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ and $94.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, are situated symmetrically on each side of the CPW line. In order to produce resonate at 5.65 GHz , a pair of L-shaped slots is etched into ground plane, which broaden the higher frequency range. On the other hand, three Ishaped notched slots are also inserted into radiation patch, which controls the lower operating band $(2.4 / 2.5 \mathrm{GHz})$ and medium frequency band ( 3.4 GHz ). Furthermore, a horizontal L-shaped slit of width t and length $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{ml}$ is inserted into radiation patch to improve impendence bandwidth. This arrangement was found to be effective in obtaining an appropriate impedance bandwidth of the antenna, and the performance results are demonstrated in the following section.

In the proposed antenna configuration, the use of two horizontal I-shaped slots and a vertical Ishaped slot on radiation patch produce three different surface current paths, and by properly tuning two different horizontal spacing of s1 and s3 from the patch to the side ground planes, a dual-resonance mode can be excited on lower
frequency, a resonate mode produced in medium frequency and other resonate mode happened on higher frequency, respectively. Note that the ground-plane dimensions can also affect the resonant frequencies and operating bandwidths of the two operating bands. Thus, the ground-plane dimensions should also be taken into account in determining the proper parameters for the proposed design to achieve the desired triple-band operation.

Table 1: Parameter values of the fabricated antenna. (Dimensions in mm)

| Parameter | $w$ | $w 1$ | $w 2$ | $w 3$ | $w 4$ | $w 5$ | $L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | 30 | 4 | 13.7 | 17 | 8.95 | 5.15 | 23 |
| Parameter | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 4 | L 5 | L 6 | L 7 |
| Value | 5 | 3.3 | 3 | 3.5 | 2 | 2.7 | 9.7 |
| Parameter | L 8 | Lf | n | n 1 | n 2 | n 3 | g |
| Value | 8 | 7 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 3 | 11.5 | 0.8 |
| Parameter | g 1 | wf | s | s 1 | s 2 | s 3 | s 4 |
| Value | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Parameter | m | m 1 | p | t | y |  |  |
| Value | 0.2 | 0.5 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 |  |  |



Fig. 1. (a) Geometry of the proposed antenna, (b) Photograph of the proposed antenna.

The proper parameters can be obtained with the aid of the commercially available software Ansoft

HFSS version 13 (high-frequency structure simulator), and a $50 \Omega$-SMA connector is connected to the end of the CPW-feed mechanism serves as antenna port. Parameter values of the proposed antenna are summarized in Table 1. Moreover, a photograph of the fabricated antenna with triple-band characteristic is shown in Fig. 1.

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed antenna is implemented and tested using an Agilent N5230A series vector network analyzer. Fig. 2 describes the simulated and experimental reflection coefficient against the frequency, which shows good agreement. The simulated and the experimental below $10-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidths range from $2.4-2.62 \mathrm{GHz} / 2.4-2.6$ $\mathrm{GHz}(8.76 \% / 8 \%)$, from $3.37-3.74 \mathrm{GHz} / 3.4-3.85 \mathrm{GHz}$ ( $10.4 \% / 12.4 \%$ ) and from $5.04-5.75 \mathrm{GHz} / 4.9-$ $5.89 \mathrm{GHz}(13.2 \% / 18.4 \%)$, which shows a minor frequency shift owing to the error of substrate parameters of the FR-4 substrate and tolerance in manufacturing. It is also observed that best resonant frequencies happened in 2.46 GHz , $2.56 \mathrm{GHz}, 3.56 \mathrm{GHz}, 5.25 \mathrm{GHz}$ and 5.65 GHz . The Smith chart and the simulated input impedance of the proposed antenna shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 further illustrate the excellent impedance matching of the proposed triple-band antenna, respectively.

To further insight into the physical behavior of the antenna, the simulated current distributions of the proposed antenna at different resonate frequencies are presented in Fig. 5. We can see that


Fig. 2. Simulated and measured reflection coefficient of the proposed antenna.


Fig. 3. Simulated input impedance on Smith chart for the proposed antenna.


Fig. 4. Simulated and measured impedance of the antenna.
notched slot 1 provide a low resonate frequency ( 2.56 GHz ) shown in Fig. 5 (b), moreover, notched slot 2 and CPW control the flow direction of current in 2.46 GHz and 3.56 GHz . Meanwhile, in the high frequency $(5.25 \mathrm{GHz})$ the unfolded arm provide a resonate mode, and a pair of loaded Lshaped slots with length of about $\lambda / 2$ provide the another resonate mode $(5.65 \mathrm{GHz})$, which broaden the higher frequency bandwidth.

Figure 6 presents the frequency response of reflection coefficient for the proposed antenna without different slots embedment. In the case without notched slot 1 , second resonate mode is not effectively excited at lower resonance frequency range, and other frequency ranges have no effects. Similarly, when removing a pair of L-
shaped slots on the ground plane, a worse frequency response was achieved in higher frequency range, but in other two frequency bands it was invariable. It is also observed that existence of the notched slot 2 not only can largely affect impedance matching to the lower band and the medium band, but also seriously change the excitation of the upper-band resonant modes. It clearly indicates that these results are similar with that achieved from simulated current distributions in Fig. 5.


Fig. 5. Simulation surface current in (a) 2.46 GHz , (b) 2.56 GHz , (c) 3.56 GHz , (d) 5.25 GHz , (e) 5.65 GHz .


Fig. 6. The effect of notched slots to the proposed antenna's resonant modes.


Fig. 7. The effect of the ground plane size on the antenna performance.

The simulated reflection coefficient curves with different ground plane widths (w) and lengths (Lf) are exhibited in Fig. 7. It is clearly seen that when $w$ and Lf are changed, they can significantly increase or decrease the impedance bandwidth of the antenna. It is also noticed that the length of the ground plane affects the impedance matching more significantly at lower frequencies than at higher frequencies as shown in Fig. 7(a), and in Fig. 7(b) the reflection coefficient curves vary significantly and exhibit various shapes for the four different ground plane widths. To have a wider impedance bandwidth, the length and width of ground plane need to be well optimized and the extracted optimum parameter values are $\mathrm{w}=30 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{Lf}=7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Radiation characteristics are also considered. The simulated and measured radiation patterns of the proposed antenna in xz-plane and yz-plane for both $\mathrm{E}_{\Phi}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\theta}$ at $2.46 \mathrm{GHz}, 3.56 \mathrm{GHz}$ and
5.25 GHz (resonate frequencies) are shown in Fig. 8, respectively. From the results, the radiation patterns in the xz- and yz-planes, as expected, are all very dipole-like radiation. The electric field $\mathrm{E}_{\theta}$ keeps always eight-shaped radiation pattern and the electric field $\mathrm{E}_{\Phi}$ holds nearly omnidirectional radiation pattern in xz-plane and yz-plane. It is also observed that the antenna has more vertical current (y-axis) shown in Fig. 5 (d) at higher frequency, so the radiation pattern is similar with dipole-like radiation along y-axis. Fig. 9 shows the peak gains and radiation efficiency across the three operating frequency bands. It should be observed that for the operating band of 2.4-2.7 GHz , the peak gain of the antenna varies from 1 dB to 2.05 dB , and the radiation efficiency obtains the lowest value of $70 \%$ in centre frequency. For the medium band, the antenna has relatively small gain and radiation efficiency variation, the peak gain is 2.14 dB , and the radiation efficiency varies around $83 \%$.


Fig. 8. Simulated and measured radiation patterns for the proposed antenna at (a) 2.46 GHz , (b) 3.56 GHz and (c) 5.25 GHz in xz-plane and $\mathrm{yz}-$ plane, respectively.


Fig. 9. Peak gain and radiation efficiency of the proposed antenna.

The peak gain in the higher operating band of $5.15-5.85 \mathrm{GHz}$ is also stable, which varies from 2.15 dB to 3.2 dB , however, the radiation efficiency drop to $65 \%$ in 5.75 GHz . The low radiation efficiency may be high loss in FR-4 substrate (a loss tangent of 0.02 ), which results in a decrease in gain and radiation efficiency as shown in Fig. 9. But then the gain of the proposed antenna within the operating bands satisfies the requirement of some wireless communication terminals.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a novel CPW-fed monopole antenna is proposed for WLAN/WiMAX applications. The proposed antenna has good performance of interference suppression, excellent radiation patterns, excellent resonance character and small size. The measured results illustrate that the obtained impedance bandwidths are about $8 \%$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{GHz}-2.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ ), $12.4 \%(3.4 \mathrm{GHz}-3.85 \mathrm{GHz})$ and $18.4 \%$ ( $4.9 \mathrm{GHz}-5.89 \mathrm{GHz}$ ), good enough for WLAN and WiMAX applications. This indicates that the proposed antenna is well suited for WLAN/WiMAX portable units and mobile handsets.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No.20110185110014).

## REFERENCES

[1] J. Anguera, J. P. Daniel, C. Borja, J. Mumbrú, C. Puente, T. Leduc, K. Sayegrih, and P. Van Roy, "Metallized Foams for Antenna Design:

Application to Fractal-Shaped Sierpinski-Carpet Monopole", Progress In Electromagnetics Research, PIER, vol. 104, pp. 239-251, 2010.
[2] M. J. Ammann and Z. N. Chen, "Wideband Monopole Antennas for Multi-Band Wireless Systems", IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 146-150, April 2003.
[3] K. L.Wong, Compact and broadband Microstrip Antennas. New York: Wiley, 2002.
[4] P. Jing, A.-G. Wang, S. Gao and L. Wen, "Miniaturized triple-band antenna with a defected ground plane for WLAN/WiMAX applications," IEEE Antenna Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 10, pp. 298-301, 2011.
[5] J.-Y. Jan and L.-C. Wang, "Printed wideband rhombus slot antenna with a pair of parasitic strips for multiband applications," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1267-1270, 2009.
[6] C.-Y. Pan, T.-S. Horng, W.-S. Chen and C.-H. Huang, "Dual wideband printed monopole antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications," IEEE Antenna Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 6, pp. 149-151, 2007.
[7] J. N. Lee, J.H. Kim, J. K. Park and J. S. Kim, "Design of dual-band antenna with U-shaped open stub for WLAN/UWB applications," Micow.Opt. Technol. Lett., vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 284-289, 2009.
[8] C. Yoon, W.-J. Lee, S.-P. Kang, "A planar CPWfed slot antenna on thin substrate for dual-band operation of WLAN applications," Micow. Opt. Technol. Lett., vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 2799-2802, 2009.
[9] Q.-X. Chun and L.-H. Ye, "Design of compact dual-wideband antenna with assembled monopoles," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 4063-4066, 2010.
[10]H.-W. Liu, C.-H. Ku and C-F. Yang, "Novel CPWFed Planar Monopole antenna for WiMAX/WLAN applications," IEEE Antenna Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 9, pp. 240-243, 2010.
[11]S. Chaimool and K. L. Chung, "CPW-fed mirrored-L monopole antenna with distinct triple bands for WiFi and WiMAX applications," Electron. Lett., vol. 45, no. 18, pp. 928-929, 2009.
[12] W.-S. Chen and K.-Y. Ku, "Band-rejected design of the printed open slot antenna for WLAN/WiMAX operation," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1163-1169, 2008.
[13]L. Dang, Z. Y. Lei, Y. J. Xie, G. L. Ning and J. Fan, "A compact microstrip slot triple-band antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications," IEEE Antenna Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 9, pp. 1178-1181, 2010.
[14] W. Hu, Y.-Z. Yin, P. Fei and X. Yang, "Compact tri-band square slot antenna with symmetrical Lstrips for WLAN/WiMAX applications," IEEE

Antenna Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 10, pp. 462465, 2011.
[15]G. Zhang, J. S. Hong, B. Z. Wang, G. Song, "Switched Band-Notched UWB/ WLAN Monopole Antenna," Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES) Journal, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 256-260, March 2012.
[16] S. S. Garcia and J.-J. Laurin, "Study of a CPW Inductively Coupled Slot Antenna," IEEE Trans Antennas Propag., vol. 47, pp. 58-64, 1999.


Ping Wang was born in Chongqing, China, in 1981. He received his B.S. in physics from Western Chongqing University of China in 2005 and the M.S degree in theoretical physics from Chongqing University, Chongqing, in 2008. Currently, he is working toward the Ph.D. degree in University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (UESTC). His current research interests include patch antennas, wideband antennas, and arrays.


Guangjun Wen was born in Sichuan, China, in 1964. He received his M.S. and Ph.D from Chongqing University of China in 1995 and from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in 1998, respectively. He is currently a professor and doctor supervisor at University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. His research and industrial experience covers a broad spectrum of electromagnetics, including RF, Microwave, Millimeter wave Integrated Circuits and Systems design for Wireless Communication, Navigation, Identification, Mobile TV applications, RFIC/MMIC/MMMIC device modeling, System on Chip (SoC) and System in Package (SiC) Design, RF/Microwave/Millimeter wave Power source Design, "The Internet of things" devices and system, RFID system and networks, antennas, as well as, model of electromagnetic metamaterial and its application in microwave engineering area.


Yongjun Huang was born in Sichuan, China, in 1985. He received his B.S. in Mathematics from NeiJiang Normal University of China in 2007 and M. S. in Communication Engineering from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in 2010. His dissertation work and research activities are electromagnetic metamaterial and its application in microwave engineering area, FDTD analysis for the model and RCS characteristic of metamaterials.

2012 INSTITUTIONAL MEMBERS

DTIC-OCP LIBRARY
8725 John J. Kingman Rd, Ste 0944
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218
AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE LIBRARY Northcott Drive
Canberra, A.C.T. 2600 Australia

BEIJING BOOK CO, INC
701 E Linden Avenue
Linden, NJ 07036-2495
DARTMOUTH COLLEGE
6025 Baker/Berry Library
Hanover, NH 03755-3560

DSTO EDINBURGH
AU/33851-AP, PO Box 830470
Birmingham, AL 35283

SIMEON J. EARL - BAE SYSTEMS
W432A, Warton Aerodome
Preston, Lancs., UK PR4 1AX

ENGINEERING INFORMATION, INC PO Box 543
Amsterdam, Netherlands 1000 Am

ETSE TELECOMUNICACION
Biblioteca, Campus Lagoas
Vigo, 36200 Spain

GA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
EBS-Lib Mail code 0900
74 Cherry Street
Atlanta, GA 30332
TIMOTHY HOLZHEIMER
Raytheon
PO Box 1044
Rockwall, TX 75087
HRL LABS, RESEARCH LIBRARY
3011 Malibu Canyon
Malibu, CA 90265
IEE INSPEC
Michael Faraday House
6 Hills Way
Stevenage, Herts UK SG1 2AY
INSTITUTE FOR SCIENTIFIC INFO.
Publication Processing Dept.
3501 Market St.
Philadelphia, PA 19104-3302

LIBRARY - DRDC OTTAWA
3701 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A OZ4
LIBRARY of CONGRESS
Reg. Of Copyrights
Attn: 407 Deposits
Washington DC, 20559
LINDA HALL LIBRARY
5109 Cherry Street
Kansas City, MO 64110-2498
MISSOURI S\&T
400 W $14^{\text {th }}$ Street
Rolla, MO 56409

MIT LINCOLN LABORATORY
Periodicals Library
244 Wood Street
Lexington, MA 02420
NATIONAL CHI NAN UNIVERSITY
Lily Journal \& Book Co, Ltd
20920 Glenbrook Drive
Walnut, CA 91789-3809
JOHN NORGARD
UCCS
20340 Pine Shadow Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80908
OSAMA MOHAMMED
Florida International University
10555 W Flagler Street
Miami, FL 33174
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Attn:J. Rozdal/411 Dyer Rd./ Rm 111
Monterey, CA 93943-5101

NDL KAGAKU
C/O KWE-ACCESS
PO Box 300613 (JFK A/P)
Jamaica, NY 11430-0613
OVIEDO LIBRARY
PO BOX 830679
Birmingham, AL 35283
DAVID PAULSEN
E3Compliance
1523 North Joe Wilson Road
Cedr Hill, TX 75104-1437
PENN STATE UNIVERSITY
126 Paterno Library
University Park, PA 16802-1808

DAVID J. PINION
1122 E Pike Street \#1217
SEATTLE, WA 98122
KATHERINE SIAKAVARA
Gymnasiou 8
Thessaloniki, Greece 55236

SWETS INFORMATION SERVICES
160 Ninth Avenue, Suite A
Runnemede, NJ 08078
YUTAKA TANGE
Maizuru Natl College of Technology
234 Shiroya
Maizuru, Kyoto, Japan 625-8511
TIB \& UNIV. BIB. HANNOVER
DE/5100/G1/0001
Welfengarten 1B
Hannover, Germany 30167
UEKAE
PO Box 830470
Birmingham, AL 35283

UNIV OF CENTRAL FLORIDA
4000 Central Florida Boulevard
Orlando, FL 32816-8005

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO
1720 Pleasant Street, 184 UCB
Boulder, CO 80309-0184

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS WATSON
1425 Jayhawk Blvd 210S
Lawrence, KS 66045-7594
UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI
JD Williams Library
University, MS 38677-1848

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY/HKUST
Clear Water Bay Road
Kowloon, Honk Kong
CHUAN CHENG WANG
8F, No. 31, Lane 546
MingCheng 2nd Road, Zuoying Dist
Kaoshiung City, Taiwan 813
THOMAS WEILAND
TU Darmstadt
Schlossgartenstrasse 8
Darmstadt, Hessen, Germany 64289

STEVEN WEISS
US Army Research Lab 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783

YOSHIHIDE YAMADA
NATIONAL DEFENSE ACADEMY
1-10-20 Hashirimizu
Yokosuka, Kanagawa,
Japan 239-8686

# APPLIED COMPUTATIONAL ELECTROMAGNETICS SOCIETY JOURNAL <br> http://aces.ee.olemiss.edu 

## INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

## PUBLICATION CRITERIA

Each paper is required to manifest some relation to applied computational electromagnetics. Papers may address general issues in applied computational electromagnetics, or they may focus on specific applications, techniques, codes, or computational issues. While the following list is not exhaustive, each paper will generally relate to at least one of these areas:

1. Code validation. This is done using internal checks or experimental, analytical or other computational data. Measured data of potential utility to code validation efforts will also be considered for publication.
2. Code performance analysis. This usually involves identification of numerical accuracy or other limitations, solution convergence, numerical and physical modeling error, and parameter tradeoffs. However, it is also permissible to address issues such as ease-of-use, set-up time, run time, special outputs, or other special features.
3. Computational studies of basic physics. This involves using a code, algorithm, or computational technique to simulate reality in such a way that better, or new physical insight or understanding, is achieved.
4. New computational techniques or new applications for existing computational techniques or codes.
5. "Tricks of the trade" in selecting and applying codes and techniques.
6. New codes, algorithms, code enhancement, and code fixes. This category is self-explanatory, but includes significant changes to existing codes, such as applicability extensions, algorithm optimization, problem correction, limitation removal, or other performance improvement. Note: Code (or algorithm) capability descriptions are not acceptable, unless they contain sufficient technical material to justify consideration.
7. Code input/output issues. This normally involves innovations in input (such as input geometry standardization, automatic mesh generation, or computer-aided design) or in output (whether it be tabular, graphical, statistical, Fourier-transformed, or otherwise signal-processed). Material dealing with input/output database management, output interpretation, or other input/output issues will also be considered for publication.
8. Computer hardware issues. This is the category for analysis of hardware capabilities and limitations of various types of electromagnetics computational requirements. Vector and parallel computational techniques and implementation are of particular interest.
Applications of interest include, but are not limited to,
antennas (and their electromagnetic environments), networks, static fields, radar cross section, inverse scattering, shielding, radiation hazards, biological effects, biomedical applications, electromagnetic pulse (EMP), electromagnetic interference (EMI), electromagnetic compatibility (EMC), power transmission, charge transport, dielectric, magnetic and nonlinear materials, microwave components, MEMS, RFID, and MMIC technologies, remote sensing and geometrical and physical optics, radar and communications systems, sensors, fiber optics, plasmas, particle accelerators, generators and motors, electromagnetic wave propagation, non-destructive evaluation, eddy currents, and inverse scattering.

Techniques of interest include but not limited to frequencydomain and time-domain techniques, integral equation and differential equation techniques, diffraction theories, physical and geometrical optics, method of moments, finite differences and finite element techniques, transmission line method, modal expansions, perturbation methods, and hybrid methods.

Where possible and appropriate, authors are required to provide statements of quantitative accuracy for measured and/or computed data. This issue is discussed in "Accuracy \& Publication: Requiring, quantitative accuracy statements to accompany data," by E. K. Miller, ACES Newsletter, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 23-29, 1994, ISBN 1056-9170.

## SUBMITTAL PROCEDURE

All submissions should be uploaded to ACES server through ACES web site (http://aces.ee.olemiss.edu) by using the upload button, journal section. Only pdf files are accepted for submission. The file size should not be larger than 5 MB , otherwise permission from the Editor-in-Chief should be obtained first. Automated acknowledgment of the electronic submission, after the upload process is successfully completed, will be sent to the corresponding author only. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to keep the remaining authors, if applicable, informed. Email submission is not accepted and will not be processed.

## EDITORIAL REVIEW

In order to ensure an appropriate level of quality control, papers are peer reviewed. They are reviewed both for technical correctness and for adherence to the listed guidelines regarding information content and format.

## PAPER FORMAT

Only camera-ready electronic files are accepted for publication. The term "camera-ready" means that the material is neat, legible, reproducible, and in accordance with the final version format listed below.

The following requirements are in effect for the final version of an ACES Journal paper:

1. The paper title should not be placed on a separate page.

The title, author(s), abstract, and (space permitting) beginning of the paper itself should all be on the first page. The title, author(s), and author affiliations should be centered (center-justified) on the first page. The title should be of font size 16 and bolded, the author names should be of font size 12 and bolded, and the author affiliation should be of font size 12 (regular font, neither italic nor bolded).
2. An abstract is required. The abstract should be a brief summary of the work described in the paper. It should state the computer codes, computational techniques, and applications discussed in the paper (as applicable) and should otherwise be usable by technical abstracting and indexing services. The word "Abstract" has to be placed at the left margin of the paper, and should be bolded and italic. It also should be followed by a hyphen ( - ) with the main text of the abstract starting on the same line.
3. All section titles have to be centered and all the title letters should be written in caps. The section titles need to be numbered using roman numbering (I. II. ....)
4. Either British English or American English spellings may be used, provided that each word is spelled consistently throughout the paper.
5. Internal consistency of references format should be maintained. As a guideline for authors, we recommend that references be given using numerical numbering in the body of the paper (with numerical listing of all references at the end of the paper). The first letter of the authors' first name should be listed followed by a period, which in turn, followed by the authors' complete last name. Use a coma (,) to separate between the authors' names. Titles of papers or articles should be in quotation marks (" "), followed by the title of journal, which should be in italic font. The journal volume (vol.), issue number (no.), page numbering (pp.), month and year of publication should come after the journal title in the sequence listed here.
6. Internal consistency shall also be maintained for other elements of style, such as equation numbering. Equation numbers should be placed in parentheses at the right column margin. All symbols in any equation have to be defined before the equation appears or right immediately following the equation.
7. The use of SI units is strongly encouraged. English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses).
8. Figures and tables should be formatted appropriately (centered within the column, side-by-side, etc.) on the page such that the presented data appears close to and after it is being referenced in the text. When including figures and tables, all care should be taken so that they will appear appropriately when printed in black and white. For better visibility of paper on computer screen, it is good to make color figures with different line styles for figures with multiple curves. Colors should also be tested to insure their ability to be distinguished after
black and white printing. Avoid the use of large symbols with curves in a figure. It is always better to use different line styles such as solid, dotted, dashed, etc.
9. A figure caption should be located directly beneath the corresponding figure, and should be fully justified.
10. The intent and meaning of all text must be clear. For authors who are not masters of the English language, the ACES Editorial Staff will provide assistance with grammar (subject to clarity of intent and meaning). However, this may delay the scheduled publication date.
11. Unused space should be minimized. Sections and subsections should not normally begin on a new page.

ACES reserves the right to edit any uploaded material, however, this is not generally done. It is the author(s) responsibility to provide acceptable camera-ready files in pdf and MSWord formats. Incompatible or incomplete files will not be processed for publication, and authors will be requested to re-upload a revised acceptable version.

## COPYRIGHTS AND RELEASES

Each primary author must execute the online copyright form and obtain a release from his/her organization vesting the copyright with ACES. Both the author(s) and affiliated organization(s) are allowed to use the copyrighted material freely for their own private purposes.

Permission is granted to quote short passages and reproduce figures and tables from and ACES Journal issue provided the source is cited. Copies of ACES Journal articles may be made in accordance with usage permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. The reproduction of multiple copies and the use of articles or extracts for commercial purposes require the consent of the author and specific permission from ACES. Institutional members are allowed to copy any ACES Journal issue for their internal distribution only.

## PUBLICATION CHARGES

All authors are allowed for 8 printed pages per paper without charge. Mandatory page charges of $\$ 75$ a page apply to all pages in excess of 8 printed pages. Authors are entitled to one, free of charge, copy of the printed journal issue in which their paper was published. Additional reprints are available for $\$ 50$. Requests for additional re-prints should be submitted to the managing editor or ACES Secretary.

Corresponding author is required to complete the online form for the over page charge payment right after the initial acceptance of the paper is conveyed to the corresponding author by email.

ACES Journal is abstracted in INSPEC, in Engineering Index, DTIC, Science Citation Index Expanded, the Research Alert, and to Current Contents/Engineering, Computing \& Technology.

