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#### Abstract

Based on an equivalent circuit model, the least-square curve fitting technique is proposed to quickly design optimum values of geometrical parameters of a dual-band Jerusalem-cross element for arbitrarily specifying any dual resonant frequencies. The validity of the least-square curve fitting technique is checked by comparing geometrical parameters and dual resonant frequencies of six Jerusalem-cross grids obtained by the proposed technique with those obtained by the improved empirical model and measurement method. Design of dual-band Jerusalem-cross slots is also conducted by the proposed technique. Simulation results of reflection and dual resonant frequencies of Jerusalem-cross slots designed by the proposed technique are also validated by measurement data.


Index Terms - Dual-band Jerusalem-cross element, least-square curve fitting, reflection, transmission.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Frequency selective surface (FSS) has been extensively studied for many decades [1-32]. It has many applications in polarizers [1], antenna designs [2-10], transmission improvement for signals through energysaving glass [11-14], artificial magnetic conductor (AMC) designs [15-17], spatial microwave and optical filters [18-25], absorbers [26-31], and planar metamaterials [32]. The FSS is usually formed by periodic arrays of metallic patches or slots of arbitrary geometries. A FSS with periodic arrays of metallic patches or slots exhibits total reflection or total transmission in the neighborhood of the geometric resonant frequency, respectively. Typical FSS geometries are designed by dipoles, rings, square loops, fractal shapes, etc. Most of these FSSs are used to deal with reflection and transmission problems at a single resonant frequency. It is rather difficult to design FSS elements that offer dual-band responses.

Several numerical methods have been used to design FSS parameters such as method of moments (MoM) [18], finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method [33-

35], and finite-element method (FEM) [36]. These methods have a tedious computation procedure which involves many electromagnetic equations governing FSS theory. In recent years, many electromagnetic simulation commercial software packages are available for the design of FSS parameters, such as Ansoft's HFSS, Ansoft's Designer, and CST Microwave Studio. These commercial software packages are easily used to design FSS parameters. However, the design process of a FSS element using the commercial software package can be divided into preliminary and fine tune steps. In the preliminary design steps, various critical geometrical dimensions of a FSS element are well investigated through parametric study using a full-wave model simulation. Based on preliminary study, the final design can be achieved through fine tuning the critical geometrical parameters to obtain the desired resonant frequencies. This is a non-efficient and labor intensive process due to trial-and-error tests and heavy computational works. Alternatively, the equivalent circuit method [37-39] is much simpler than numerical methods for the design of FSS parameters. In this method, the segments of the FSS structure are modeled as capacitive and inductive components in a transmission line [37-38]. Limitation of the equivalent circuit method is that it can be used only for normal incidence and without substrates.

In this paper, we propose the least-square curve fitting technique [40] to quickly obtain optimum values of geometrical parameters of a dual-band Jerusalemcross element for arbitrarily specifying any dual resonant frequencies. In the design process, an equivalent circuit model of the frequency characteristic for normal wave incidence [38] is introduced to facilitate the optimum design of a Jerusalem-cross element. In simulations, the transmission and reflection of Jerusalem-cross elements are obtained by using the Ansoft high-frequency structure simulator (HFSS, Ansoft, Pittsburgh, PA). Simulation results of geometrical parameters and dual resonant frequencies of Jerusalem-cross grids obtained by the proposed technique are compared with those
obtained by the improved empirical model and measurement method presented in the literature [38]. Dual-band Jerusalem-cross slots designed by the proposed technique are also presented. Simulation results of reflection and dual resonant frequencies of Jerusalem-cross slots are validated by measurement data.

## II. EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODEL OF <br> JERUSALEM-CROSS GRIDS

The equivalent circuit model of Jerusalem-cross grids is a very useful technique to quickly predict the resonant frequencies of their structures. Figure 1 shows a FSS element constructed with Jerusalem-cross grids and its geometrical parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$, and d . Where p is the periodicity of a unit cell, w is the width of the conductive strip, $s$ is the separation distance between adjacent units, $h$ is the width of the end caps of the Jerusalem-cross, and $d$ is the length of the end caps of the Jerusalem-cross. Based on Langley and Drinkwater's studies [38], for any array of thin, continuous, infinitely long, perfectly conducting Jerusalem-cross FSS for normal incidence EM waves, the equivalent circuit model can be presented as shown in Fig. 2. The series resonant circuit $\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ is used to generate the lower resonant frequency $f_{1}$ (in reflection band), the series resonant circuit $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ is used to produce the higher resonant frequency $f_{2}$, and the capacitor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is used to create the transmission band frequency $f_{t}$. The normalized (with respect to the free-space impedance and admittance, respectively) inductive reactance $X_{L I}$ and capacitive susceptance $B_{C l}$ of the equivalent circuit model are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{L_{1}}=\omega_{1} L_{1}=F\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right)=\frac{p}{\lambda_{1}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{w}\right)+G\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right)\right], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\omega_{1}$ are the wavelength and angular frequency of the first resonant frequency $f_{l}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{w}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+4 \beta_{w}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{w}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{w}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+2 \beta_{w}^{6} A_{1+} A_{1-}} \\
A_{1+}=A_{1-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2}}}-1, \\
\beta_{w}=\sin \left(\frac{\pi w}{2 p}\right), \\
B_{c_{1}}=\omega_{1} C_{1}= \\
=\frac{4 d}{p} F\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right)+\frac{4(2 h+s)}{p} F\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right) \\
\lambda_{1}
\end{array}-\ln \left(\beta_{s}\right)+G\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
& \quad+\frac{4(2 h+s)}{\lambda_{1}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+4 \beta_{s}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{s}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{s}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{s}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{s}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+2 \beta_{s}^{6} A_{1+} A_{1-}},  \tag{6}\\
& G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{p d}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{1+} A_{1-}},  \tag{7}\\
& \beta_{s}=\sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{2 p}\right),  \tag{8}\\
& \beta_{p d}=\sin \left[\frac{\pi(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{d})}{2 p}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The first resonant frequency $f_{l}$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L_{1} C_{1}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalized inductive reactance $X_{L 2}$ of the equivalent circuit model is given as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{L_{2}}=\omega_{2} L_{2}= \frac{d}{2 p} F\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} F\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right) \\
&=\frac{d}{2 \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{h s}\right)+G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{11}\\
&+\frac{p}{4 \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ell \ln \left(\beta_{p / 2}\right)+G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{2}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are the wavelength and angular frequency of the second resonant frequency $f_{2}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{h s}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)+2 \beta_{h s}^{6} A_{2+} A_{2-}}  \tag{12}\\
& G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)+4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{3+} A_{3-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{p 2}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)+2 \beta_{p 2}^{6} A_{3+} A_{3-}}  \tag{14}\\
& A_{2+}=A_{2-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)^{2}}}-1,  \tag{15}\\
& A_{3+}=A_{3-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{p}{2 \lambda_{2}}\right)^{2}}}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{h s}=\sin \left[\frac{\pi(2 h+s)}{2 p}\right],  \tag{16}\\
\beta_{p 2}=\sin \left(\frac{\pi \mathrm{w}}{p}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The normalized capacitive susceptance $B_{\mathrm{C} 2}$ of the equivalent circuit model is given as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{c_{2}}=\omega_{2} C_{2} & =\frac{8(2 h+s)}{p} F\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& =\frac{8(2 h+s)}{\lambda_{2}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)+4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]}{\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{p d}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)+2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{2+} A_{2-}}, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$\beta_{\mathrm{pd}}, \mathrm{A}_{2+}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are given in (9) and (14), respectively. The second resonant frequency $f_{2}$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L_{2} C_{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1)-(20) are valid when $\mathrm{p}<\lambda_{2}$ and $\mathrm{p}>\mathrm{d}$, where $\lambda_{2}$ is the wavelength of the second resonant frequency $f_{2}$. In band-stop electromagnetic shielding applications, the resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are specified first and then all parameters of the unit should be determined. However, to simultaneously determine all parameters of one Jerusalem-cross unit for arbitrarily given resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is not an easy job. In the following section, the least-square curve fitting technique will be applied to calculate all parameters of any Jerusalem-cross element for arbitrarily given dual resonant (rejection) frequencies $f_{l}$ and $f_{2}$.


Fig. 1. Geometrical parameters of a FSS constructed with Jerusalem-cross grids.


Fig. 2. An equivalent circuit model for Jerusalem-cross grids.

## III. LEAST-SQUARE CURVE FITTING TECHNIQUE

The equivalent circuit model of a thin, continuous, and infinitely long array of Jerusalem-cross grids is presented in Fig. 2. In the band-stop electromagnetic shielding design, critical geometrical parameters of Jerusalem-cross grids p , $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}$, h, and d should be solved for arbitrarily given dual resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. Basically, resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two nonlinear functions expressed by (10) and (20) in terms of geometrical parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$, and d. The method of differential corrections, together with Newton's iterative method [40], can be used to fit the nonlinear functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. The differential corrections method approximates the nonlinear functions with a linear form that is more convenient to use for an iterative solution. By estimating approximate values of the unknown coefficients $A_{1}^{(0)}, A_{2}^{(0)}, A_{3}^{(0)}, A_{4}^{(0)}$, and $A_{5}^{(0)}$, and expanding (10) and (20) in a Taylor's series with only the first-order terms retained, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}=f_{1}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(0)}  \tag{21}\\
& +\Delta A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}, \\
& \quad f_{2}=f_{2}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(0)}  \tag{22}\\
& +\Delta A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)}+\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}=\mathrm{p}, A_{2}=\mathrm{w}, A_{3}=\mathrm{s}, A_{4}=\mathrm{h}$, and $A_{5}=\mathrm{d}$. The superscript ( 0 ) is used to indicate values obtained after substituting the first guess ( $A_{1}^{(0)}, A_{2}^{(0)}, A_{3}^{(0)}, A_{4}^{(0)}$, and $A_{5}^{(0)}$ ), for the unknown parameters in (10) and (20). Equations (21) and (22) are two linear functions of the correction terms $\Delta A_{1}, \Delta A_{2}, \Delta A_{3}, \Delta A_{4}$, and $\Delta A_{5}$, and hence the leastsquare curve fitting method can be used directly to determine these correction terms. The correction terms, when added to the first guess, give an improved approximation of the unknown coefficients, i.e., $A_{1}^{(1)}=A_{1}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{1}, \quad A_{2}^{(1)}=A_{2}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{2}, \quad A_{3}^{(1)}=A_{3}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{3}$, $A_{4}^{(1)}=A_{4}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{4}$, and $A_{5}^{(1)}=A_{5}^{(0)}+\Delta A_{5}$. When the improved estimates $A_{1}^{(1)}, A_{2}^{(1)}, A_{3}^{(1)}, A_{4}^{(1)}$, and $A_{5}^{(1)}$ are subsequently substituted as new estimates of the unknown coefficients, the Taylor's series reduces to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}=f_{1}^{(1)}+\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(1)}  \tag{23}\\
& +\Delta A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(1)}, \\
& f_{2}=f_{2}^{(1)}+\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(1)}  \tag{24}\\
& \left.+\Delta A_{4} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(1)}+\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(1)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{1}^{(1)}$ and $f_{2}^{(1)}$ as well as their derivatives are obtained by substituting the values of $A_{1}^{(1)}, A_{2}^{(1)}, A_{3}^{(1)}$, $A_{4}^{(1)}$, and $A_{5}^{(1)}$ in (10) and (20), respectively. Again, the correction terms $\Delta A_{1}, \Delta A_{2}, \Delta A_{3}, \Delta A_{4}$, and $\Delta A_{5}$ are determined using the least-square curve fitting method. The procedure is continued until the solution converges to within a specified accuracy. The criterion of best fit of the technique of least-square curve fitting is that the sum of the squares of the errors be a minimum expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i 1}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i 2}^{2}=\text { minimum } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the term errors $\varepsilon_{i 1}^{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{i 2}^{2}$ mean the difference between the measured (observed) values of the first and second resonant frequencies $f_{1 M}(i)$ and $f_{2 M}(i)$ and computed values from (23) and (24) for the $i^{\text {th }}$ case, respectively. N is the total number of cases. Substituting (23) and (24) into (25), the result yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}(i)\right]^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}(i)\right]^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A necessary condition that a minimum for the error function S exists is that the partial derivatives with respect to each of the correction terms $\Delta A_{1}, \Delta A_{2}, \Delta A_{3}$, $\Delta A_{4}$, and $\Delta A_{5}$ be zero. For example, in the first iteration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(\Delta A_{j}\right)}= & -2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{j}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}-\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\right. \\
& -\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}-\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(0)}-\Delta A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)} \\
& \left.-\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\right]-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{j}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}^{(0)}\right. \\
& -\Delta A_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}-\Delta A_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}-\Delta A_{3}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(0)} \\
& \left.-\Delta A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)}-\Delta A_{5}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\right] \\
= & 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$, and 5 . Equation (27) can be expressed as a matrix equation. One can easily solve for the correction terms $\Delta A_{1}, \Delta A_{2}, \Delta A_{3}, \Delta A_{4}$, and $\Delta A_{5}$ in (28) by Gaussian elimination method.

Equation (28) is a very sensitive equation because the partial derivatives of resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ with respect to each of the parameters $A_{1}=\mathrm{p}, A_{2}=\mathrm{w}, A_{3}=\mathrm{s}$, $A_{4}=\mathrm{h}$, and $A_{5}=\mathrm{d}$ still can generate nonlinear functions such as square root, natural logarithm, sine, and cosine. Therefore, the values of parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$, and d should be limited to an acceptable range in the Newton's iterative process. In order to obtain a stable iterative process, the parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$, and d are automatically checked and set to $0.75 \lambda_{2}<\mathrm{p}<\lambda_{2}, 0.1 \lambda_{2}<\mathrm{w}<0.2 \lambda_{2}$, $0.03 \lambda_{2}<\mathrm{s}<0.1 \lambda_{2}, 0.03 \lambda_{2}<\mathrm{h}<0.1 \lambda_{2}$, and $0.4 \lambda_{2}<\mathrm{d}<0.7 \lambda_{2}$ in each iteration, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\right]^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\right]^{2} . & \cdot & \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)} \ldots \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)} . & \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\right]^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\right]^{2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Delta A_{1} \\
\Delta A_{2} \\
\Delta A_{3} \\
\Delta A_{4} \\
\Delta A_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}^{(0)}\right] \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}^{(0)}\right] \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{4}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}^{(0)}\right] \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{1 M}(i)-f_{1}^{(0)}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}\right)^{(0)}\left[f_{2 M}(i)-f_{2}^{(0)}\right]
\end{array}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The partial derivatives of resonant frequencies $f_{l}$ and $f_{2}$ with respect to each of the parameters can be obtained by the following two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial A_{i}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(L_{1} C_{1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(L_{1} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial A_{i}}+C_{1} \frac{\partial L_{1}}{\partial A_{i}}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial A_{i}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(L_{2} C_{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(L_{2} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{i}}+C_{2} \frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{i}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}=\mathrm{p}, A_{2}=\mathrm{w}, A_{3}=\mathrm{s}, A_{4}=\mathrm{h}$, and $A_{5}=\mathrm{d}$. The partial derivatives of inductances and capacitances $L_{1}, L_{2}, C_{l}$, and $C_{2}$ are with respect to each of the parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}$, $h$, and $d$ as shown in APPENDIX.

## IV. VALIDATION OF LEAST-SQUARE CURVE FITTING

In order to validate the least-square curve fitting technique, dimensions of six Jerusalem-cross grids with thin, infinitely long, and perfectly conducting strips listed in the literature [38] are checked by the proposed technique. Simulation results of transmission for six Jerusalem-cross grids generated by the least-square curve fitting technique are studied by the commercial software package HFSS. Comparisons of two specific resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ obtained by the leastsquare curve fitting technique, improved empirical
model [38], and measurement [38] are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the six sets of dimensions obtained by the improved empirical model are different from those obtained by the least-square curve fitting technique. But it is found that simulation results of the resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ for the six sets of parameters generated by the least-square curve fitting technique make a good agreement with those obtained by the empirical model and measurement available in the literature [38]. Table 1 illustrates that the set of dimensions of a Jerusalem-cross grid for any specific dual resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is not unique. Table 2 shows the comparison of computational time obtained by the least-square (LS) curve fitting technique and HFSS implemented with genetic algorithm (GA) [41] for design of Jerusalem-cross parameters in a personal computer. It is illustrated that the proposed method provides a fast solution for design of Jerusalem-cross parameters. The frequency responses of transmission of the six Jerusalem-cross grids, their dimensions obtained by the least-square curve fitting technique, are also shown in Figs. 3-8. These Jerusalem-cross grids have a transmission of more than -30 dB at resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. The average bandwidths obtained at resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are more than $12 \%$ with a transmission of -10 dB .

Table 1: Comparisons of resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ obtained by the least-square (LS) curve fitting technique (Figs. 3-8), improved empirical model (IEM) [38], and measurement (M) [38] for different Jerusalem-cross grids for normal wave incidence

|  | Dimensions (mm) <br> [38] |  |  |  |  | Dimensions (mm) (LS) |  |  |  |  | $f_{1}(\mathrm{GHz})$ |  |  | $f_{2}(\mathrm{GHz})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | p | w | d | h | s | p | w | d | h | s | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{M} \\ {[38]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IEM } \\ & \text { [38] } \end{aligned}$ | LS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{M} \\ {[38]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IEM } \\ & {[38]} \end{aligned}$ | LS |
| 1 | 5.82 | 0.8 | 4.05 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 7.05 | 1.06 | 3.63 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 14.1 | 14.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 14.9 \\ \text { (Fig. 3) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 41.5 | 42.7 | $\begin{gathered} 40.5 \\ \text { (Fig. 3) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 5.82 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 7.11 | 0.82 | 3.9 | 0.73 | 0.28 | 12.8 | 12.8 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 12.6 \\ \text { (Fig. } 4 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38.3 | 38.0 | $\begin{gathered} 37.6 \\ \text { (Fig. 4) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 4.95 | 0.3 | 0.21 | 7.86 | 0.92 | 4.5 | 0.86 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 11.3 | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ \text { (Fig. 5) } \end{gathered}$ | 33.7 | 34.2 | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ \text { (Fig. 5) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | 5.84 | 1.42 | 4.5 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 5.96 | 0.82 | 3.70 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 17.3 | 17.0 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 17.0 \\ \text { (Fig. 6) } \end{array}$ | 43.0 | 41.8 | $\begin{gathered} 41.9 \\ \text { (Fig. 6) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 6.3 | 1.18 | 4.8 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 6.7 | 0.94 | 4.15 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 14.3 | 14.2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 14.5 \\ \text { (Fig. 7) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38.3 | 38.2 | $\begin{gathered} 37.4 \\ \text { (Fig. 7) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | 5.98 | 1.18 | 4.6 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 7.00 | 1.0 | 3.75 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 14.9 | 15.0 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 14.8 \\ \text { (Fig. } 8 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40.1 | 40.0 | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ \text { (Fig. 8) } \end{gathered}$ |



Fig. 3. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 1 listed in Table 1.


Fig. 4. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 2 listed in Table 1.


Fig. 5. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 3 listed in Table 1.


Fig. 6. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 4 listed in Table 1.


Fig. 7. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 5 listed in Table 1.


Fig. 8. The frequency response of transmission of the sample No. 6 listed in Table 1.

Table 2: Comparison of computational time obtained by the least-square (LS) curve fitting technique and HFSS implemented with genetic algorithm (GA) for design of Jerusalem-cross parameters

| No. | LS | HFSS with GA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 s | 1 day 7 hr 27 m 21 s |
| 2 | 8 s | 2 day 18 hr 28 m 13 s |
| 3 | 6 s | 3 day 16 hr 45 m 17 s |
| 4 | 13 s | 4 day 13 hr 24 m 49 s |
| 5 | 4 s | 1 day 19 hr 14 m 29 s |
| 6 | 9 s | 3 day 23 hr 58 m 38 s |

## V. JERUSALEM-CROSS SLOTS

In order to improve EM transmission, aperture types of FSSs may be used to provide a better signal transmission at specific frequencies while also providing an isolation capability for unwanted EM noises. With all conducting and non-conducting areas interchanged, a Jerusalem-cross slot (a complementary Jerusalem-cross grid) can be used to reverse the transmission and reflection coefficients of the Jerusalem-cross grid [38]. We arbitrarily specify two pairs of dual resonant frequencies of $(2.45,5.8)$ and $(3.96,7.92) \mathrm{GHz}$ to design two Jerusalem-cross slots by the least-square curve fitting technique. The Jerusalem-cross slots are constructed on a copper foil with a thickness of 0.05 mm . The specific frequencies of $(2.45,5.8)$ and $(3.96,7.92)$ GHz are in the Bluetooth ( $2.4-2.48 \mathrm{GHz}$ ), wireless local area network (IEEE802. 11a, upper band 5.725-5.825 GHz ), and ultra-wideband (low-frequency band 3.1684.752 GHz and high-frequency band $6.336-9.504 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) applications. Simulation results of reflection at frequencies $(2.45,5.8)$ and $(3.96,7.92) \mathrm{GHz}$ will be investigated by checking the reflection with better than 10 dB return loss for the two Jerusalem-cross slots. The simulation results of frequency response of reflection will also be checked by measurement data. Measurement data of reflection of the two Jerusalem-cross slots are obtained by using an Anritsz37369C Vector Network Analyzer and a pair of horn antennas operating at frequencies of $1-18 \mathrm{GHz}$ as shown in Fig. 9. The frequency responses of reflection of the first and second Jerusalem-cross slots with parameters $(\mathrm{p}=40.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, $\mathrm{w}=5.4 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=4.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~h}=2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d}=29.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{p}=28.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{w}=5.6 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~s}=3.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~h}=1.2 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d}=21.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) are shown in Figs. 10 and 11, respectively. From Figs. 10 and 11, it is shown that simulation results of frequency responses of reflection make a good agreement with those obtained by measurements. Figure 10 shows that the first Jerusalem-cross slot has a reflection of more than -30 dB at frequencies of 2.45 and 5.8 GHz. Simulation and measurement results of bandwidths at frequencies of 2.45 and 5.8 GHz have an average value of $15 \%$ with a reflection of -10 dB . From Fig. 11, the second Jerusalem-cross slot has a reflection
of more than -40 dB at frequencies of 3.96 and 7.92 GHz . Simulation and measurement of bandwidths at frequencies of 3.96 and 7.92 GHz have an average value of $14.5 \%$ with a reflection of -10 dB . These bandwidths are enough to effectively transmit the Bluetooth, wireless local area network, and ultra-wideband signals.


Fig. 9. Measurement setup.


Fig. 10/ The frequency response of reflection of the first Jerusalem-cross slot.


Fig. 11. The frequency response of reflection of the second Jerusalem-cross slot.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose the least-square curve fitting technique to quickly obtain optimum values of geometrical parameters of a dual-band Jerusalem-cross grid with thin, infinitely long, and perfectly conducting strips. Based on circuit model, the least-square curve fitting technique can provide a quick and accurate design of a dual-band Jerusalem-cross grid for arbitrarily specifying any dual resonant frequencies. The validity of the proposed technique has been checked by comparing two specific resonant frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ with those obtained by the improved empirical model and measurement method. The proposed method provides a fast solution for design of Jerusalem-cross parameters. The proposed technique can also be used to optimally design a dual-band Jerusalem-cross slot for arbitrarily specifying any two resonant frequencies. However, the proposed technique presented in this paper does not include the substrate. It is expected that the presence of the dielectric substrate will shift the resonant frequencies downwards. In the future works, the shifting factor will be further studied on the transmission and reflection of an energy-saving glass coated with a metallic oxide layer on one side of ordinary float glass which is widely used in modern building.

## APPENDIX

This Appendix illustrates the partial derivatives of inductances and capacitances $L_{1}, L_{2}, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ with respect to each of the parameters $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$, and d as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial L_{1}}{\partial A_{1}}=\frac{\partial L_{1}}{\partial p}= & \frac{1}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\ell n\left(\beta_{w}+G\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right)\right]\right.  \tag{31}\\
& +\frac{p}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{w}} \frac{\partial \beta_{w}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial p}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{w}}{\partial p}=-\frac{\pi w}{2 p^{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi w}{2 p}\right),  \tag{32}\\
\frac{\partial G\left(p, w, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{d} \frac{\partial G_{n}}{\partial p}-G_{n} \frac{\partial G_{d}}{\partial p}}{G_{d}^{2}},  \tag{33}\\
G_{n}=\left(1-\beta_{w}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+4 \beta_{w}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right],  \tag{34}\\
G_{d}=\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{w}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{w}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)+2 \beta_{w}^{6} A_{1+} A_{1-},  \tag{35}\\
\frac{\partial G_{n}}{\partial p}=2\left(1-\beta_{w}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{w} \frac{\partial \beta_{w}}{\partial p}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right. \\
\left.+4 \beta_{w}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{w}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{w}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{w}}{\partial p}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right.  \tag{36}\\
+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{w}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{1+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{1-}}{\partial p}\right)+4 \beta_{w}^{2} A_{1+} \frac{\partial A_{1-}}{\partial p}  \tag{48}\\
\left.+4 \beta_{w}^{2} A_{1-} \frac{\partial A_{1+}}{\partial p}+8 \beta_{w} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{w}}{\partial p}\right], \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial p}=-\frac{\pi s}{2 p^{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi s}{2 p}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}=\frac{\pi d}{2 p^{2}} \cos \left[\frac{\pi(p-d)}{2 p}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial G\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{s d}}{\partial p}-G_{s n} \frac{\partial G_{s d}}{\partial p} \\
& G_{s d}^{2}
\end{aligned},
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial G_{p d d}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}  \tag{50}\\
&+\left(2 \beta_{p d}+2 \beta_{p d}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p d}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)  \tag{51}\\
&+\left(\beta_{p d}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{1+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{1-}}{\partial p}\right)  \tag{59}\\
&+ 2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{1+} \frac{\partial A_{1-}}{\partial p}+2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{1-} \frac{\partial A_{1+}}{\partial p}  \tag{52}\\
&+ 12 \beta_{p d}^{5} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p},  \tag{60}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial A_{2}}=\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial w}=0,  \tag{53}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial A_{3}}=\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial s}= \frac{4 d}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{s}} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial s}\right.  \tag{61}\\
&+\frac{4}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}=\frac{\pi s}{2 p} \cos \left(\frac{\pi s}{2 p}\right),  \tag{62}\\
\frac{\partial G\left(p, s, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{s d} \frac{\partial G_{s n}}{\partial s}-G_{s n} \frac{\partial G_{s d}}{\partial s}}{G_{s d}^{2}},  \tag{54}\\
\frac{\partial G_{s n}}{\partial s}=2\left(1-\beta_{s}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{s} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right.  \tag{63}\\
\left.+4 \beta_{s}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{s}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right.  \tag{64}\\
\left.+8 \beta_{s} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}\right], \\
\frac{\partial G_{s d}}{\partial s}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{s} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}  \tag{55}\\
\quad+\left(2 \beta_{s}+2 \beta_{s}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{s}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)  \tag{56}\\
\quad+12 \beta_{s}^{5} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{s}}{\partial s},  \tag{57}\\
\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial A_{4}}=\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial h}=\frac{8}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\ln \left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{66}\\
\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial A_{5}}=\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial d}  \tag{67}\\
=\frac{4(2 h+s)}{\omega_{1} \lambda_{1}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{p d}} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial d}\right], \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}=\frac{-\pi}{2 p} \cos \left[\frac{\pi(p-d)}{2 p}\right], \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{1}\right)}{\partial d}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{p d d} \frac{\partial G_{p d n}}{\partial d}-G_{p d n} \frac{\partial G_{p d d}}{\partial d}}{G_{p d d}^{2}},  \tag{69}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial G_{p d n}}{\partial d}= 2\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}}{4}\right)\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{1+} A_{1-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{p d}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right)\right. \\
&+\left.8 \beta_{p d} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p d d}}{\partial d}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d} \\
&+\left(2 \beta_{p d}+2 \beta_{p d}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p d}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\left(A_{1+}+A_{1-}\right) \\
& \quad+12 \beta_{p d}^{5} A_{1+} A_{1-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}, \\
& \frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}=\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial p}=\frac{d}{2 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{h s}} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ell n\left(\beta_{p 2}+G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
&+\frac{p}{4 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{p 2}} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}\right],
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}=-\frac{\pi(2 h+s)}{2 p^{2}} \cos \left[\frac{\pi(2 h+s)}{2 p}\right],  \tag{73}\\
\frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}=-\frac{\pi w}{p^{2}} \cos \left[\frac{\pi w}{p}\right], \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{h s d} \frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial p}-G_{h s s} \frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial p}}{G_{h s d}^{2}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{h s n}=\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{h s d}=\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(\beta_{h s}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial p}=2\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]
$$

$$
+2 \beta_{k s}^{6} A_{2+} A_{2-}
$$

$$
\left.+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{h s}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}\right)+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} \frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2-} \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+8 \beta_{h s} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w d}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p 2} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p} \\
& +\left(2 \beta_{p 2}+2 \beta_{p 2}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p 2}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right) \\
& +\left(\beta_{p 2}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{3+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{3-}}{\partial p}\right)  \tag{85}\\
& +2 \beta_{p 2}^{6} A_{3+} \frac{\partial A_{3-}}{\partial p}+2 \beta_{p 2}^{6} A_{3-} \frac{\partial A_{3+}}{\partial p} \\
& +12 \beta_{p 2}^{5} A_{3+} A_{3-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}, \\
& \frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p} \\
& +\left(2 \beta_{h s}+2 \beta_{h s}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{h s}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right) \\
& +\left(\beta_{h s}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{h s}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{h s}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}\right)  \tag{79}\\
& +2 \beta_{h s}^{6} A_{2+} \frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}+2 \beta_{h s}^{6} A_{2-} \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p} \\
& +12 \beta_{h s}^{5} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial p}, \\
& \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}=\frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2 p}{\lambda_{2}^{2}}\right),  \tag{80}\\
& \frac{\partial G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{p 2 w d} \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w n}}{\partial p}-G_{p 2 w n} \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w d}}{\partial p}}{G_{p 2 w d}^{2}},  \tag{81}\\
& G_{p 2 w n}=\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)\right.  \tag{82}\\
& \left.+4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{3+} A_{3-}\right], \\
& G_{p 2 w d}=\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{2}}{4}\right) \\
& +\left(\beta_{p 2}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)  \tag{83}\\
& +2 \beta_{p 2}^{6} A_{3+} A_{3-}, \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w n}}{\partial p}=2\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{p 2} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{p 2}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p}{ }^{4}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{3+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{3-}}{\partial p}\right)  \tag{84}\\
& +4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{3+} \frac{\partial A_{3-}}{\partial p}+4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{3-} \frac{\partial A_{3+}}{\partial p} \\
& \left.+8 \beta_{p 2} A_{3+} A_{3-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial p}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial A_{3+}}{\partial p}=\frac{\partial A_{3-}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{p}{2 \lambda_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{p}{2 \lambda_{2}^{2}}\right),  \tag{86}\\
\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}=\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial w}=\frac{p}{4 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{p 2}} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial w}\right], \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}=\frac{\pi w}{p} \cos \left[\frac{\pi w}{p}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial G\left(\frac{p}{2}, w, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial w}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{p 2 w d} \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w n}}{\partial w}-G_{p 2 w n} \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w d}}{\partial w}}{G_{p 2 w d}^{2}}, \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w n}}{\partial w}=2\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{p 2} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p 2}{ }^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+4 \beta_{p 2}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right] \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{p 2}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{p 2}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+8 \beta_{p 2} A_{3+} A_{3-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p 2 w d}}{\partial w}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p 2} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w} \\
& +\left(2 \beta_{p 2}+2 \beta_{p 2}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p 2}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}\left(A_{3+}+A_{3-}\right) \\
& +12 \beta_{p 2}^{5} A_{3+} A_{3-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p 2}}{\partial w}, \\
& \frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}=\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial s}=\frac{d}{2 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{h s}} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial s}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}=\frac{\pi}{2 p} \cos \left[\frac{\pi(2 h+s)}{p}\right], \\
\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{h s d} \frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial s}-G_{h s n} \frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial s}}{G_{h s d}^{2}}, \\
\frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial s}=2\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{h s}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
\left.+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{h s}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
\left.+8 \beta_{h s} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial s} & =-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s} \\
& +\left(2 \beta_{h s}+2 \beta_{h s}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{h s}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)  \tag{96}\\
& +12 \beta_{h s}^{5} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial s},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{4}} & =\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial h} \\
& =\frac{d}{2 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{h s}} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial h}\right], \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}=\frac{\pi}{p} \cos \left[\frac{\pi(2 h+s)}{p}\right],  \tag{98}\\
\frac{\partial G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial h}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{h s d} \frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial h}-G_{h s n} \frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial h}}{G_{h s d}^{2}}, \\
\frac{\partial G_{h s n}}{\partial h}=2\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\left.\beta_{h s}^{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)}{4}\right.\right. \\
\left.+4 \beta_{h s}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{h s}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{h s}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right.  \tag{100}\\
\left.\quad+8 \beta_{h s} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}\right] \\
\frac{\partial G_{h s d}}{\partial h}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{h s} \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h} \\
\quad+\left(2 \beta_{h s}+2 \beta_{h s}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{h s}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)  \tag{101}\\
\quad+12 \beta_{h s}^{5} A_{2+} A_{2-}-\frac{\partial \beta_{h s}}{\partial h}, \\
\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}=\frac{\partial L_{2}}{\partial d}=\frac{d}{2 \omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ell n\left(\beta_{h s}\right)+G\left(p, 2 h+s, \lambda_{2}\right)\right], \text { (102) }  \tag{102}\\
\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{1}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial p} \\
=\frac{8(2 h+s)}{\omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{p d}} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}\right], \tag{103}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{p d d 2} \frac{\partial G_{p d n 2}}{\partial p}-G_{p d n 2} \frac{\partial G_{p d d 2}}{\partial p}}{G_{p d d 2}^{2}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
\partial G_{p d n 2} \\
\partial p
\end{array} 2\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
&+\left.4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{p d}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
&+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}\right)+4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{2+} \frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}  \tag{105}\\
&+\left.4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{2-} \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+8 \beta_{p d} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p d d 2}}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p} \\
&+\left(2 \beta_{p d}+2 \beta_{p d}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p d}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right) \\
&+\left(\beta_{p d}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{2}-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{6}}{8}\right)\left(\frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}\right)  \tag{106}\\
&+2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{2+} \frac{\partial A_{2-}}{\partial p}+2 \beta_{p d}^{6} A_{2-} \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial p} \\
&+12 \beta_{p d}^{5} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial p}, \\
& \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{2}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial w}=0,  \tag{107}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{3}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial s}  \tag{108}\\
& \quad=\frac{8}{\omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ell n\left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)\right], \\
& \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{4}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial h}=\frac{16}{\omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\ell n\left(\beta_{p d}\right)+G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)\right],(  \tag{109}\\
& \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial A_{5}}=\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial d} \\
&=\frac{8(2 h+s)}{\omega_{2} \lambda_{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{\beta_{p d}} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}+\frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial d}\right] \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial G\left(p, p-d, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\partial d}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{p d d 2} \frac{\partial G_{p d n 2}}{\partial d}-G_{p d n 2} \frac{\partial G_{p d d 2}}{\partial d}}{G_{p d d 2}^{2}}, \\
& \frac{\partial G_{p d n 2}}{\partial d}=2\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)\left(-2 \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\right)\left[\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p d}^{4}}{4}\right)\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+4 \beta_{p d}^{2} A_{2+} A_{2-}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{p d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[-\beta_{p d}^{3} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+8 \beta_{p d} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial G_{p d d 2}}{\partial d}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{p d} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d} \\
& \quad+\left(2 \beta_{p d}+2 \beta_{p d}^{3}-\frac{3}{4} \beta_{p d}^{5}\right) \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}\left(A_{2+}+A_{2-}\right)  \tag{113}\\
& \quad+12 \beta_{p d}^{5} A_{2+} A_{2-} \frac{\partial \beta_{p d}}{\partial d}
\end{align*}
$$
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