Computational Analysis for Miniaturization of Tapered Slot Antenna using Elliptical Conducting Loaded Strips

Bismah Tariq, Muhammad Amjad, and Abdul Aziz

Faculty of Engineering The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan bismah.tariq91@gmail.com, muhammd.amjad@iub.edu.pk, abdul.aziz@iub.edu.pk

Abstract - In this paper, the computational analysis for miniaturization of antipodal Vivaldi antenna (AVA) by an additional single elliptical loaded strip (SELS) is presented. The performance of the miniaturized antenna is evaluated by finite difference time domain (FDTD) technique, while its performance is also verified by finite element method (FEM). The computational time and cost of the two techniques are also compared to highlight the significance of the most suitable technique for miniaturization of the wideband antenna. It achieves ultrawideband performance with lower cutoff frequency at 0.668 GHz and 19.52 % size reduction with suitable gain performance. The proposed compact antenna exhibits good performance in the sub-GHz and ultra-wideband (UWB) frequency ranges, which makes it a suitable candidate for low power energy harvesting systems as well as for ultra-wideband applications.

Index Terms – Antipodal Vivaldi antenna (AVA), compact, energy harvesting, sub-GHz, tapered slot antenna (TSA), ultra-wideband (UWB) applications, Vivaldi antenna.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless remote-controlled systems for Internet of Things (IoT) are always appealing to everyone as they add ease to daily mankind's routine and also help in multi-tasking. IoT typically requires a wider frequency range, which can be provided by ultra-wideband antennas with extended ranges to sub-GHz frequency ranges. It has the benefit of extended frequency range and lower power consumption. Thus it is suitable for networking where a small amount of data is to be transmitted periodically such as in IoT networking [1], Chaos-based communication systems [2], RFID tagging, air quality network sensor devices [3], wireless drones and microphones. Therefore, an antenna that can cater to the highfrequency region as well as the sub-GHz band efficiently seems attractive for energy harvesting systems in low energy application systems.

Antipodal Vivaldi antenna (AVA) is one such family of antennas, which has promising potential to meet the need of the hour due its wide bandwidth, high directivity, better radiation efficiency and stable radiation pattern properties. This is the reason why AVA attracts the attention of researchers nowadays for energy harvesting applications [4, 5]. Efforts are being made to improve its performance and reduce the size of conventional Vivaldi antenna [6] and antipodal Vivaldi antenna [7] particularly for the sub-GHz frequency band. However, there is still need to improve its compactness for sub-GHz frequency ranges to make it more suitable candidate for energy harvesting applications.

Different flare shapes and tapering techniques [8, 9] nowadays are being practiced and proposed for the size reduction and performance enhancement of AVA. Printing of meta-material unit cells on either side of the substrate is helpful for improving gain and reducing antenna size and sidelobe levels [10, 11]. The gain performance of the antenna can also be improved by substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) structure [12] and the corrugation technique [13, 14], however, it increases the complexity level of fabrication. The resistance loading and slotting technique can also be helpful for antenna miniaturization and gain enhancement [15, 16]. However, most of these techniques are complex and size reduction of an AVA for sub-GHz applications is still challenging. Moreover, the computational time and cost for analysis of such wideband antennas make the task more difficult.

This paper presents a modified AVA design that uses the simple technique of loading elliptical shaped conducting strips for reducing the antenna size with comparable radiation and gain performance in the sub-GHz range without affecting its performance at higher frequencies. As the proposed technique affects only lower frequencies performance, so parametric analysis computational cost can be reduced significantly by limiting the analysis to lower frequencies only. Section II discusses the antenna configuration and design, section III presents all the results and discussion and section IV presents conclusions of the study.

II. ANTENNA DESIGN

A conventional AVA (CAVA) proposed in [8], is selected for its size reduction.

Initial aperture size D of a CAVA is an important parameter to decide its lower cutoff frequency f_L through an empirical relation given as [8]:

$$D = \frac{c}{f_L} \frac{1}{1.5\sqrt{\varepsilon_r + 1}}.$$
 (1)

In eqn (1), *c* is the velocity of light in free space and ε_r is the relative permittivity of the dielectric substrate. The significance of the aperture size comes from the fact that, if it is less than the value obtained from eqn (1) then it affects negatively the broadband characteristics of the antenna [16]. The general expression for determination of the shape contour of a Vivaldi antenna is quoted as eqn (2):

$$y = \pm A e^{px}.$$
 (2)

While for better impedance characteristics, the slot is typically exponentially tapered [16] as per the eqn (3):

$$x = \begin{cases} w_1 - 0.5w_1 e^{\alpha y} & top \ layer, \\ -w_1 + 0.5w_1 e^{\alpha y} & bottom \ layer. \end{cases}$$
(3)

In eqn (3), w_1 is the width of the feeding microstrip and α is the exponential rate of transition and is determined by the eqn (4):

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{l_{eff}} \ln \frac{w_1 + 0.5D}{0.5w_1},\tag{4}$$

where l_{eff} is the effective radiation length.

All the design parameters for CAVA are summarized in Table 1. All the dimensions are measured in millimeters.

The CAVA has a lower cutoff frequency of 0.83 GHz. Its size is 0.446 $\lambda_L \ge 0.387 \lambda_L$, where λ_L is the wavelength in free space at the lowest cutoff frequency.

The surface current distribution analysis for CAVA played important role to modify its structure to shift lower cutoff frequency towards left without changing its aperture size. The surface current distributions for CAVA at 0.69 GHz and 2.5 GHz are illustrated in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the surface current density is accumulated at the lower curves of the radiating flares as well as around the slot between the two flares at 0.69 GHz. However, the surface current distribution at 2.5 GHz and higher frequencies is focused only around the slot between the two flares and no significant current can be seen at the lower curves of both the flares. It shows that lower curves of both the flares have a significant role to decide the lower cutoff frequency of CAVA. So, any possible way to increase the electrical path of the current along the lower curve of the flare may help to shift the lower cutoff fre-

Table 1: Antenna design parameters

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
CAVA		÷	
L _{sub}	161.25	<i>x</i> ₁	2.975
W _{sub}	140	<i>y</i> 1	60
f_{r1}	32.5	<i>x</i> ₂	10
f_{r2}	42.25	<i>y</i> 2	70
t_{r1}	43.2	<i>x</i> ₃	33.5
t_{r2}	27	У3	72.75
D	132	<i>x</i> ₄	33.5
Sep	67	<i>У</i> 4	115
L _b	8	L_2	10
W _b	60	W_2	5.95
L_1	7.5	L_3	42.5
W_1	4.65	L_4	12.75
SELS-AVA			
a_{r1}	15	<i>x</i> ₅	33.5
a_{r2}	37	<i>y</i> 5	45



Fig. 1. The surface current distribution of CAVA at (a) 0.69 GHz and (b) 2.5 GHz.

quency of CAVA towards the left without any increase in its aperture size.

A. Modified CAVA configuration

An elliptical conducting strip is loaded on lower curves of both the flares of CAVA to shift its lower cutoff frequency towards the left. As the strips are loaded to the lower curves of the flares of CAVA at which it has appreciable current density for low frequencies. Therefore, it helps to increase the electrical length of the antenna at low frequencies. The modified CAVA is named SELS-AVA and is presented in Fig. 2.

While loading the CAVA with additional strips, the antenna symmetry is kept intact. The center of the loaded strip is (x_5, y_5) and its major and minor axes are a_{r1} and a_{r2} , respectively. Both the strips are the mirror image of one another, just like the radiating flares of CAVA.

The added strips are chosen to be elliptical rather than rectangular or some other staircase shape to achieve continuous wideband coverage at lower frequencies too. The curved boundaries of elliptical strips are suitable



Fig. 2. Schematic of the SELS-AVA design.



Fig. 3. The surface current distribution of SELS-AVA at (a) 0.69 GHz and (b) 2.5 GHz.

for wideband coverage with consistent impedance band-width.

FDTD modeling is adapted to the curved boundaries of the conducting regions by employing perfect boundary approximation (PBA). The PBA works on the fact that the path for integration needed for the numerical solution of Maxwell's equations within each mesh cell, can be chosen to conform to the geometry of the object inside the cell rather than to its edges or faces.

The surface current distributions for optimized SELS-AVA at the frequencies of 0.69 GHz and 2.5 GHz are revealed in Fig. 3. At lower frequency, it can be seen that the electrical path for the current is significantly increased due to elliptical loaded strips, while the loaded strips have almost no contribution at the higher frequencies. As the frequency increases, the maximum surface current density focuses only on the central region of the

antenna. It shows that the additional strips affect only performance at lower frequencies and causes to shift the lower frequency toward the left and hence contributing to miniaturize the aperture size of the antenna. So, for parametric analysis, the frequency range can be limited to only the sub-2GHz frequency band to reduce its computational cost.

B. Parametric analysis

The SELS-AVA design is analyzed for different values of a_{r1} and a_{r2} only in the sub-2 GHz frequency band to reduce the computational cost. The sub-2GHz response of SELS-AVA is elaborated in Fig. 4, in terms of its return loss and gain by varying the a_{r2} parameter while keeping the a_{r1} constant. It can be seen that the lower cut-off frequency can be shifted towards the left by



Fig. 4. SELS-AVA response for varying a_{r2} and constant a_{r1} : (a) return loss and (b) gain.



Fig. 5. SELS-AVA response for varying a_{r1} and constant a_{r2} . (a) Return loss and (b) gain.

increasing a_{r2} . However, if the value of a_{r2} is increased by more than 40 mm then a narrow-band notch is started to create at about 800 MHz.

Similarly, the effect of varying a_{r1} while keeping a_{r2} constant on the return loss and gain in the sub-2GHz band is explained in Fig. 5. Varying a_{r1} has a negligible effect on the lower cutoff frequency and gain performance. After the detailed parametric analysis of SELS-AVA parameters, the optimum values of a_{r1} and a_{r2} are finalized and listed in Table 1.

III. COMPUTATIONAL PERFORMANCE AND DISCUSSION

The addition of conducting strips contributes to extend the lower frequency range. The return loss of SELS-AVA is compared with that of CAVA and is plotted in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the lower cut-off frequency for



Fig. 6. Simulated return loss of CAVA and SELS-AVA.

SELS-AVA is 0.668 GHz as compared to 0.83 GHz for CAVA. So the size is reduced to 0.359 $\lambda_L \ge 0.312 \lambda_L$, which is a 19.5% size reduction as compared to the size of CAVA.

In this study, the proposed design is simulated and evaluated using two different computational techniques, one is Finite Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) method and the other is the Finite Element Method (FEM). CST Microwave Studio is used to implement both the mentioned computational techniques. These computational techniques are also compared to highlight the significance of the most suitable technique for miniaturization of a wideband antenna (0.668 to more than 14 GHz) with a common PC (2.59 GHz Core i3 processor with 8 GB memory).

Several simulations are carried out using FDTD with an increasing number of mesh cells until convergence is achieved. Table 2, lists the FDTD statistics of the simulations for the proposed SELS-AVA design.

The return loss for various mesh densities is shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that the return loss is converged for greater than 2,500,911 hexahedral mesh cells and the lowest cutoff frequency is obtained at 0.668 GHz.

Similarly, the proposed design is analyzed using the FEM solver by increasing the number of tetrahedron mesh cells. The FEM computation statistics for various mesh densities are summarized in Table 3 and corresponding results for return loss are plotted in Fig. 8. It can be seen that the FEM takes appreciably long computation duration for wideband simulations as the mesh



Fig. 7. Return loss of SELS-AVA for varying mesh density using FDTD.

Table 2: FDTD statistics for SELS-AVA in wideband

Cells per wave-	Smallest cell size	Largest cell size	Total hexa-	Run time (M:S)
length	(mm)	(mm)	hedral	
near the			cells	
model				
5	0.524933	3.54365	830,484	3:29
6	0.49375	3.54365	1,183,506	7:53
7	0.3937	2.65773	1,936,494	34:9
8	0.3937	0.265773	2,500,911	40:09
9	0.33333	2.12619	3,582,864	45:06
10	0.31496	2.12619	4,620,000	32:07

density increases and convergence is also really hard to achieve in this case.

As, FEM is considered a more efficient technique for narrow band applications, so, the proposed design is analyzed in narrow-band continuous chunks of the whole wide frequency band as shown in Table 4. This way to analyze a wideband antenna using FEM in small chunks of the wide frequency bands helps a lot to achieve converged results with considerably less computational cost as compared to FEM analysis for the whole frequency band in a single run; however, its computational cost is still higher than that of FDTD analysis. Therefore, FDTD is more computationally efficient than FEM for the analysis of the proposed SELS-AVA. However, the computational cost of FEM can also be reduced significantly by its analysis in small continuous chunks of the whole frequency band instead of analysis for the whole frequency band in a single run.

The comparison of the converged return loss curves for FDTD and FEM analysis for the proposed antenna is shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen that the return loss

Max. no. of passes	Min edge length	Max edge length	Average quality	Total tetrahe- drons	Run time (H:M:S)
5	0.0608401	9.67442	0.755319	280,804	00:30:51
6	0.029147	9.49687	0.757769	446,414	00:17:41
7	0.0274142	9.51305	0.759502	611,627	00:15:11
15	0.0153071	9.44517	0.7606	799,307	21:44:42



Fig. 8. Return loss for SELS-AVA with varying mesh density using FEM.

curves for both the computational techniques are in close agreement.

The simulated gain of the proposed SELS-AVA is compared with that of CAVA in the Fig. 10. The peak gain offered by CAVA and SELS-AVA is 9.1 dBi and 9.5 dBi respectively. Although the peak gains offered by the two designs are similar, however, the performance of proposed antenna also exhibits suitable gain in sub-GHz frequency ranges.

The proposed SELS-AVA is compared with some other recent AVAs presented in the literature. The comparison is summarized in Table 5. The comparison is in terms of the operational frequency range, dielectric used,

Table 4: FEM statistics for SELS-AVA in small chunks of the frequency band

Frequency	Max no. of	Total tetra-	Run time
bands	passes	hedrons	(M:S)
(GHz)			
0.1 – 2	8	26,938	00:03
2-5	8	39,993	01:25
5-8	8	90,214	16:53
8 - 10	8	123,306	06:35
10 – 12	8	111,993	05:13
12 - 14	8	227,263	06:34

Ref.	Frequency	Size $(\lambda_L \mathbf{x})$	ε_r	Gain (dBi)
	band	λ_L)		
	(GHz)			
[8]	0.83 - 9.8	0.446 x	2.33	9.1
		0.39		
[12]	11.02 - 40	0.84 x	2.2	2.15 - 5.75
		0.367		
[11]	3 - 10.6	0.45 x	4.4	8.45
		0.486		
[17]	5.2 - 40	0.66 x 0.68	2.2	0.6 - 13.9
[10]	25 - 33.4	0.67 x 0.4	4.4	5 - 9.53
[9]	1.14 - 2.6	0.6 x 0.5	4.15	8.2
SELS-	0.668 –	0.359 x	2.65	9.4
AVA	20+	0.31		

Table 5: Comparison of proposed SELS-AVA with some



Fig. 9. Comparison of return loss curves for FDTD and FEM.

antenna size, and gain. It can be seen that the proposed technique achieves size reduction in the sub-GHz frequency band with a very simple technique and its performance is also comparable with existing techniques.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, computational analysis for miniaturization of the conventional AVA using FDTD and FEM is presented. The size of the conventional antenna is reduced intuitively by increasing the electrical path of the current by loading elliptical strips at the point, which has a maximum current density at lower frequencies, only. The size of the proposed SELS-AVA design is 0.359 λ_L x 0.312 λ_L , which is 19.5 % smaller as compared to the size of CAVA. The gain of the proposed SELS-AVA design is 1.3 - 2.2 dBi in the sub GHz range of 0.668 - 1GHz and an appreciable gain level over the entire operating range otherwise. The proposed design is simulated



Fig. 10. Simulated gain of SELS-AVA in comparison with CAVA.

in FDTD and FEM solver and the results are counterverified. The FDTD is a more efficient way to analyze the proposed antenna with less computational and memory resources. However, FEM can also be used efficiently for analysis and verification of the results of such antenna with a common PC if the analysis is carried out in small continuous chunks of the whole frequency band instead of the analysis for the whole frequency band in a single run. The antenna performance, compact size, and non-complexity make it a good addition to the antenna family for ultra-wideband applications and sub-GHz applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Raksanta, P. P. Kumar, and P. Saxena, "A sub-1GHz rectangular dielectric resonator antenna for IoT," in Proc. 2019 IEEE Int. WIE Con. Electr. Comp. Eng. (WIECON-ECE), pp. 1-4, IEEE, 2019.
- [2] M. Moundher, B. Hichem, T. Djamel, and S. Said, "Novel four-dimensional chaotic oscillator for sub-1GHz chaos-based communication systems," in Proc. 2019 6th Int. Conf. Image Signal Process. Appl. (ISPA), pp. 1-5, IEEE, 2019.
- [3] A. Sharma, B. Mishra, R. Sutaria, and R. Zele, "Design and development of low-cost wireless sensor device for air quality networks," in Proc. TENCON 2019-2019 IEEE Region 10 Conf. (TENCON), pp. 2345-2350, IEEE, 2019.
- [4] A. Benayad and M. Tellache, "Broad band rectenna based on Antipodal Vivaldi antenna and NULT rectifier," in Proc. 2020 2nd Int. Workshop Human-Centric Smart Environ. Health Well-being (IHSH), pp. 40-43, IEEE, 2021.

- [5] K. N. Salim, M. R. Fairuzi, M. R. Sutoyo, and F. Y. Zulkifli, "Wideband Vivaldi microstrip antenna for rectenna application," in *Proc. 2020 Int. Seminar Intell. Technol. Its Appl. (ISITIA)*, pp. 317-320, IEEE, 2020.
- [6] D. Yang, S. Liu, and D. Geng, "A miniaturized ultra-wideband Vivaldi antenna with low cross polarization," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 23352-23357, 2017.
- [7] M.-A. Boujemaa, R. Herzi, F. Choubani, and A. Gharsallah, "UWB Antipodal Vivaldi antenna with higher radiation performances using metamaterials," *Appl. Phys. A*, vol. 124, no. 10, pp. 1-7, 2018.
- [8] J. Y. Siddiqui, Y. Antar, A. Freundorfer, E. Smith, G. Morin, and T. Thayaparan, "Design of an ultrawideband antipodal tapered slot antenna using elliptical strip conductors," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 10, pp. 251-254, 2011.
- [9] A. Loutridis, S. Kazıcı, O. V. Stukach, A. B. Mirmanov, and D. Caratelli, "A novel class of superelliptical Vivaldi antennas for ultra-wideband applications," *Adv. Radio Frequency Antennas Modern Commun. Medical Syst.*, 2020.
- [10] A. S. Dixit and S. Kumar, "The enhanced gain and cost-effective Antipodal Vivaldi antenna for 5G communication applications," *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2365-2374, 2020.
- [11] S. Guruswamy, R. Chinniah, and K. Thangavelu, "Design and implementation of compact ultrawideband Vivaldi antenna with directors for microwave-based imaging of breast cancer,"

Analog Integrated Circuits Signal Process., vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 45-57, 2021.

- [12] J.-Y. Deng, R. Cao, D. Sun, Y. Zhang, and L.-X. Guo, "Bandwidth enhancement of an Antipodal Vivaldi antenna facilitated by double-ridged substrate-integrated waveguide," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 8192-8196, 2020.
- [13] Z.-F. Yin, X.-X. Yang, and T. Lou, "A high gain UWB Vivaldi antenna loaded with elliptical slots," in *Proc. 2018 Int. Appl. Comput. Electromagn. Soc. Symp.-China (ACES)*, pp. 1-2, IEEE, 2018.
- [14] M. A. Ashraf, K. Jamil, A. Sebak, M. Shoaib, Z. Alhekail, M. Alkanhal, and S. Alshebeili, "Modified Antipodal Vivaldi antenna with shaped elliptical corrugation for 1-18 GHz UWB application," *Appl. Comput. Electromagn. Soc. J. (ACES)*, pp. 68-77, 2015.
- [15] Y. Zhu, D. Su, W. Xie, Z. Liu, and K. Zuo, "Design of a novel miniaturized Vivaldi antenna with loading resistance for ultra wideband (UWB) applications," *Appl. Comput. Electromagn. Soc. J. (ACES)*, pp. 895-900, 2017.
- [16] J. Bai, S. Shi, and D. W. Prather, "Modified compact antipodal Vivaldi antenna for 4-50-GHz UWB application," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1051-1057, 2011.
- [17] Z. Yin, X.-X. Yang, F. Yu, and S. Gao, "A novel miniaturized antipodal Vivaldi antenna with high gain," *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 418-424, 2020.